

CLASSICAL AND STRONGLY CLASSICAL n -ABSORBING SECOND SUBMODULES

S. KHOJASTEH *

ABSTRACT. Let R be a commutative ring with identity and M be an R -module. The main purpose of this paper is to introduce and investigate the notion of classical and strongly classical n -absorbing second submodules as a dual notion of classical n -absorbing submodules. We obtain some basic properties of these classes of modules.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, R is a commutative ring with identity. Let M be an R -module. A proper submodule P of M is said to be *prime* if for any $r \in R$ and $m \in M$ with $rm \in P$, we have $m \in P$ or $r \in (P :_R M)$ [13]. A non-zero submodule S of M is said to be *second* if for each $a \in R$, the endomorphism of M given by multiplication by a is either surjective or zero [19]. A proper submodule N of M is said to be *completely irreducible* if $N = \bigcap_{i \in I} N_i$, where $\{N_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a family of submodules of M , implies that $N = N_i$ for some $i \in I$. It is easy to see that every submodule of M is an intersection of completely irreducible submodules of M [14].

Let $n \geq 2$ be a positive integer. The concept of 2-absorbing ideals was introduced in [9] and then extended to n -absorbing ideals in [1]. Also, one can see a kind of generalization of 2-absorbing ideals in [15]. A proper ideal I is called an *n -absorbing ideal* of R if whenever $x_1 \dots x_{n+1} \in I$ for $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in R$, then there are n of x_i 's whose their

MSC(2010): 13C13,13C99.

Keywords: n -absorbing second submodules, classical n -absorbing second submodules, strongly classical n -absorbing second submodules.

Received: 3 May 2022, Accepted: 27 October 2022.

*Corresponding author .

product is in I . A proper submodule N of M is called *n-absorbing submodule* of M if whenever $a_1 \dots a_n m \in N$ for $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$ and $m \in M$, then either $a_1 \dots a_n \in (N :_R M)$ or there are $n - 1$ of a_i 's whose their product with m is in N [12]. In [17], the authors introduced the notion of classical *n-absorbing submodules* as a generalization of *n-absorbing submodules* and studied some properties of this class of modules. A proper submodule N of M is called *classical n-absorbing submodule* if whenever $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$ and $m \in M$ with $a_1 \dots a_{n+1} m \in N$, then there are n of a_i 's whose their product with m is in N . The authors in [7], introduced and studied the concept of *n-absorbing second* and *strongly n-absorbing second submodules* as dual notion of *n-absorbing submodules*. A non-zero submodule N of M is said to be an *n-absorbing second submodule* of M if whenever $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$, L is a completely irreducible submodule of M , and $a_1 \dots a_n N \subseteq L$, then there are $n - 1$ of a_i 's whose their product with N is a subset of L or $a_1 \dots a_n \in \text{Ann}_R(N)$. Also, a non-zero submodule N of M is said to be a *strongly n-absorbing second submodule* of M if whenever $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$, K is a submodule of M , and $a_1 \dots a_n N \subseteq K$, then there are $n - 1$ of a_i 's whose their product with N is a subset of K or $a_1 \dots a_n \in \text{Ann}_R(N)$. Also, in [2] classical and strongly classical 2-absorbing second submodules was studied. A non-zero submodule N is a *classical 2-absorbing second submodule* of M if whenever $a, b, c \in R$, L is a completely irreducible submodule of M , and $abcN \subseteq L$, then $abN \subseteq L$ or $acN \subseteq L$ or $bcN \subseteq L$. The module M is a classical 2-absorbing second module if M is a classical 2-absorbing second submodule of itself. A non-zero submodule N of M is a *strongly classical 2-absorbing second submodule* of M if whenever $a, b, c \in R$, L_1, L_2, L_3 are completely irreducible submodules of M , and $abcN \subseteq L_1 \cap L_2 \cap L_3$, then $abN \subseteq L_1 \cap L_2 \cap L_3$ or $acN \subseteq L_1 \cap L_2 \cap L_3$ or $bcN \subseteq L_1 \cap L_2 \cap L_3$. Also, M is a strongly classical 2-absorbing second module if M is a strongly classical 2-absorbing second submodule of itself.

The purpose of this paper is to introduce the concepts of classical and strongly classical *n-absorbing second submodules* of an R -module M as dual notion of classical *n-absorbing submodules* and provide some information concerning these new classes of modules. Also, classical *n-absorbing* (resp. strongly classical *n-absorbing*) second submodules is a generalization of classical 2-absorbing (resp. strongly classical 2-absorbing) second submodules. In this paper, we generalize some results given in [2].

2. CLASSICAL *n*-ABSORBING SECOND SUBMODULES

We begin with the following remark.

Remark 2.1. Let N and K be two submodules of an R -module M . To prove $N \subseteq K$, it is enough to show that if L is a completely irreducible submodule of M such that $K \subseteq L$, then $N \subseteq L$ [6, Theorem 2.1].

The following definition is a generalization of [2, Definition 2.2].

Definition 2.2. Let N be a non-zero submodule of an R -module M . We say that N is a *classical n -absorbing second submodule* of M if whenever $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$, L is a completely irreducible submodule of M and $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n+1} N \subseteq L$, then there are n of a_i 's whose product with N is a subset of L . We say M is a *classical n -absorbing second module* if M is a classical n -absorbing second submodule of itself.

Let t be a positive integer number, $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$, $a_1, \dots, a_t \in R$ and let I_1, \dots, I_t be ideals of R . In the rest of this paper, we denote by $\widehat{a_{i,t}}$ and $\widehat{I_{i,t}}$ the product of all elements of $\{a_1, \dots, a_t, 1\} \setminus \{a_i\}$ and the product of all elements of $\{I_1, \dots, I_t, R\} \setminus \{I_i\}$, respectively. For abbreviation, we denote $\widehat{a_{i,n}}$ and $\widehat{I_{i,n}}$ by $\widehat{a_i}$ and $\widehat{I_i}$, respectively.

There are interesting results in [2] on classical 2-absorbing second submodules. We extend them for classical n -absorbing second submodules in the next results.

Proposition 2.3. *Let M be an R -module and N be a non-zero submodule of M . Then we have the following:*

- (a) *If N is a classical n -absorbing second submodule of M , then N is a classical m -absorbing second submodule of M , for every $m \geq n$.*
- (b) *If N is an n -absorbing second submodule of M , then N is a classical n -absorbing second submodule of M .*
- (c) *If N is a classical n -absorbing second submodule of M , then rN is a classical n -absorbing second submodule of M , for every $r \in R \setminus \text{Ann}_R(N)$.*

Proof. (a) It is clear.

(b) Let $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$, L be a completely irreducible submodule of M and let $a_1 \dots a_n a_{n+1} N \subseteq L$. Then $a_1 \dots a_n N \subseteq (L :_M a_{n+1})$. We note that by [8, Lemma 2.1], $(L :_M a_{n+1})$ is a completely irreducible submodule of M . Since N is an n -absorbing second submodule, either $a_1 \dots a_n N = 0$ or $\widehat{a_i} N \subseteq (L :_M a_{n+1})$, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence $a_1 \dots a_n \widehat{a_{i,n+1}} N \subseteq L$, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq n + 1$ and the proof is complete.

(c) The proof is similar to the proof of previous part. □

Theorem 2.4. *Let M be an R -module. Then N is a classical n -absorbing second submodule of M if and only if $(L :_R N)$ is an n -absorbing ideal of R , for every completely irreducible submodule L of M with $N \not\subseteq L$.*

Proof. First, suppose that N is a classical n -absorbing second submodule of M . Let $a_1 \dots a_{n+1} \in (L :_R N)$, for some $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$. Then $a_1 \dots a_{n+1}N \subseteq L$. Since N is a classical n -absorbing second submodule and L is a completely irreducible submodule of M , $\widehat{a_{i,n+1}}N \subseteq L$, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq n+1$. Hence $\widehat{a_{i,n+1}} \in (L :_R N)$ and so $(L :_R N)$ is an n -absorbing ideal of R . The proof of converse is clear. \square

We recall that an R -module M is said to be a *cocyclic module* if the sum of all minimal submodules of M is a large and simple submodule of M [20]. A submodule L of M is a completely irreducible submodule of M if and only if M/L is a cocyclic R -module [14].

Corollary 2.5. *Let N be a classical n -absorbing second submodule of a cocyclic R -module M . Then $\text{Ann}_R(N)$ is an n -absorbing ideal of R .*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.4, because (0) is a completely irreducible submodule of M . \square

Example 2.6. For every prime integer p , let $M = \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty}$ as a \mathbb{Z} -module and $G_t = \langle 1/p^t + \mathbb{Z} \rangle$, for $t \in \mathbb{N}$. We know that $\text{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}}G_t = p^t\mathbb{Z}$. Consider $t \geq n+1$. Let $a_1 = \dots = a_n = p$ and $a_{n+1} = p^{t-n}$. Then $a_1 \dots a_{n+1} \in p^t\mathbb{Z}$. On the other hand, we have $\widehat{a_{n+1,n+1}} = p^n \notin p^t\mathbb{Z}$ and $\widehat{a_{i,n+1}} = p^{t-1} \notin p^t\mathbb{Z}$, for every i , $1 \leq i \leq n$. This implies that $p^t\mathbb{Z}$ is not n -absorbing ideal of \mathbb{Z} , for every $t \geq n+1$. Hence by Corollary 2.5, G_t is not classical n -absorbing second submodule of M , for every $t \geq n+1$. In the next section, we study the case that $t \leq n$.

Proposition 2.7. *Let M be an R -module and let N_i be a classical n_i -absorbing second submodule of M , for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^m N_i$ is a classical n -absorbing second submodule of M , where $n \geq \sum_{i=1}^m n_i$.*

Proof. Let $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$ and let L be a completely irreducible submodule of M such that $a_1 \dots a_{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^m N_i \subseteq L$. Since N_i is a classical n_i -absorbing second submodule of M , there exists a subset $\{t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_{n_i}}\}$ of $\{1, \dots, n+1\}$ such that $a_{t_{i_1}} \dots a_{t_{i_{n_i}}} N_i \subseteq L$, for every i , $1 \leq i \leq m$. Since $n \geq \sum_{i=1}^m n_i$, $\{1, \dots, n+1\} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^m \{t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_{n_i}}\} \neq \emptyset$. Let $s \in \{1, \dots, n+1\} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^m \{t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_{n_i}}\}$. It is not hard to see that $\widehat{a_{s,n+1}}N_i \subseteq L$, for every i , $1 \leq i \leq m$. Hence $\widehat{a_{s,n+1}} \sum_{i=1}^m N_i \subseteq L$. Therefore $\sum_{i=1}^m N_i$ is a classical n -absorbing second submodule of M . \square

A commutative ring R is said to be a *u-ring* provided R has the property that an ideal contained in a finite union of ideals must be contained in one of those ideals. A *um-ring* is a ring R with the property that an R -module which is equal to a finite union of submodules must

be equal to one of them [18]. Now, we would like to study the classical n -absorbing second submodules, where R is a u -ring. First, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.8. *Let R be a u -ring, M be an R -module, N be a non-zero submodule of M , $n \geq 3$ and let j be a positive integer number with $j \in \{1, \dots, n-2\}$. Then (a) \Rightarrow (b) and (a) \Rightarrow (c), where (a), (b) and (c) are the following conditions:*

(a) *For every $a_1, \dots, a_{n-j} \in R$, every ideals I_1, \dots, I_j of R , and submodule K of M with $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n-j} I_1 \dots I_j N \not\subseteq K$, $(K :_R a_1 \dots a_{n-j} I_1 \dots I_j N) = (\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-j} (K :_R \widehat{a_{i,n-j}} I_1 \dots I_j N)) \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^j (K :_R a_1 \dots a_{n-j} \widehat{I_{i,j}} N))$.*

(b) *For every $a_1, \dots, a_{n-j-1} \in R$, every ideals I_1, \dots, I_{j+1} of R , and submodule K of M with $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n-j-1} I_1 \dots I_{j+1} N \not\subseteq K$, $(K :_R a_1 \dots a_{n-j-1} I_1 \dots I_{j+1} N) = (\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-j-1} (K :_R \widehat{a_{i,n-j-1}} I_1 \dots I_{j+1} N)) \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^{j+1} (K :_R a_1 \dots a_{n-j-1} \widehat{I_{i,j+1}} N))$.*

(c) *For every $a_1 \in R$, every ideals I_1, \dots, I_{n-1} of R , and submodule K of M with $a_1 I_1 \dots I_{n-1} N \not\subseteq K$, $(K :_R a_1 I_1 \dots I_{n-1} N) = (K :_R I_1 \dots I_{n-1} N) \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} (K :_R a_1 \widehat{I_{i,n-1}} N))$.*

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b) For every $a_1, \dots, a_{n-j} \in R$, every ideals I_1, \dots, I_{j+1} of R , and submodule K of M with $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n-j-1} I_1 \dots I_{j+1} N \not\subseteq K$, suppose that $a_{n-j} \in (K :_R a_1 \dots a_{n-j-1} I_1 \dots I_{j+1} N)$. Then $a_1 \dots a_{n-j} I_1 \dots I_{j+1} N \subseteq K$ and so $I_{j+1} \subseteq (K :_R a_1 \dots a_{n-j} I_1 \dots I_j N)$. By part (a), we find that if $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n-j} I_1 \dots I_j N \not\subseteq K$, then $I_{j+1} \subseteq (\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-j} (K :_R \widehat{a_{i,n-j}} I_1 \dots I_j N)) \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^j (K :_R a_1 \dots a_{n-j} \widehat{I_{i,j}} N))$. Therefore either $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n-j} I_1 \dots I_j N \subseteq K$ or $I_{j+1} \subseteq (\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-j} (K :_R \widehat{a_{i,n-j}} I_1 \dots I_j N)) \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^j (K :_R a_1 \dots a_{n-j} \widehat{I_{i,j}} N))$. As R is a u -ring, $I_{j+1} \subseteq (\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-j} (K :_R \widehat{a_{i,n-j}} I_1 \dots I_j N)) \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^j (K :_R a_1 \dots a_{n-j} \widehat{I_{i,j}} N))$ and $a_{n-j} \in (\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-j-1} (K :_R \widehat{a_{i,n-j-1}} I_1 \dots I_{j+1} N)) \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^j (K :_R a_1 \dots a_{n-j-1} \widehat{I_{i,j+1}} N))$ are equivalent, because $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n-j-1} I_1 \dots I_{j+1} N \not\subseteq K$. Now, we have either $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n-j} I_1 \dots I_j N \subseteq K$ or $a_{n-j} \in (\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-j-1} (K :_R \widehat{a_{i,n-j-1}} I_1 \dots I_{j+1} N)) \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^j (K :_R a_1 \dots a_{n-j-1} \widehat{I_{i,j+1}} N))$ which implies that $a_{n-j} \in (\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-j-1} (K :_R \widehat{a_{i,n-j-1}} I_1 \dots I_{j+1} N)) \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^{j+1} (K :_R a_1 \dots a_{n-j-1} \widehat{I_{i,j+1}} N))$. Therefore $(K :_R a_1 \dots a_{n-j-1} I_1 \dots I_{j+1} N) \subseteq (\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-j-1} (K :_R \widehat{a_{i,n-j-1}} I_1 \dots I_{j+1} N)) \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^{j+1} (K :_R a_1 \dots a_{n-j-1} \widehat{I_{i,j+1}} N))$. Hence part (b) holds because the reverse inclusion is clear.

(a) \Rightarrow (c) This is clear by repeating (a) \Rightarrow (b), $n-j-1$ times. \square

A proper ideal I is a *strongly n -absorbing ideal* of R if whenever $I_1 \dots I_{n+1} \subseteq I$ for ideals I_1, \dots, I_{n+1} of R then there are n of the I_i 's whose their product is in I [1]. Clearly a strongly n -absorbing ideal of

R is also an n -absorbing ideal of R . Anderson and Badawi conjectured that these two concepts are equivalent, e.g., they proved that an ideal I of a Prüfer domain R is strongly n -absorbing if and only if I is an n -absorbing ideal of R [1, Corollary 6.9]. Now, we are in a position to prove one of the main results.

Theorem 2.9. *Let R be a u -ring, M be an R -module and let N be a non-zero submodule of M . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) N is a classical n -absorbing second submodule of M ;
- (b) For every $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$ and completely irreducible submodule L of M with $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n N \not\subseteq L$, $(L :_R a_1 a_2 \dots a_n N) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n (L :_R \widehat{a_i} N)$;
- (c) For every $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$ and completely irreducible submodule L of M with $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n N \not\subseteq L$, $(L :_R a_1 a_2 \dots a_n N) = (L :_R \widehat{a_i} N)$, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq n$;
- (d) For every $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$, every ideal I of R , and completely irreducible submodule L of M with $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n I N \subseteq L$, either $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n N \subseteq L$ or $\widehat{a_i} I N \subseteq L$, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq n$;
- (e) For every $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in R$ and for ideal I of R and completely irreducible submodule L of M with $a_1 \dots a_{n-1} I N \not\subseteq L$, either $(L :_R a_1 \dots a_{n-1} I N) = (L :_R a_1 \dots a_{n-1} N)$ or $(L :_R a_1 \dots a_{n-1} I N) = (L :_R \widehat{a_{i,n-1}} I N)$, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq n-1$;
- (f) For every $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in R$ and for ideals I_1, I_2 of R and completely irreducible submodule L of M with $a_1 \dots a_{n-1} I_1 I_2 N \subseteq L$, either $a_1 \dots a_{n-1} I_1 N \subseteq L$ or $a_1 \dots a_{n-1} I_2 N \subseteq L$ or $\widehat{a_{i,n-1}} I_1 I_2 N \subseteq L$, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq n-1$;
- (g) For ideals I_1, \dots, I_n of R and completely irreducible submodule L of M with $I_1 I_2 \dots I_n N \not\subseteq L$, $(L :_R I_1 I_2 \dots I_n N) = (L :_R \widehat{I_i} N)$, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq n$;
- (h) For ideals I_1, \dots, I_{n+1} of R and completely irreducible submodule L of M with $I_1 I_2 \dots I_{n+1} N \subseteq L$, $\widehat{I_{i,n+1}} N \subseteq L$, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq n+1$.
- (i) For each completely irreducible submodule L of M with $N \not\subseteq L$, $(L :_R N)$ is a strongly n -absorbing ideal of R .

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b) Let $a \in (L :_R a_1 a_2 \dots a_n N)$. Then $aa_1 a_2 \dots a_n N \subseteq L$. Since $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n N \not\subseteq L$, and N is a classical n -absorbing second submodule of M , we conclude that $a \in (L :_R \widehat{a_i} N)$, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq n$. Therefore $(L :_R a_1 a_2 \dots a_n N) \subseteq (L :_R \widehat{a_1} N) \cup (L :_R \widehat{a_2} N) \cup \dots \cup (L :_R \widehat{a_n} N)$. This completes the proof because the reverse inclusion is clear.

(b) \Rightarrow (c) This follows from the fact that R is a u -ring.

(c) \Rightarrow (d) Suppose that for some $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$, an ideal I of R , and completely irreducible submodule L of M , $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n I N \subseteq L$ and $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n N \not\subseteq L$. This yields that $I \subseteq (L :_R a_1 \dots a_n N)$. Now, by

part (c), $(L :_R a_1 a_2 \dots a_n N) = (L :_R \widehat{a_i} N)$, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq n$. So, $I \subseteq (L :_R \widehat{a_i} N)$, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, as desired.

(d) \Rightarrow (e) \Rightarrow (f) The proofs are similar to that of the previous implications.

(f) \Rightarrow (g) If $n = 2$, then we are done. So we may assume that $n \geq 3$. Let I_1, \dots, I_n be ideals of R , L be a completely irreducible submodule M with $I_1 I_2 \dots I_n N \not\subseteq L$ and let $a_1 \in (L :_R I_1 I_2 \dots I_n N)$. By part (f) we find that part (a) of Lemma 2.8 is true for $j = 1$. Therefore part (c) of Lemma 2.8 holds. So, for every $a_1 \in R$ every ideals I_1, \dots, I_{n-1} we have that $(L :_R a_1 I_1 \dots I_{n-1} N) = (L :_R I_1 \dots I_{n-1} N) \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} ((L :_R a_1 \widehat{I_{i,n-1}} N)))$. In particular, suppose that $a_1 I_1 \dots I_n N \subseteq L$ and $I_1 \dots I_n N \not\subseteq L$. This shows that $I_n \subseteq (L :_R a_1 I_1 \dots I_{n-1} N)$. Hence $I_n \subseteq (L :_R I_1 \dots I_{n-1} N) \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} ((L :_R a_1 \widehat{I_{i,n-1}} N)))$. Since R is a u -ring, either $I_n \subseteq (L :_R I_1 \dots I_{n-1} N)$ or $I_n \subseteq (L :_R a_1 \widehat{I_{i,n-1}} N)$, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$. Therefore either $I_1 \dots I_n N \subseteq L$ or $a_1 \widehat{I_{i,n-1}} I_n N \subseteq L$, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$. As $I_1 \dots I_n N \not\subseteq L$, $(L :_R I_1 I_2 \dots I_n N) = (L :_R \widehat{I_i} N)$, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence part (g) holds.

(g) \Rightarrow (h) \Rightarrow (i) The proofs are clear.

(i) \Rightarrow (a) It is clear by Theorem 2.4 and the fact that every strongly n -absorbing ideal is an n -absorbing ideal. \square

Proposition 2.10. *Let N be a classical n -absorbing second submodule of an R -module M . Then we have the following statements:*

(a) *If $a \in R$, then $a^i N = a^{i+1} N$, for all $i \geq n$.*

(b) *If L is a completely irreducible submodule of M such that $N \not\subseteq L$, then $\sqrt{(L :_R N)}$ is an n -absorbing ideal of R .*

Proof. (a) It is enough to show that $a^n N = a^{n+1} N$. Clearly, $a^{n+1} N \subseteq a^n N$. Let L be a completely irreducible submodule of M such that $a^{n+1} N \subseteq L$. Since N is a classical n -absorbing second submodule, $a^n N \subseteq L$. Hence by Remark 2.1, $a^n N \subseteq a^{n+1} N$.

(b) Assume that $a_1 \dots a_{n+1} \in \sqrt{(L :_R N)}$. Then there is a positive integer t such that $a_1^t \dots a_{n+1}^t N \subseteq L$. Since N is a classical n -absorbing second submodule of M , $\widehat{a_{i,n+1}}^t N \subseteq L$, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq n + 1$. This implies that $\widehat{a_{i,n+1}} \in \sqrt{(L :_R N)}$, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq n + 1$ and the proof is complete. \square

Theorem 2.11. *Let N be a submodule of an R -module M . Then we have the following statements:*

(a) *If R is a u -ring and N is a classical n -absorbing second submodule of M , then IN is a classical n -absorbing second submodule of M for all ideals I of R with $I \not\subseteq \text{Ann}_R(N)$.*

(b) If R is a *um-ring* and N is a classical n -absorbing submodule of M , then $(N :_M I)$ is a classical n -absorbing submodule of M for all ideals I of R with $I \not\subseteq (N :_R M)$.

(c) Let $f : M \rightarrow M'$ be a monomorphism of R -modules. If N' is a classical n -absorbing second submodule of $f(M)$, then $f^{-1}(N')$ is a classical n -absorbing second submodule of M .

Proof. (a) Let I be an ideal of R with $I \not\subseteq \text{Ann}_R(N)$. Since $I \not\subseteq \text{Ann}_R(N)$, IN is a non-zero submodule of M . Let $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$, L be a completely irreducible submodule of M , and $a_1 \dots a_{n+1} IN \subseteq L$. Then by Theorem 2.9 (a) \Rightarrow (d), we find that $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n N \subseteq L$ or $\widehat{a_{i,n+1}} IN \subseteq L$, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq n$. If $\widehat{a_{i,n+1}} IN \subseteq L$, for some i , then we are done. Also, if $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n N \subseteq L$, then $\widehat{a_{n+1,n+1}} IN \subseteq a_1 a_2 \dots a_n N \subseteq L$. This completes the proof.

(b) The proof is clear with [17, Theorem 2.6].

(c) The proof is similar to that of [2, Theorem 2.9]. \square

An R -module M is said to be a *multiplication module* if for every submodule N of M there exists an ideal I of R such that $N = IM$ [10]. An R -module M is said to be a *comultiplication module* if for every submodule N of M there exists an ideal I of R such that $N = (0 :_M I)$, equivalently, for each submodule N of M , we have $N = (0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N))$ [5].

Corollary 2.12. *Let M be an R -module. Then we have the following statements:*

(a) If R is a *u-ring* and M is a multiplication classical n -absorbing second R -module, then every non-zero submodule of M is a classical n -absorbing second submodule of M .

(b) If R is a *um-ring*, M is a comultiplication module and the zero submodule of M is a classical n -absorbing submodule, then every proper submodule of M is a classical n -absorbing submodule of M .

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.11 parts (a) and (b). \square

Proposition 2.13. *Let M be an R -module and $\{K_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a chain of classical n -absorbing second submodules of M . Then $\sum_{i \in I} K_i$ is a classical n -absorbing second submodule of M .*

Proof. We use the technique of the proof of [2, Proposition 2.11]. Let $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$, L be a completely irreducible submodule of M , and let $a_1 \dots a_{n+1} \sum_{i \in I} K_i \subseteq L$. Assume that $\widehat{a_{i,n+1}} \sum_{i \in I} K_i \not\subseteq L$, for every $i = 1, \dots, n$. We prove that $\widehat{a_{n+1,n+1}} \sum_{i \in I} K_i \subseteq L$. There are $t_1, \dots, t_n \in I$, such that $\widehat{a_{i,n+1}} K_{t_i} \not\subseteq L$, for every $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let $K_{t_i} \subseteq K_h$, for every $i = 1, \dots, n$. Clearly, $\widehat{a_{i,n+1}} K_h \not\subseteq L$, for every

$i = 1, \dots, n$. Since K_h is a classical n -absorbing second submodules of M , $\widehat{a_{n+1, n+1} K_h} \subseteq L$. As $\{K_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a chain, $\sum_{i \in I} K_i = \sum_{K_i \subseteq K_h} K_i + \sum_{K_h \subset K_i} K_i = K_h + \sum_{K_h \subset K_i} K_i$. Let $K_{h'} \in \{K_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $K_h \subset K_{h'}$. As we saw before, $\widehat{a_{n+1, n+1} K_{h'}} \subseteq L$ and so $\widehat{a_{n+1, n+1} \sum_{i \in I} K_i} \subseteq L$, as needed. \square

Definition 2.14. We say that a classical n -absorbing second submodule N of an R -module M is a *maximal classical n -absorbing second submodule* of a submodule K of M , if $N \subseteq K$ and there does not exist a classical n -absorbing second submodule T of M such that $N \subset T \subset K$.

Lemma 2.15. *Let M be an R -module. Then every classical n -absorbing second submodule of M is contained in a maximal classical n -absorbing second submodule of M .*

Proof. This is proved easily by Zorn's Lemma and Proposition 2.13. \square

Theorem 2.16. *Let M be an Artinian R -module. Then every non-zero submodule of M has only a finite number of maximal classical n -absorbing second submodules.*

Proof. We use the technique of the proof of [2, Theorem 2.14]. Suppose that there exists a non-zero submodule N of M such that it has an infinite number of maximal classical n -absorbing second submodules. Let S be a submodule of M chosen minimal such that S has an infinite number of maximal classical n -absorbing second submodules because M is an Artinian R -module. Then S is not a classical n -absorbing second submodule. Thus there exist $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$ and a completely irreducible submodule L of M such that $a_1 \dots a_{n+1} S \subseteq L$ and $\widehat{a_{i, n+1} S} \not\subseteq L$, for every i , $1 \leq i \leq n+1$. Let V be a maximal classical n -absorbing second submodule of M contained in S . Then $\widehat{a_{i, n+1} V} \subseteq L$, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq n+1$. Therefore $V \subseteq (L :_M \widehat{a_{i, n+1}})$, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq n+1$. Hence $V \subseteq (L :_S \widehat{a_{i, n+1}})$, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq n+1$. By choice of S , the module $(L :_S \widehat{a_{i, n+1}})$ has only finitely many maximal classical n -absorbing second submodules, for every $i = 1, \dots, n+1$. This implies that there is only a finite number of possibilities for the module S , a contradiction. \square

3. STRONGLY CLASSICAL n -ABSORBING SECOND SUBMODULES

In this section, the notion of strongly classical n -absorbing submodules is introduced and some of their basic properties are given. Most of the results below are the same as ones in [2] when $n = 2$.

Definition 3.1. Let N be a non-zero submodule of an R -module M . We say that N is a *strongly classical n -absorbing second submodule* of M if whenever $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$, L_1, \dots, L_{n+1} are completely irreducible submodules of M , and $a_1 \dots a_{n+1}N \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^{n+1} L_i$, then $\widehat{a_{i,n+1}}N \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^{n+1} L_i$, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq n+1$. We say M is a *strongly classical n -absorbing second module* if M is a strongly classical n -absorbing second submodule of itself.

Theorem 3.2. *Let N be a submodule of an R -module M . Then N is a strongly classical n -absorbing submodule of M if and only if $(K :_R N)$ is an n -absorbing ideal of R , for each submodule K of M with $N \not\subseteq K$.*

Proof. First, suppose that N is a strongly classical n -absorbing submodule of M . Let $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$ and let K be a submodule of M with $a_1 \dots a_{n+1}N \subseteq K$. By contradiction, suppose that $\widehat{a_{i,n+1}}N \not\subseteq K$, for every i , $1 \leq i \leq n+1$. There exist completely irreducible submodules L_1, \dots, L_{n+1} of M such that K is a submodule of them and $\widehat{a_{i,n+1}}N \not\subseteq L_i$, for every i , $1 \leq i \leq n+1$. Clearly, $a_1 \dots a_{n+1}N \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^{n+1} L_i$. Since N is a strongly classical n -absorbing submodule of M , $\widehat{a_{j,n+1}}N \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^{n+1} L_i$, for some j , $1 \leq j \leq n+1$. This shows that $\widehat{a_{j,n+1}}N \subseteq L_j$, for some j , $1 \leq j \leq n+1$, which is a contradiction. Conversely, assume that $(K :_R N)$ is an n -absorbing ideal of R , for each submodule K of M with $N \not\subseteq K$. Let $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$, L_1, \dots, L_{n+1} be completely irreducible submodule of M and let $a_1 \dots a_{n+1}N \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^{n+1} L_i$. Then $a_1 \dots a_{n+1} \in (K :_R N)$, where $K = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n+1} L_i$. Since $(K :_R N)$ is an n -absorbing ideal of R , $\widehat{a_{i,n+1}} \in (K :_R N)$, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq n+1$ and the proof is complete. \square

Remark 3.3. Let N be a non-zero submodule of an R -module M . By the above theorem, N is a strongly classical n -absorbing second submodule of M if whenever $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$, K is a submodules of M , and $a_1 \dots a_{n+1}N \subseteq K$, then $\widehat{a_{i,n+1}}N \subseteq K$, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq n+1$.

Remark 3.4. Let N be a strongly classical n -absorbing second submodule of an R -module M and let $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$. Then $a_1 \dots a_{n+1}N \subseteq a_1 \dots a_{n+1}N$ implies that $\widehat{a_{i,n+1}}N \subseteq a_1 \dots a_{n+1}N$, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq n+1$ by Theorem 3.2. Therefore $\widehat{a_{i,n+1}}N = a_1 \dots a_{n+1}N$, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq n+1$. Hence we conclude that N is a strongly classical n -absorbing second submodule of M if and only if for every $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$, $a_1 \dots a_{n+1}N = \widehat{a_{i,n+1}}N$, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq n+1$. This yields that N is a strongly classical n -absorbing second submodule of M if and only if N is a strongly classical n -absorbing second module.

Example 3.5. By the above remark, we find that the \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z} has no strongly classical n -absorbing second submodule.

Corollary 3.6. *Let N be a non-zero submodule of an R -module M . Then we have the following:*

- (a) *If N is a strongly classical n -absorbing second submodule, then N is a classical n -absorbing second submodule.*
- (b) *If N is a strongly classical n -absorbing second submodule, then N is a strongly classical m -absorbing second submodule, for every $m \geq n$.*
- (c) *If N is a strongly n -absorbing second submodule, then N is a strongly classical n -absorbing second submodule.*
- (d) *If N is a strongly classical n -absorbing second submodule of M , then rN is a strongly classical n -absorbing second submodule of M , for every $r \in R \setminus \text{Ann}_R(N)$.*

Proof. Parts (a) and (b) are clear.

(c) Let $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$, K be a submodule of M and let $a_1 \dots a_n a_{n+1} N \subseteq K$. Then $a_1 \dots a_n N \subseteq (K :_M a_{n+1})$. Since N is a strongly n -absorbing second submodule, either $a_1 \dots a_n N = 0$ or $\widehat{a_t} N \subseteq (K :_M a_{n+1})$, for some t , $1 \leq t \leq n$. Hence $\widehat{a_{t,n+1}} N \subseteq K$, for some t , $1 \leq t \leq n + 1$ and the proof is complete.

(d) The proof is similar to the part (c). □

A non-zero submodule N of an R -module M is said to be a *weakly second submodule* of M if $a_1 a_2 N \subseteq K$, where $a_1, a_2 \in R$ and K is a submodule of M , implies either $a_1 N \subseteq K$ or $a_2 N \subseteq K$ [6].

Proposition 3.7. *Let M be an R -module and let N_i be a strongly classical n_i -absorbing second submodule of M , for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^m N_i$ is a strongly classical n -absorbing second submodule of M , where $n \geq \sum_{i=1}^m n_i$. In particular, if N_1, \dots, N_n are weakly second submodules of M , then $\sum_{i=1}^n N_i$ is a strongly classical n -absorbing second submodule of M .*

Proof. Use Remark 3.3 and apply the proof of Proposition 2.7. □

In the next theorem, we argue about u -ring. Compare parts (a) and (k) of the following result with Theorem 3.2.

Theorem 3.8. *Let R be a u -ring, M be an R -module and let N be a non-zero submodule of M . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) *N is strongly classical n -absorbing second submodule of M ;*
- (b) *For every $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$, K a submodule of M with $a_1 \dots a_n N \subseteq K$, then $\widehat{a_{i,n+1}} N \subseteq K$, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq n + 1$;*

- (c) For every $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$, $a_1 \dots a_{n+1}N = \widehat{a_{i,n+1}}N$, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq n+1$;
- (d) For every $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$, K a submodule of M with $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n N \not\subseteq K$, $(K :_R a_1 a_2 \dots a_n N) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n (K :_R \widehat{a_i}N)$;
- (e) For every $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$, K a submodule of M with $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n N \not\subseteq K$, $(K :_R a_1 a_2 \dots a_n N) = (K :_R \widehat{a_i}N)$, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq n$;
- (f) For every $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$, every ideal I of R , and submodule K of M with $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n IN \subseteq K$, either $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n N \subseteq K$ or $\widehat{a_i}IN \subseteq K$, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq n$;
- (g) For every $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in R$, every ideal I of R , and submodule K of M with $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n-1} IN \not\subseteq K$, either $(K :_R a_1 \dots a_{n-1} IN) = (K :_R a_1 \dots a_{n-1} N)$ or $(K :_R a_1 \dots a_{n-1} IN) = (K :_R \widehat{a_{i,n-1}}IN)$, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq n-1$;
- (h) For every $a \in R$, ideals I_1, \dots, I_n of R , and submodule K of M with $aI_1 \dots I_n N \subseteq K$, either $I_1 \dots I_n N \subseteq K$ or $a\widehat{I_i}N \subseteq K$, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq n$;
- (i) For ideals I_1, \dots, I_n of R , and submodule K of M with $I_1 \dots I_n N \not\subseteq K$, $(K :_R I_1 \dots I_n N) = (K :_R \widehat{I_i}N)$, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq n$;
- (j) For ideals I_1, \dots, I_{n+1} of R , and submodule K of M with $I_1 \dots I_{n+1} N \subseteq K$, $\widehat{I_{i,n+1}}N \subseteq K$, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq n+1$.
- (k) For each submodule K of M with $N \not\subseteq K$, $(K :_R N)$ is a strongly n -absorbing ideal of R .

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b) The proof is clear by Theorem 3.2.

(b) \Rightarrow (c) It is clear by Remark 3.4.

(c) \Rightarrow (d) Suppose that $a \in (K :_R a_1 \dots a_n N)$. Then $aa_1 \dots a_n N \subseteq K$. Since $a_1 \dots a_n N \not\subseteq K$, $a\widehat{a_i}N \subseteq K$, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence $a \in (K :_R \widehat{a_i}N)$, as needed.

(d) \Rightarrow (e) This follows from the fact that R is a u -ring.

(e) \Rightarrow (f) Let for some $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$, an ideal I of R and submodule K of M , $a_1 \dots a_n IN \subseteq K$. Then $I \subseteq (K :_R a_1 \dots a_n N)$. If $a_1 \dots a_n N \subseteq K$, then we are done. Otherwise, by part (e), we find that $I \subseteq (K :_R \widehat{a_i}N)$, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, as desired.

(f) \Rightarrow (g) Trivial.

(g) \Rightarrow (h) By part (g) and Lemma 2.8, we have that for every $a \in R$, every ideals I_1, \dots, I_{n-1} of R , and submodule K of M , either $aI_1 \dots I_{n-1} N \subseteq K$ or $(K :_R aI_1 \dots I_{n-1} N) = (K :_R I_1 \dots I_{n-1} N) \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} (K :_R a\widehat{I_i}N))$. Now, let I_n be an ideal of R and $aI_1 \dots I_n N \subseteq K$. Then either $aI_1 \dots I_{n-1} N \subseteq K$ or $I_n \subseteq (K :_R I_1 \dots I_{n-1} N) \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} (K :_R a\widehat{I_{i,n-1}}N))$. Thus part (h) holds.

(h) \Rightarrow (i) \Rightarrow (j) The proofs are similar to that of the previous implications.

(j) \Rightarrow (k) Trivial.

(k) \Rightarrow (a) It is clear by Theorem 3.2 and the fact that every strongly n -absorbing ideal is an n -absorbing ideal. \square

Proposition 3.9. *Let N be a strongly classical n -absorbing second submodules of an R -module M . Then we have the following statements:*

(a) *If I is an ideal of R , then $I^i N = I^{i+1} N$, for all $i \geq n$.*

(b) *If K is a submodule of M such that $N \not\subseteq K$, then $\sqrt{(K :_R N)}$ is an n -absorbing ideal of R .*

Proof. (a) It is enough to show that $I^n N = I^{n+1} N$. But it is clear by Remark 3.4.

(b) The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 2.10 part (b). \square

Theorem 3.10. *Let N be a submodule of an R -module M and let $f : M \rightarrow M'$ be a monomorphism. Then we have the following:*

(a) *If N is a strongly classical n -absorbing second submodule of M , then $f(N)$ is a strongly n -absorbing second submodule of M' .*

(b) *If N' is a strongly classical n -absorbing second submodule of M' , then $f^{-1}(N')$ is a strongly classical n -absorbing second submodule of M .*

Proof. (a) Since $N \neq 0$ and f is a monomorphism, $f(N) \neq 0$. Let $a_1 \dots a_{n+1} \in R$. By Remark 3.4, we have $a_1 \dots a_{n+1} N = \widehat{a_{i,n+1}} N$, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq n+1$. Thus $a_1 \dots a_{n+1} f(N) = f(a_1 \dots a_{n+1} N) = f(\widehat{a_{i,n+1}} N) = \widehat{a_{i,n+1}} f(N)$. This implies that $f(N)$ is a strongly classical n -absorbing submodule of M' by Remark 3.4.

(b) If $f^{-1}(N') = 0$, then $f(M) \cap N' = f f^{-1}(N') = f(0) = 0$. Thus $N' = 0$, a contradiction. Therefore $f^{-1}(N') \neq 0$. Let $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$, K a submodule of M and $a_1 \dots a_{n+1} f^{-1}(N') \subseteq K$. Then $a_1 \dots a_{n+1} N' = a_1 \dots a_{n+1} (f(M) \cap N') = a_1 \dots a_{n+1} f f^{-1}(N') \subseteq f(K)$. Since N' is a strongly classical n -absorbing second submodule of M' , $\widehat{a_{i,n+1}} N' \subseteq f(K)$, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq n+1$. Therefore $\widehat{a_{i,n+1}} f^{-1}(N') \subseteq f^{-1} f(K) = K$. \square

The following examples show that the two concepts of classical n -absorbing submodules and strongly classical n -absorbing second submodules are different in general.

Example 3.11. For every prime integer p , let $M = \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty}$ as a \mathbb{Z} -module and $G_t = \langle 1/p^t + \mathbb{Z} \rangle$, for $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider $t \leq n$. We prove that G_t is a strongly classical n -absorbing second submodule of \mathbb{Z}_{p^∞} . By Remark 3.4, it is enough to show that for every $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a_1 \dots a_{n+1} G_t =$

$\widehat{a_{i,n+1}}G_t$, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq n+1$. We know that if $(a_i, p) = 1$, for some i , $1 \leq i \leq n+1$, then $a_i G_t = G_t$. Hence $a_1 \dots a_{n+1} G_t = \widehat{a_{i,n+1}} G_t$. Therefore we may assume that $(a_i, p) \neq 1$, for every i , $1 \leq i \leq n+1$. Then we have $a_1 G_t \subseteq G_{t-1}$, $a_1 a_2 G_t \subseteq G_{t-2}$, \dots , $a_1 \dots a_t G_t = 0$ and so $a_1 \dots a_{n+1} G_t = 0$. Since $t \leq n$, $\widehat{a_{n+1,n+1}} G_t = 0 = a_1 \dots a_{n+1} G_t$. This completes the proof.

We note that G_t is not a classical n -absorbing submodule of \mathbb{Z}_{p^∞} . Because $p^{n+1}(1/p^{t+n+1} + \mathbb{Z}) = 1/p^t + \mathbb{Z} \in G_t$ and $p^n(1/p^{t+n+1} + \mathbb{Z}) = 1/p^{t+1} + \mathbb{Z} \notin G_t$.

Example 3.12. Let p be a prime integer and let $t \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. The submodule $p^t \mathbb{Z}$ of the \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z} is classical n -absorbing submodule which is not strongly classical n -absorbing second module.

Proposition 3.13. *Let M be an R -module. Then we have the following:*

(a) *Let R be a u -ring. If M is a comultiplication R -module and N is a strongly classical n -absorbing second submodule of M , then N is a strongly n -absorbing second submodule of M .*

(b) *If N is a strongly classical n -absorbing second submodule of M , then IN is a strongly classical n -absorbing second submodule of M for all ideals I of R with $I \not\subseteq \text{Ann}_R(N)$.*

(c) *If M is a multiplication strongly classical n -absorbing second R -module, then every non-zero submodule of M is a classical n -absorbing second submodule of M .*

(d) *If M is a strongly classical n -absorbing second R -module, then every non-zero homomorphic image of M is a classical n -absorbing second R -module.*

Proof. (a) By Theorem 3.8 part (k), $\text{Ann}_R(N)$ is a strongly n -absorbing ideal of R . Now, the result follows from [7, Theorem 2.12].

(b) It is clear with Remark 3.4.

(c) This follows from part (b).

(d) It is clear with Remark 3.4. □

For a submodule N of an R -module M the *second radical* (or *second socle*) of N is defined as the sum of all second submodules of M contained in N and it is denoted by $\text{sec}(N)$ (or $\text{soc}(N)$). In case N does not contain any second submodule, the second radical of N is defined to be (0) (see [11]).

Theorem 3.14. *Let R be a Prüfer domain and let M be a finitely generated comultiplication R -module. If N is a strongly classical n -absorbing second submodule of M , then $\text{sec}(N)$ is a strongly n -absorbing second submodule of M .*

Proof. Let N be a strongly classical n -absorbing second submodule of M . By Theorem 3.2, $Ann_R(N)$ is a n -absorbing ideal of R . Thus by [1, Theorem 2.1], $\sqrt{Ann_R(N)}$ is an n -absorbing ideal of R . By [3, Theorem 2.12], $Ann_R(sec(N)) = \sqrt{Ann_R(N)}$. Therefore, $Ann_R(sec(N))$ is an n -absorbing ideal of R . Since R is a Prüfer domain, $Ann_R(sec(N))$ is a strongly n -absorbing ideal by [1, Corollary 6.9]. Now, the result follows from [7, Theorem 2.12]. \square

If N is a strongly classical n -absorbing second submodule of M for some positive integer n , then $w_M(N) = \min\{n \mid N \text{ is strongly classical } n\text{-absorbing second submodule of } M\}$; otherwise, set $w_M(N) = \infty$ (we will just write $w(N)$ when the context is clear). Moreover, we define $w_M(0) = 0$. Therefore, for any submodule N of M , we have $w_M(N) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, \infty\}$, with $w_M(N) = 1$ if and only if N is a weakly second submodule of M and $w_M(N) = 0$ if and only if $N = 0$. Then $w_M(N)$ measures, in some sense, how far N is from being a weakly second submodule of M .

Let M_i be an R_i -module for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that $M = M_1 \times \dots \times M_m$ and $R = R_1 \times \dots \times R_m$. Then M is clearly an R -module with componentwise addition and multiplication. Also, each submodule of M is of the form $N = N_1 \times \dots \times N_m$ where N_i is a submodule of M_i . We are now ready for one of the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.15. *Let $R = R_1 \times \dots \times R_m$ ($2 \leq m < \infty$) be a decomposable ring and $M = M_1 \times \dots \times M_m$ be an R -module where for every $1 \leq i \leq m$, M_i is an R_i -module, respectively. Suppose that $N = N_1 \times \dots \times N_m$ is a non-zero submodule of M . Then N is a strongly classical n -absorbing second submodule of M if and only if one of the following conditions holds:*

- (a) $w_{M_t}(N_t) \leq n$, for some $t \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $N_i = 0$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus \{t\}$;
- (b) $w_{M_i}(N_i) \leq n-1$, for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Moreover, $\sum_{i=1}^m w_{M_i}(N_i) \leq n$.

Proof. First, assume that N is a strongly classical n -absorbing second submodule of M . Let $A = \{i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, N_i \neq 0\}$ and let $|A| = t$. With no loss of generality, we may assume that $N_1, \dots, N_t \neq 0$. Consider two following cases:

Case 1. $|A| = 1$. Then $N = N_1 \times 0 \times \dots \times 0$. Set $M' = M_1 \times 0 \times \dots \times 0$. One can see that N is a strongly classical n -absorbing second submodule of M' . Also, it is clear that $M' \cong M_1$ and $N \cong N_1$. Therefore part (a) holds.

Case 2. $|A| \geq 2$. We prove that N_1 is a strongly classical $n - 1$ -absorbing second submodule of M_1 . Since $N_2 \neq 0$, there exists a completely irreducible submodules L_2 of M_2 such that $N_2 \not\subseteq L_2$. Let $a_1 \dots a_n N_1 \subseteq K_1$, for some $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R_1$ and submodule K_1 of M_1 , $\alpha_i = (a_i, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and let $\alpha_{n+1} = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$. Then $\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{n+1}(N_1 \times \dots \times N_m) \subseteq K$, where

$$K = \begin{cases} K_1 \times L_2, & \text{if } m = 2; \\ K_1 \times L_2 \times M_3 \times \dots \times M_m, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore $\widehat{\alpha_{s,n+1}}N \subseteq K$, for some s , $1 \leq s \leq n + 1$. If $s = n + 1$, then we conclude that $N_2 \subseteq L_2$, a contradiction. Hence $\widehat{\alpha_{s,n+1}}N \subseteq K$, for some s , $1 \leq s \leq n$ which shows that $\widehat{a_s}N_1 \subseteq K_1$. Thus N_1 is a strongly classical $n - 1$ -absorbing second submodule of M_1 . Similarly, we can show that N_i is a strongly classical $n - 1$ -absorbing second submodule of M_i , for every i , $2 \leq i \leq t$. Therefore $w_{M_i}(N_i) \leq n - 1$, for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$.

Now, we prove that $\sum_{i=1}^m w_{M_i}(N_i) \leq n$. Let $w_{M_i}(N_i) = n_i$, for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Since $w_{M_i}(N_i) = n_i > 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, t$, there exist submodules K_i of M_i , distinct elements $a_1, \dots, a_{n_1} \in R_1$, $a_{n_1+1}, \dots, a_{n_1+n_2} \in R_2$, \dots , $a_{(\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} n_i)+1}, \dots, a_{\sum_{i=1}^t n_i} \in R_t$ such that the following t conditions hold:

- (1) $a_1 \dots a_{n_1} N_1 \subseteq K_1$ and $\overline{a_s^{(1)}} N_1 \not\subseteq K_1$, for every s , $1 \leq s \leq n_1$ (Here $\overline{a_s^{(1)}}$ is the product of all elements of $\{a_1, \dots, a_{n_1}, 1\} \setminus \{a_s\}$);
 - (2) $a_{n_1+1} \dots a_{n_1+n_2} N_2 \subseteq K_2$ and $\overline{a_s^{(2)}} N_2 \not\subseteq K_2$, for every s , $n_1 + 1 \leq s \leq n_1 + n_2$ (Here $\overline{a_s^{(2)}}$ is the product of all elements of $\{a_{n_1+1}, \dots, a_{n_1+n_2}, 1\} \setminus \{a_s\}$);
 - \vdots
 - (t) $a_{(\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} n_i)+1} \dots a_{\sum_{i=1}^t n_i} N_t \subseteq K_t$ and $\overline{a_s^{(t)}} N_t \not\subseteq K_t$, for every s , (Here $\overline{a_s^{(t)}}$ is the product of all elements of $\{a_{\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} n_i+1}, \dots, a_{\sum_{i=1}^t n_i}, 1\} \setminus \{a_s\}$).
- Let e_j be a $1 \times m$ vector whose the j 'th component is 1_{R_j} and other components are 0 and let

$$\beta_i = \begin{cases} a_i e_1 + \sum_{j \neq 1} e_j, & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n_1; \\ a_i e_2 + \sum_{j \neq 2} e_j, & \text{if } n_1 + 1 \leq i \leq n_1 + n_2; \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ a_i e_t + \sum_{j \neq t} e_j, & \text{if } (\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} n_i) + 1 \leq i \leq \sum_{i=1}^t n_i. \end{cases}$$

It is not hard to see that $\beta_1 \dots \beta_{\sum_{i=1}^t n_i}(N_1 \times \dots \times N_m) \subseteq K$, where

$$K = \begin{cases} K_1 \times K_2 \times \dots \times K_t, & \text{if } t = m; \\ K_1 \times K_2 \times \dots \times K_t \times M_{t+1} \times \dots \times M_m, & \text{if } t < m. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, $\beta_{\widehat{s, \sum_{i=1}^t n_i}}(N_1 \times \dots \times N_m) \not\subseteq K$ for all $1 \leq s \leq \sum_{i=1}^t n_i$. This yields that $n \geq \sum_{i=1}^t n_i$. Therefore part (b) holds.

Conversely, assume that one of the conditions (a) and (b) holds. Let $N'_1 = N_1 \times 0 \times \dots \times 0$, $M'_1 = M_1 \times 0 \times \dots \times 0$, $N'_2 = 0 \times N_2 \times 0 \times \dots \times 0$, $M'_2 = 0 \times M_2 \times 0 \times \dots \times 0, \dots, N'_m = 0 \times \dots \times 0 \times N_m$ and let $M'_m = 0 \times \dots \times 0 \times M_m$. Clearly, $N'_i \cong N_i$, $M'_i \cong M_i$, $M \cong \sum_{i=1}^m M'_i$ and $N \cong \sum_{i=1}^m N'_i$. Now, the result follows from Proposition 3.7 and Theorem 3.10. \square

Proposition 3.16. *Let M be a non-zero R -module. Then we have the following:*

(a) *If M is a finitely generated strongly classical n -absorbing second R -module, then the zero submodule of M is a classical n -absorbing submodule.*

(b) *If M is a multiplication strongly classical n -absorbing second R -module, then the zero submodule of M is a classical n -absorbing submodule.*

(c) *Let R be a um-ring. If M is a Artinian R -module and the zero submodule of M is a classical n -absorbing submodule, then M is a strongly classical n -absorbing second R -module.*

(d) *Let R be a um-ring. If M is a comultiplication R -module and the zero submodule of M is a classical n -absorbing submodule, then M is a strongly classical n -absorbing second R -module.*

Proof. (a) Let $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$, $m \in M$, and $a_1 \dots a_{n+1}m = 0$. By Remark 3.4, we can assume that $a_1 \dots a_{n+1}M = a_1 \dots a_nM$. Since M is finitely generated, by using [16, Theorem 76], $\text{Ann}_R(a_1 \dots a_nM) + Ra_{n+1} = R$. It follows that $(0 :_M a_1 \dots a_{n+1}) = (0 :_M a_1 \dots a_n)$. This implies that $a_1 \dots a_n m = 0$, as needed.

(b) Use Remark 3.4 and the technique of [2, Theorem 3.11, part (b)].

(c) Let $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$. Then by [17, Theorem 2.6], we can assume that $(0 :_M a_1 \dots a_{n+1}) = (0 :_M a_1 \dots a_n)$. Now, apply the proof of [2, Theorem 3.11, part (c)] and Remark 3.4.

(d) Let $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$. Then by [17, Theorem 2.6], we can assume that $(0 :_M a_1 \dots a_{n+1}) = (0 :_M a_1 \dots a_n)$. Now, the proof is similar to [2, Theorem 3.11, part (d)]. \square

Proposition 3.17. *Let M be an R -module and $\{K_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a chain of strongly classical n -absorbing second submodules of M . Then $\sum_{i \in I} K_i$ is a strongly classical n -absorbing second submodule of M .*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 2.13. \square

Definition 3.18. We say that a strongly classical n -absorbing second submodule N of an R -module M is a *maximal strongly classical n -absorbing second submodule* of a submodule K of M , if $N \subseteq K$ and there does not exist a strongly classical n -absorbing second submodule T of M such that $N \subset T \subset K$.

Lemma 3.19. *Let M be an R -module. Then every strongly classical n -absorbing second submodule of M is contained in a maximal strongly classical n -absorbing second submodule of M .*

Proof. This is proved easily by Zorn's Lemma and Proposition 3.17. \square

Theorem 3.20. *Let M be an Artinian R -module. Then every non-zero submodule of M has only a finite number of maximal strongly classical n -absorbing second submodules.*

Proof. Use the technique of Theorem 2.16 and apply the above lemma. \square

Theorem 3.21. *Let R be a um-ring and M be an R -module. If E is an injective R -module and N is a classical n -absorbing submodule of M such that $\text{Hom}_R(M/N, E) \neq 0$, then $\text{Hom}_R(M/N, E)$ is a strongly classical n -absorbing second R -module.*

Proof. Use [17, Theorem 2.6] and apply the proof of [2, Theorem 3.21]. \square

Theorem 3.22. *Let M be a strongly classical n -absorbing second R -module and F be a right exact linear covariant functor over the category of R -modules. Then $F(M)$ is a strongly classical n -absorbing second R -module if $F(M) \neq 0$.*

Proof. It is clear by [6, Lemma 3.14] and Remark 3.4. \square

By previous theorem, we deduce the following result.

Corollary 3.23. *Let M be an R -module, S be a multiplicative subset of R and N be a strongly classical n -absorbing second submodule of M . Then $S^{-1}N$ is a strongly classical n -absorbing second submodule of $S^{-1}M$ if $S^{-1}N \neq 0$.*

Acknowledgments

The author wishes to thank referee for her/his valuable and fruitful comments.

REFERENCES

1. D. F. Anderson, A. Badawi, *On n -absorbing ideals of commutative rings*, Comm. Algebra, **39** (2011), 1646-1672.
2. H. Ansari-Toroghy, F. Farshadifar, *Classical and strongly classical 2-absorbing second submodules*, Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Ser. A1 Math. Stat., (1) **69** (2020), 123-136.
3. H. Ansari-Toroghy, F. Farshadifar, *On the dual notion of prime radicals of submodules*, Asian Eur. J. Math., (2) **6** (2013), 1350024.
4. H. Ansari-Toroghy, F. Farshadifar, *Some generalizations of second submodules*, Palest. J. Math., (2) **8** (2019), 1-10.
5. H. Ansari-Toroghy, F. Farshadifar, *The dual notion of multiplication modules*, Taiwanese J. Math., (4) **11** (2007), 1189-1201.
6. H. Ansari-Toroghy, F. Farshadifar, *The dual notion of some generalizations of prime submodules*, Comm. Algebra, **39** (2011), 2396-2416.
7. H. Ansari-Toroghy, F. Farshadifar, S. Maleki-Roudposhti, *n -absorbing and strongly n -absorbing second submodules*, Bol. Soc. Parana. Mat., (1) **39** (2021), 9-22.
8. H. Ansari-Toroghy, F. Farshadifar, S. S. Pourmortazavi, *On the P -interiors of submodules of Artinian modules*, Hacet. J. Math. Stat., (3) **45** (2016), 675-682.
9. A. Badawi, *On 2-absorbing ideals of commutative rings*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **75** (2007), 417-429.
10. A. Barnard, *Multiplication modules*, J. Algebra, **71** (1981), 174-178.
11. S. Ceken, M. Alkan, P.F. Smith, *The dual notion of the prime radical of a module*, J. Algebra, **392** (2013), 265-275.
12. A. Y. Darani, F. Soheilnia, *On n -absorbing submodules*, Math. Commun., **17** (2012), 547-557.
13. J. Dauns, *Prime modules*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **298** (1978), 156-181.
14. L. Fuchs, W. Heinzer, B. Olberding, *Commutative ideal theory without finiteness conditions: Irreducibility in the quotient field*, in : *Abelian Groups, Rings, Modules, and Homological Algebra*, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math. **249** (2006), 121-145.
15. N. Groenewaldon, *Principally right 2-absorbing primary and weakly 2-absorbing primary ideals*, J. Algebra Relat. Topics, (1) **9** (2021), 47-67
16. I. Kaplansky, *Commutative rings*, University of Chicago Press, 1978.
17. R. Nikandish, M. J. Nikmehr, A. Yassine, *On classical n -absorbing submodules*, Le Matematiche, (2) **74** (2019), 301-320.
18. P. Quartararo, H. S. Butts, *Finite unions of ideals and modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **52** (1975), 91-96.
19. S. Yassemi, *The dual notion of prime submodules*, Arch. Math. (Brno), **37** (2001), 273-278.
20. S. Yassemi, *The dual notion of the cyclic modules*, Kobe. J. Math., **15** (1998), 41-46.

S. Khojasteh

Department of Mathematics, Lahijan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Lahijan,
Iran

Email: s_khojasteh@liau.ac.ir