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# RELATIONS BETWEEN $G$-SETS AND THEIR ASSOCIATE $\widehat{G}$-SETS 

N. RAKHSH KHORSHID AND S. OSTADHADI-DEHKORDI*


#### Abstract

In this paper, we define and consider $G$-set on $\Gamma$ semihypergroups and we obtain relations between $G$-sets and their associate $\widehat{G}$-sets where $G$ is a $\Gamma$-semihypergroup and $\widehat{G}$ is an associated semihypergroup. Finally, we obtain the relation between direct limit of $\widehat{G}$-sets from the direct limit defined on $G$-sets.


## 1. Introduction

The concept of semigroup generalized by Sen and Saha[23]. They defined the notion of a $\Gamma$-semigroup as a generalization of a semigroup. In continue, mathematicians extended many classical properties of semigroups to $\Gamma$-semigroups, for instance Chattopadhyay [1, 2], Hila [18, 19], Hila et. al. [20], Sen et. al. [23, 24] and many others.

The concept of hypergroup was introduced in 1934 by a French mathematician F. Marty [22] and he published some notes on hypergroups, using this concept in algebraic functions, rational fractions, non-commutative groups. The concept of $\Gamma$-semihypergroups was introduced by Davvaz et al [17]. After that Dehkordi et. al. [6, 7] investigated the ideals, rough ideals, homomorphisms and regular relations of $\Gamma$-semihypergroups. Dehkordi et al introduced the notions of another $\Gamma$-hyperstructures [11]. Also, Dehkordi defined the notion quasi-order $\Gamma$-semihypergroup and introduced quasi-order semihypergroups associated with a quasi-order $\Gamma$-semihypergroups [12].
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In this paper, we will introduce the notion $G$-set in context of $\Gamma$ semihypergroups as a generalization of $G$-set on semihypergroups. Also, we will obtain the notion $\widehat{G}$-set associated with $G$-set and study its relations with $G$-set. Finally, we will prove that the direct limit on $\widehat{G}$-set exists by using of direct limit on $G$-set.

## 2. Preliminaries

First of all, we recall some notions and results about $\Gamma$-semihypergroup that we shall use in the following paragraphs. Let $G$ be a nonempty set and $\mathcal{P}^{*}(G)$ be the set of all nonempty subsets of $G$. A map ० : $G \times G \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{*}(G)$ is called hyperoperation on $G$ and the couple $(G, \circ)$ is called hypergroupoid. When $(x, y) \in G^{2}$ then its image under $\circ$ is denoted by $x \circ y$. Let $A$ and $B$ be nonempty subsets of hypergroupoid $G$. Then, $A \circ B$ is given by $A \circ B=\bigcup_{a \in A, b \in B} a \circ b$. Also, $x \circ A$ is used for $\{x\} \circ A$. A hypergroupoid $(G, \circ)$ is called semihypergroup if hyperoperation $\circ$ is associative and a semihypergroup is hypergroup if for all $x \in G, G=x \circ G=G \circ x$.

Definition 2.1. Let $G$ and $\Gamma$ be nonempty subsets. Then, $G$ is called a $\Gamma$-semihypergroup, when for every $\alpha \in \Gamma$ there is a hyperoperation $\oplus_{\alpha}: G \times G \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{*}(G)$ such that for every $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and $x, y, z \in G$ :

$$
\left(x \oplus_{\alpha} y\right) \oplus_{\beta} z=x \oplus_{\alpha}\left(y \oplus_{\beta} z\right)
$$

A $\Gamma$-semihypergroup $G$ is called $\Gamma$-hypergroup, when for every $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and $x \in G$,

$$
G=x \oplus_{\alpha} G=G \oplus_{\alpha} x
$$

Definition 2.2. Let $G$ be a $\Gamma$-hypergroup. Then, we say that $G$ is a $\Gamma$-polygroup if the following conditions hold:
(1) $\forall \alpha \in \Gamma, \exists e_{\alpha} \in G: \forall g \in G, e_{\alpha} \oplus_{\alpha} g=g \oplus_{\alpha} e_{\alpha}=g$,
(2) $\forall g \in G, \exists g^{-1} \in G, e_{\alpha} \in G: e_{\alpha} \in g \oplus_{\alpha} g^{-1} \cap g^{-1} \oplus_{\alpha} g$,
(3) $g_{1} \in g_{2} \oplus_{\alpha} g_{3} \Longrightarrow g_{2} \in g_{1} \oplus_{\alpha} g_{3}^{-1}, g_{3} \in g_{2}^{-1} \oplus_{\alpha} g_{1}$.

Also, we say that a $\Gamma$-polygroup $G$ is a canonical $\Gamma$-hypergroup, if the following condition holds:
$\forall g_{1}, g_{2} \in G, \alpha \in \Gamma, \quad g_{1} \oplus_{\alpha} g_{2}=g_{2} \oplus_{\alpha} g_{1}$.
Example 2.3. Suppose that $G$ is a canonical hypergroup, $H \leq G$ and $\Gamma=H$. For all $\alpha \in \Gamma$, we define

$$
H g_{1} H \oplus_{\alpha} H g_{2} H=H g_{1} \alpha \alpha^{-1} g_{2} H=\bigcup_{t \in g_{1} \alpha \alpha^{-1} g_{2}} H t H
$$

Therefore, $G / / H=\{H g H: g \in G\}$ is a $\Gamma$-polygroup.

Definition 2.4. Let $G$ be a $\Gamma$-semihypergroup and $X$ be a non-empty set. We say that $X$ is a left $G$-set, if there is an external hyperoperation $h: G \times X \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{*}(X)$ with the property

$$
h\left(g_{1} \oplus_{\alpha} g_{2}, x\right)=h\left(g_{1}, h\left(g_{2}, x\right)\right)
$$

where $\alpha \in \Gamma, g_{1}, g_{2} \in G$ and $x \in X$.
If $e$ is an scalar identity of $G$, we say that $X$ has a unitary when $h(e, x)=x$, for every $x \in X$.

Dually, a non-empty set $X$ is a right $G$-set if there is an external hyperoperation $h: X \times G \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{*}(X)$,

$$
h\left(x, g_{1} \oplus_{\alpha} g_{2}\right)=h\left(h\left(x, g_{1}\right), g_{2}\right)
$$

In the same way, we say that $X$ has a unitary when $h(x, e)=x$, for every $x \in X$.

Example 2.5. Suppose that $G$ is a semihypergroup, $N$ is a subsemihypergroup of $G$ and $\Gamma=\{n \in N: \mathrm{n}$ is an scalar element of G$\}$. Then, $G$ is a $\Gamma$-semihypergroup and $N$ is a $\Gamma$-subsemihypergroup of $G$ with the following hyperoperation:

$$
\forall x, y \in G, n \in \Gamma, \quad x \oplus_{n} y=x \cdot n \cdot y
$$

Therefore, $G \times N$ is a $\Gamma$-semihypergroup by following hyperoperation:

$$
\left(g_{1}, n_{1}\right) \oplus_{n}\left(g_{2}, n_{2}\right)=\left\{(t, s): t \in g_{1} g_{2}, s \in n_{1} n_{2}\right\},
$$

where $\left(g_{1}, n_{1}\right),\left(g_{2}, n_{2}\right) \in G \times N$.
Also, we define the equivalence relation $N^{*}$ on $G$ as follows:

$$
\forall x, y \in G, x N^{*} y \Longleftrightarrow \forall n \in N, x \oplus_{n} N=y \oplus_{n} N
$$

Thus, $\left[G: N^{*}\right]=\left\{[x]_{N^{*}}: x \in G\right\}$ is a left $(G \times N)$-set:

$$
\begin{gathered}
h:(G \times N) \times\left[G: N^{*}\right] \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{*}\left(\left[G: N^{*}\right]\right), \\
h\left((g, n),[x]_{N^{*}}\right)=\left[g \oplus_{n} x\right]_{N^{*}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Definition 2.6. Let $G$ and $H$ be $\Gamma$-semihypergroups. Then, we say that $X$ is a $(G, H)$-set if it is a left $G$-set by external hyperoperation $h_{1}: G \times X \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{*}(X)$ and a right $H$-set by external hyperoperation $h_{2}: X \times H \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{*}(X)$ and

$$
h_{2}\left(h_{1}(g, x), h\right)=h_{1}\left(g, h_{2}(x, h)\right),
$$

where $g \in G, h \in H$ and $x \in X$.
Definition 2.7. Let $G$ be a canonical $\Gamma$-hypergroup and $X$ be a left $G$-set. Then, we say that $X$ is reversible if $x_{1} \in h\left(g, x_{2}\right)$ implies that $x_{2} \in h\left(g^{-1}, x_{1}\right)$, where $x_{1}, x_{2} \in X$ and $g \in G$.

Definition 2.8. Let $G$ be a $\Gamma$-semihypergroup and $X$ be a left $G$-set and $x \in X$. Then, stabilizer $x$ defined as follows:

$$
\operatorname{Stab}(x)=\{g \in G: x=h(g, x)\} .
$$

Definition 2.9. [12] Let $H$ be a $\Gamma$-semihypergroup and the relation $\rho$ defined on

$$
H \times \Gamma=\{(x, \alpha): x \in H, \alpha \in \Gamma\}
$$

as follows:

$$
(x, \alpha) \rho(y, \beta) \Longleftrightarrow \forall z \in H, x \oplus_{\alpha} z=y \oplus_{\beta} z
$$

This relation is equivalence. Then, the set $\widehat{H}=\left\{[(x, \alpha)]_{\rho}: x \in H, \alpha \in\right.$ $\Gamma\}$ is a semihypergroup by the following hyperoperation:

$$
[(x, \alpha)]_{\rho} \circ[(y, \beta)]_{\rho}=\left\{[(z, \beta)]_{\rho}: z \in x \oplus_{\alpha} y\right\} .
$$

Let $X$ be a left $G$-set, $A, B \subseteq X$ and $\Theta$ be an equivalence relation on $X$. Then, we say that $(A, B) \in \bar{\Theta}$ if for every $a \in A$ there is $b \in B$ such that $(a, b) \in \Theta$ and for every $b^{\prime} \in B$ there is $a^{\prime} \in A$ such that $\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right) \in \Theta$.

Definition 2.10. Let $G$ be a $\Gamma$-semihypergroup and $X$ be a left $G$-set. Then, an equivalence relation $\Theta$ is called regular, when

$$
\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \Theta \Longrightarrow\left(h\left(g, x_{1}\right), h\left(g, x_{2}\right)\right) \in \bar{\Theta} .
$$

By the regular relation on left $G$-sets, we can construct quotient left $G$-sets as follows:
Proposition 2.11. Let $X$ be a left $G$-set and $\Theta$ be an equivalence relation on $X$. Then, $[X: \Theta]=\left\{[x]_{\Theta}: x \in X\right\}$ is a left $G$-set by the following hyperoperation:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{h}: G \times[X: \Theta] \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{*}([X: \Theta]) \\
\bar{h}\left(g,[x]_{\Theta}\right)=\left\{[t]_{\Theta}: t \in h(g, x)\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

such that $X$ is a left $G$-set by a hyperoperation $h: G \times X \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{*}(X)$.
Proof. Suppose that $\left[x_{1}\right]_{\Theta}=\left[x_{2}\right]_{\Theta}$. Since $\Theta$ is regular relation on $X$, implies that $\left(h\left(g, x_{1}\right), h\left(g, x_{2}\right)\right) \in \bar{\Theta}$. Hence, $\bar{h}\left(g,\left[x_{1}\right]_{\Theta}\right)=\bar{h}\left(g,\left[x_{2}\right]_{\Theta}\right)$ and the hyperoperation $\bar{h}$ is well-defined. Also, for $[x]_{\Theta} \in[X: \Theta]$ and $g_{1}, g_{2} \in G$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{h}\left(g_{1} \oplus_{\alpha} g_{2},[x]_{\Theta}\right) & =\bigcup_{g \in g_{1} \oplus_{\alpha} g_{2}} \bar{h}\left(g,[x]_{\Theta}\right) \\
& \left.=\bigcup_{g \in g_{1} \oplus \alpha g_{2}}\{t]_{\Theta}: t \in h(g, x)\right\} \\
& =\left\{[t]_{\Theta}: t \in h\left(g_{1} \oplus_{\alpha} g_{2}, x\right)\right\} \\
& =\left\{[t]_{\Theta}: t \in h\left(g_{1}, h\left(g_{2}, x\right)\right)\right\} \\
& =\bar{h}\left(g_{1}, \bar{h}\left(g_{2},[x]_{\Theta}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This complete the proof.

Proposition 2.12. Let $G$ be a commutative $\Gamma$-semihypergroup and $X$ be a left $G$-set. Then, $X$ is a $(G, G)$-set.

Proof. The proof is straightforward.
Example 2.13. Let $G$ be a canonical hypergroup and $H$ be a subcanonical hypergroup of $G$. Then, $G$ is a left $H$-set by the following hyperoperation:

$$
\begin{gathered}
h: H \times G \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{*}(G), \\
h(\alpha, g)=\alpha^{-1} g \alpha .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in H$ and $g \in G$. Therefore, $h\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}, g\right)=\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}\right)^{-1} g\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}\right)$ and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
h\left(\alpha_{1}, h\left(\alpha_{2}, g\right)\right) & =h\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}^{-1} g \alpha_{2}\right) \\
& =\alpha_{1}^{-1}\left(\alpha_{2}^{-1} g \alpha_{2}\right) \alpha_{1} \\
& =\left(\alpha_{2} \alpha_{1}\right)^{-1} g\left(\alpha_{2} \alpha_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Because $H$ is canonical hypergroup, we have $\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}=\alpha_{2} \alpha_{1}$. Also, $G$ is a right $H$-set, because $H$ is commutative.

Definition 2.14. A map $\phi: X \longrightarrow Y$ from a left $G$-set $X$ (By a hyperoperation $h_{1}$ ) into a left $G$-set $Y$ (By a hyperoperation $h_{2}$ ) is called a $G$-map if

$$
\phi\left(h_{1}(g, x)\right)=h_{2}(g, \phi(x)) .
$$

When $X$ and $Y$ are $(G, H)$-sets and $\phi: X \longrightarrow Y$ is a $G$-map and an $H$-map, then $\phi$ is called $(G, H)$-map. A $G$-map $\phi$ is called isomorphism when it is both one to one and onto.
Let $\operatorname{Mor}(X, Y)$ be the set of all $G$-maps from $X$ into $Y$, where $X$ and $Y$ are left $G$-sets. Then, $\operatorname{Mor}(X, Y)$ is a left $G$-set.

Definition 2.15. Let $(I, \leq)$ be a partially ordered set and $\left\{X_{i}: i \in I\right\}$ be a collection of $(G, H)$-sets, where $G$ and $H$ be $\Gamma$-semihypergroups. Also, for every $i, j \in I$ such that $i \leq j$, there are $(G, H)$-maps $\alpha_{i j}$ : $X_{i} \longrightarrow X_{j}$ such that
(1) $\alpha_{i i}=I_{X_{i}}$,
(2) $\alpha_{i j} \circ \alpha_{j k}=\alpha_{i k}$.

Then, we say that $\left(X_{i}, \alpha_{i j}\right)_{i, j \in I}$ is a direct system of $(G, H)$-sets.
A $(G, H)$-set $X$ is called a direct limit of $\left(X_{i}, \alpha_{i j}\right)_{i, j \in I}$ if there exist $(G, H)$-maps $\beta_{i}: X_{i} \longrightarrow X$ such that for all $i \leq j, \beta_{j} \circ \alpha_{i j}=\beta_{i}$. Also, if there exists a $(G, H)$-set $Y$ with the property that there exist $(G, H)$-maps $\gamma_{i}: X_{i} \longrightarrow Y$ such that $\gamma_{j} \circ \alpha_{i j}=\gamma_{i}$, where $i \leq j$, then there is a unique $(G, H)$-map $\delta: X \longrightarrow Y$ such that $\delta \circ \beta_{i}=\gamma_{i}$, for every $i \in I$. We write $\lim _{i \in I} X_{i}=X$.
3. Relations between $G$-SEtS and their associate $\widehat{G}$-SEtS

In this section, we introduce the notion $\widehat{G}$-set by use of the notion $G$ set. Also, we define a regular relation $\widehat{\Theta}$ on $\widehat{G}$-sets and obtain some examples and results. Throughout this section, $G$ is a $\Gamma$-semihypergroup unless otherwise states. In continue, we construct $\widehat{G}$-set, where $\widehat{G}$ is an associated semihypergroup of $\Gamma$-semihypergroup $G$.

Let $G$ be a $\Gamma$-semihypergroup, $X$ be a left $G$-set by a hyperoperation $h: G \times X \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{*}(X)$ and the relation $\lambda$ defined on

$$
X \times G \times \Gamma=\{(x, g, \gamma): x \in X, g \in G, \gamma \in \Gamma\}
$$

as follows:

$$
(x, g, \alpha) \lambda\left(y, g^{\prime}, \beta\right) \Longleftrightarrow \forall g^{\prime \prime} \in G, h\left(g \oplus_{\alpha} g^{\prime \prime}, x\right)=h\left(g^{\prime} \oplus_{\beta} g^{\prime \prime}, y\right) .
$$

Then, $\lambda$ is an equivalence relation and

$$
\widehat{X}=\left\{[(x, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda}: x \in X, g \in G, \alpha \in \Gamma\right\},
$$

is a left $\widehat{G}$-set by the following hyperoperation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{h}: \widehat{G} \times \widehat{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{*}(\widehat{X}), \\
& \widehat{h}\left([(g, \alpha)]_{\rho},\left[\left(x, g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right)=\left[\left(h(g, x), g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Because

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{h}\left(\left[\left(g_{1}, \alpha\right)\right]_{\rho} \circ\left[\left(g_{2}, \beta\right)\right]_{\rho},\left[\left(x, g^{\prime}, \gamma\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right) & =\widehat{h}\left(\left[\left(g_{1} \oplus_{\alpha} g_{2}, \beta\right)\right]_{\rho},\left[\left(x, g^{\prime}, \gamma\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right) \\
& =\left[\left(h\left(g_{1} \oplus_{\alpha} g_{2}, x\right), g^{\prime}, \gamma\right)\right]_{\lambda} \\
& =\left[\left(h\left(g_{1}, h\left(g_{2}, x\right)\right), g^{\prime}, \gamma\right)\right]_{\lambda} \\
& =\widehat{h}\left(\left[\left(g_{1}, \alpha\right)\right]_{\rho},\left[\left(h\left(g_{2}, x\right), g^{\prime}, \gamma\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right) \\
& =\widehat{h}\left(\left[\left(g_{1}, \alpha\right)\right]_{\rho}, \widehat{h}\left(\left[\left(g_{2}, \beta\right)\right]_{\rho},\left[\left(x, g^{\prime}, \gamma\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $\left[\left(g_{1}, \alpha\right)\right]_{\rho},\left[\left(g_{2}, \beta\right)\right]_{\rho} \in \widehat{G}$ and $\left[\left(x, g^{\prime}, \gamma\right)\right]_{\lambda} \in \widehat{X}$.
Definition 3.1. Let $X$ be a left $G$-set and $A \subseteq X$. Then, we define

$$
\widehat{A}=\left\{[(x, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda}: x \in A, g \in G, \alpha \in \Gamma\right\} .
$$

Example 3.2. Let $G$ be a canonical $\Gamma$-hypergroup and $X$ be a reversible left $G$-set with unit by an external hyperoperation $h$. Then, we define the equivalence relation $\equiv$ on $X$ as follows:

$$
\forall x_{1}, x_{2} \in X, x_{1} \equiv x_{2} \Longleftrightarrow \exists g \in G: x_{1} \in h\left(g, x_{2}\right) .
$$

Let $x \equiv y$ and $g^{\prime} \in G$ be arbitrary. Then, we prove that $h\left(g^{\prime}, x\right) \equiv h\left(g^{\prime}, y\right)$. If $u \in h\left(g^{\prime}, x\right)$ be arbitrary, then $x \equiv y$ implies that there exists $g \in G$ such that $x \in h(g, y)$. Hence,

$$
u \in h\left(g^{\prime}, x\right) \subseteq h\left(g^{\prime}, h(g, y)\right)=h\left(g^{\prime} \oplus_{\alpha} g, y\right)=h\left(g \underset{\alpha}{\oplus} g^{\prime}, y\right)=h\left(g, h\left(g^{\prime}, y\right)\right),
$$

because $G$ is commutative. Thus, There exists $v \in h\left(g^{\prime}, y\right)$ such that $u \in$ $h(g, v)$. This means that $u \equiv v$. Similarly, we can show that for every $v \in h\left(g^{\prime}, y\right)$, there exists $u \in h\left(g^{\prime}, x\right)$ such that $u \equiv v$. We conclude that $\equiv$ is regular. Also, $[X: \equiv]=\left\{[x]_{\equiv}: x \in X\right\}$ is a left $G$-set by the following hyperoperation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h^{\oplus}: G \times[X: \equiv] \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{*}([X: \equiv]) \\
& h^{\oplus}\left(g,[x]_{\equiv}\right)=[h(g, x)]_{\equiv}
\end{aligned}
$$

First, we show that $h^{\oplus}$ is well-defined. Suppose that $\left(g_{1},\left[x_{1}\right]_{\equiv}\right)=\left(g_{2},\left[x_{2}\right]_{\equiv}\right)$. Hence, $g_{1}=g_{2}$ and $\left[x_{1}\right]_{\equiv}=\left[x_{2}\right]_{\equiv}$. This implies that $x_{1} \equiv x_{2}$. Then, $h\left(g_{1}, x_{1}\right) \equiv h\left(g_{2}, x_{2}\right)$, because $\equiv$ is regular. We obtain

$$
\left[h\left(g_{1}, x_{1}\right)\right]_{\equiv}=\left[h\left(g_{2}, x_{2}\right)\right]_{\equiv}
$$

Also, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
h^{\oplus}\left(g_{1} \oplus_{\alpha} g_{2},[x]_{\equiv}\right) & =\left[h\left(g_{1} \oplus_{\alpha} g_{2}, x\right)\right]_{\equiv} \\
& =\left[h\left(g_{1}, h\left(g_{2}, x\right)\right)\right]_{\equiv} \\
& =h^{\oplus}\left(g_{1},\left[h\left(g_{2}, x\right)\right]_{\equiv}\right) \\
& =h^{\oplus}\left(g_{1}, h^{\oplus}\left(g_{2},[x]_{\equiv}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

 $\widehat{G}$-set.

Example 3.3. Consider the left $(G \times N)$-set $\left[G: N^{*}\right]$ defined in Example 2.5. We obtain

$$
\left[\widehat{G: N^{*}}\right]=\left\{\left[\left([x]_{N^{*}},(g, n), n^{\prime}\right)\right]_{\lambda}:[x]_{N^{*}} \in\left[G: N^{*}\right],(g, n) \in G \times N, n^{\prime} \in \Gamma\right\}
$$

is a left $\widehat{G \times N}$-set as following:
$\widehat{h}:(\widehat{G \times N}) \times\left[\widehat{G: N^{*}}\right] \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{*}\left(\left[\widehat{G: N^{*}}\right]\right)$,
$\widehat{h}\left(\left[\left((g, n), n_{1}\right)\right]_{\rho},\left[\left([x]_{N^{*}},\left(g^{\prime}, n^{\prime}\right), n_{2}\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right)=\left[\left(h\left((g, n),[x]_{N^{*}}\right),\left(g^{\prime}, n^{\prime}\right), n_{2}\right)\right]_{\lambda}$.
Also, $\left[\widehat{G: N^{*}}\right]$ is a left $(\widehat{G}, \widehat{N})$-set by the following hyperoperation:
$h^{\prime}:(\widehat{G} \times \widehat{N}) \times\left[\widehat{G: N^{*}}\right] \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{*}\left(\left[\widehat{G: N^{*}}\right]\right)$,
$h^{\prime}\left(\left(\left[\left(g, n_{1}\right)\right]_{\rho},\left[\left(n, n_{2}\right)\right]_{\rho}\right),\left[\left([x]_{N^{*}},\left(g^{\prime}, n^{\prime}\right), n_{3}\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right)=$ $\left[\left(h\left((g, n),[x]_{N^{*}}\right),\left(g^{\prime}, n^{\prime}\right), n_{3}\right)\right]_{\lambda}$.

Proposition 3.4. Let $X$ be a left $G$-set. If $e_{\alpha}$ is a unit of $X$, then $\left[\left(e_{\alpha}, \alpha\right)\right]_{\rho}$ is a unit of $\widehat{X}$.
Proof. Suppose that $[(x, g, \beta)]_{\lambda} \in \widehat{X}$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{h}\left(\left[\left(e_{\alpha}, \alpha\right)\right]_{\rho},[(x, g, \beta)]_{\lambda}\right) & =\left[\left(h\left(e_{\alpha}, x\right), g, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda} \\
& =[(x, g, \beta)]_{\lambda}
\end{aligned}
$$

because $e_{\alpha}$ is a unit of $X$, so $h\left(e_{\alpha}, x\right)=x$. Therefore, $\left[\left(e_{\alpha}, \alpha\right)\right]_{\rho}$ is a unit of $\widehat{X}$.

Definition 3.5. Let $G$ and $H$ be $\Gamma$-semihypergroups such that $G \cap H=\emptyset, X$ be a $(G, H)$-set by hyperoperations $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$, and the equivalence relation $\lambda$ defined on $X \times G \times \Gamma$ and $X \times H \times \Gamma$. Then,

$$
\widehat{\mathcal{X}}=\left\{[(x, t, \alpha)]_{\lambda}: x \in X, t \in G \cup H, \alpha \in \Gamma\right\},
$$

is a $(\widehat{G}, \widehat{H})$-set by the following hyperoperations:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\widehat{h_{1}}: \widehat{G} \times \widehat{\mathcal{X}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{*}(\widehat{\mathcal{X}}) & : & \widehat{h_{1}}\left([(g, \alpha)]_{\rho},\left[\left(x, g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right)=\left[\left(h_{1}(g, x), g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda}, \\
\widehat{h_{2}}: \widehat{\mathcal{X}} \times \widehat{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{*}(\widehat{\mathcal{X}}) \quad: \quad \widehat{h_{2}}\left(\left[\left(x, h^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda},[(h, \alpha)]_{\rho}\right)=\left[\left(h_{2}(x, h), h^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda} .
\end{array}
$$

Because

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\widehat{h_{2}} \widehat{h_{1}}\left([(g, \alpha)]_{\rho},\left[\left(x, g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right),[(h, \gamma)]_{\rho}\right) & =\widehat{h_{2}}\left(\left[\left(h_{1}(g, x), g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda},[(h, \gamma)]_{\rho}\right) \\
& =\left[\left(h_{2}\left(h_{1}(g, x), h\right), g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda} \\
& =\left[\left(h_{1}\left(g, h_{2}(x, h)\right), g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda} \\
& =\widehat{h_{1}}\left([(g, \alpha)]_{\rho},\left[\left(h_{2}(x, h), g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right) \\
& =\widehat{h_{1}}\left([(g, \alpha)]_{\rho}, \widehat{h_{2}}\left(\left[\left(x, g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda},[(h, \gamma)]_{\rho}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 3.6. Let $X$ be a reversible left $G$-set and $G$ be $a \Gamma$-polygroup. Then, $\widehat{X}$ is a reversible left $\widehat{G}$-set.
Proof. Let $[(x, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda} \in \widehat{h}\left(\left[\left(g^{\prime}, \gamma\right)\right]_{\rho},\left[\left(y, g^{\prime \prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right)$, then

$$
[(x, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda} \in\left[\left(h\left(g^{\prime}, y\right), g^{\prime \prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda} .
$$

So, there is $\left[\left(t, g^{\prime \prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda} \in \widehat{X}$ such that $t \in h\left(g^{\prime}, y\right)$ and $[(x, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda}=\left[\left(t, g^{\prime \prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda}$. We conclude that $y \in h\left(\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{-1}, t\right)$, because $X$ is reversible. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\left(y, g^{\prime \prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda} \in\left[\left(h\left(\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{-1}, t\right), g^{\prime \prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda} } & =\widehat{h}\left(\left[\left(\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{-1}, \gamma\right)\right]_{\rho},\left[\left(t, g^{\prime \prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right) \\
& =\widehat{h}\left(\left[\left(\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{-1}, \gamma\right)\right]_{\rho},[(x, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\left[\left(y, g^{\prime \prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda} \in \widehat{h}\left(\left[\left(\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{-1}, \gamma\right)\right]_{\rho},[(x, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda}\right)$.
Proposition 3.7. Let $G$ be a commutative $\Gamma$-semihypergroup and $X$ be a left $G$-set. Then, $\widehat{X}$ is a $(\widehat{G}, \widehat{G})$-set.
Proof. It is straightforward.
Example 3.8. By Example 3.2, $\equiv$ is an equivalence relation on reversible left $G$-set $X$ with unit such that $G$ is a $\Gamma$-polygroup. We define the relation $\cong$ on $\widehat{X}$ as follows:

Then, the relation $\cong$ is an equivalence. Suppose that $\left[\left(x, g^{\prime}, \alpha\right)\right]_{\lambda} \in \widehat{X}$. Therefore, $x \in X$. So, $x \equiv x$, because $\equiv$ is an equivalence relation on $X$. Hence, there is $g \in G$ such that $x \in h(g, x)$. We conclude that

$$
\left[\left(x, g^{\prime}, \alpha\right)\right]_{\lambda} \in\left[\left(h(g, x), g^{\prime}, \alpha\right)\right]_{\lambda} .
$$

This implies that $\left[\left(x, g^{\prime}, \alpha\right)\right]_{\lambda} \cong\left[\left(x, g^{\prime}, \alpha\right)\right]_{\lambda}$. So, the relation $\cong$ is reflexive. Suppose that $[(x, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda} \cong\left[\left(y, g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda}$. Hence, there is $g^{\prime \prime} \in G$ such that $[(x, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda} \in\left[\left(h\left(g^{\prime \prime}, y\right), g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda}$. So, $[(x, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda} \in \widehat{h}\left(\left[\left(g^{\prime \prime}, \gamma\right)\right]_{\rho},\left[\left(y, g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right)$, for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$. We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\left(y, g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda} } & \in \widehat{h}\left(\left[\left(\left(g^{\prime \prime}\right)^{-1}, \gamma\right)\right]_{\rho},[(x, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda}\right) \\
& =\left[\left(h\left(\left(g^{\prime \prime}\right)^{-1}, x\right), g, \alpha\right)\right]_{\lambda} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, $\left[\left(y, g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda} \cong[(x, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda}$. This implies that $\cong$ is symmetric. Now, we show that the relation $\cong$ is transitive: Suppose that $[(x, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda} \cong\left[\left(y, g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda}$ and $\left[\left(y, g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda} \cong\left[\left(z, g^{\prime \prime}, \gamma\right)\right]_{\lambda}$. Therefore, there exist $g_{1}, g_{2} \in G$ such that

$$
[(x, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda} \in\left[\left(h\left(g_{1}, y\right), g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda}, \quad\left[\left(y, g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda} \in\left[\left(h\left(g_{2}, z\right), g^{\prime \prime}, \gamma\right)\right]_{\lambda}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[(x, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda} \in \widehat{h}\left(\left[\left(g_{1}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)\right]_{\rho},\left[\left(y, g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right) } & \subseteq \widehat{h}\left(\left[\left(g_{1}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)\right]_{\rho},\left[\left(h\left(g_{2}, z\right), g^{\prime \prime}, \gamma\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right) \\
& =\left[\left(h\left(g_{1}, h\left(g_{2}, z\right)\right), g^{\prime \prime}, \gamma\right)\right]_{\lambda} \\
& =\left[\left(h\left(g_{1} \oplus \gamma_{\gamma^{\prime \prime}} g_{2}, z\right), g^{\prime \prime}, \gamma\right)\right]_{\lambda}, \gamma^{\prime \prime} \in \Gamma .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, there exists $g^{\prime \prime \prime} \in g_{1} \underset{\gamma^{\prime \prime}}{\oplus} g_{2}$ such that $[(x, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda} \in\left[\left(h\left(g^{\prime \prime \prime}, z\right), g^{\prime \prime}, \gamma\right)\right]_{\lambda}$.
We conclude that $[(x, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda} \cong\left[\left(z, g^{\prime \prime}, \gamma\right)\right]_{\lambda}$.
Definition 3.9. Let $X$ be a left $G$-set and $\Theta$ be an equivalence relation on $X$. We define the relation $\widehat{\Theta}$ on $\widehat{X}$ as follows:

$$
[(x, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda} \widehat{\Theta}\left[\left(y, g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda} \Longleftrightarrow \forall g^{\prime \prime} \in G: h\left(g \oplus_{\alpha} g^{\prime \prime}, x\right) \bar{\Theta} h\left(g^{\prime} \oplus_{\beta} g^{\prime \prime}, y\right)
$$

Proposition 3.10. Let $X$ be a left $G$-set and $\Theta$ be an equivalence relation on $X$. Then, $\widehat{\Theta}$ is an equivalence relation on $\widehat{X}$.
Proof. Suppose that $[(x, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda} \in \widehat{X}$ be arbitrary. It's obvious that for every $g^{\prime} \in G$,

$$
\left[h\left(g \oplus_{\alpha} g^{\prime}, x\right)\right]_{\Theta}=\left[h\left(g \oplus_{\alpha} g^{\prime}, x\right)\right]_{\Theta} .
$$

Therefore, $h\left(g \oplus_{\alpha} g^{\prime}, x\right) \bar{\Theta} h\left(g \oplus_{\alpha} g^{\prime}, x\right)$. So,

$$
[(x, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda} \widehat{\Theta}[(x, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda} .
$$

Thus, $\widehat{\Theta}$ is reflexive. Suppose that $[(x, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda} \widehat{\Theta}\left[\left(y, g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda}$. Therefore, for every $g^{\prime \prime} \in G$, we have

$$
h\left(g \oplus_{\alpha} g^{\prime \prime}, x\right) \bar{\Theta} h\left(g^{\prime} \oplus_{\beta} g^{\prime \prime}, y\right) .
$$

We obtain

$$
h\left(g^{\prime} \underset{\beta}{\oplus} g^{\prime \prime}, y\right) \bar{\Theta} h\left(g \oplus_{\alpha} g^{\prime \prime}, x\right),
$$

because $\Theta$ is symmetric. Then,

$$
\left[\left(y, g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda} \widehat{\Theta}[(x, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda} .
$$

So, $\widehat{\Theta}$ is symmetric. Now, we show that $\widehat{\Theta}$ is transitive. Let $[(x, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda} \widehat{\Theta}\left[\left(y, g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda}$ and $\left[\left(y, g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda} \widehat{\Theta}\left[\left(z, g^{\prime \prime}, \gamma\right)\right]_{\lambda}$. Then,

$$
\forall g_{1} \in G, h\left(g \oplus_{\alpha} g_{1}, x\right) \bar{\Theta} h\left(g^{\prime} \oplus_{\beta} g_{1}, y\right), \quad h\left(g^{\prime} \oplus_{\beta} g_{1}, y\right) \bar{\Theta} h\left(g^{\prime \prime} \oplus_{\beta} g_{1}, z\right)
$$

We obtain

$$
h\left(g \oplus_{\alpha} g_{1}, x\right) \bar{\Theta} h\left(g^{\prime \prime} \oplus_{\beta} g_{1}, z\right)
$$

because $\Theta$ is transitive. We conclude that $[(x, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda} \widehat{\Theta}\left[\left(z, g^{\prime \prime}, \gamma\right)\right]_{\lambda}$.
Every regular relation on a left $G$-set $X$ of commutative $\Gamma$-semihypergroup, induce a regular relation on left $\widehat{G}$-set $\widehat{X}$ as follows:

Proposition 3.11. Let $\Theta$ be a regular relation on a left $G$-set $X$ such that $G$ is a commutative $\Gamma$-semihypergroup. Then, $\widehat{\Theta}$ is a regular relation on $\widehat{X}$.
Proof. Suppose that $\left[\left(x, g_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right)\right]_{\lambda} \widehat{\Theta}\left[\left(y, g_{2}, \alpha_{2}\right)\right]_{\lambda}$ and $[(t, \gamma)]_{\rho} \in \widehat{G}$. We show that

$$
\widehat{h}\left([(t, \gamma)]_{\rho},\left[\left(x, g_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right) \overline{\widehat{\Theta}} \widehat{h}\left([(t, \gamma)]_{\rho},\left[\left(y, g_{2}, \alpha_{2}\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right)
$$

Let $\left[\left(u, g_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right)\right]_{\lambda} \in \widehat{h}\left([(t, \gamma)]_{\rho},\left[\left(x, g_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right)$. Then, we have

$$
\widehat{h}\left([(t, \gamma)]_{\rho},\left[\left(x, g_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right)=\left[\left(h(t, x), g_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right)\right]_{\lambda}
$$

Hence, $u \in h(t, x)$. By the assumption, $h\left(g_{1} \underset{\alpha_{1}}{\oplus} t, x\right) \bar{\Theta} h\left(g_{2} \underset{\alpha_{2}}{\oplus} t, y\right)$, for all $t \in G$. This implies that

$$
h\left(g_{1}, h(t, x)\right) \bar{\Theta} h\left(g_{2}, h(t, y)\right)
$$

There exists $v \in h(t, y)$ such that $h\left(g_{1}, u\right) \bar{\Theta} h\left(g_{2}, v\right)$. For every $z \in G$, $h\left(z, h\left(g_{1}, u\right)\right) \bar{\Theta} h\left(z, h\left(g_{2}, v\right)\right)$. Indeed, $\Theta$ is a regular relation. By the commutativity of $G$, we have $h\left(g_{1}, h(z, u)\right) \bar{\Theta} h\left(g_{2}, h(z, v)\right)$. Hence,

$$
h\left(g_{1}, h(z, h(t, x))\right) \bar{\Theta} h\left(g_{2}, h(z, h(t, y))\right)
$$

Hence, $h\left(g_{1} \underset{\alpha_{1}}{\oplus} z, h(t, x)\right) \bar{\Theta} h\left(g_{2} \underset{\alpha_{2}}{\oplus} z, h(t, y)\right)$. By the definition of $\widehat{\Theta}$, we have

$$
\left[\left(h(t, x), g_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right)\right]_{\lambda} \overline{\widehat{\Theta}}\left[\left(h(t, y), g_{2}, \alpha_{2}\right)\right]_{\lambda}
$$

hence, we conclude that

$$
\widehat{h}\left([(t, \gamma)]_{\rho},\left[\left(x, g_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right) \widehat{\widehat{\Theta}} \widehat{h}\left([(t, \gamma)]_{\rho},\left[\left(y, g_{2}, \alpha_{2}\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right)
$$

Which means that $\widehat{\Theta}$ is regular.
Proposition 3.12. Let $X$ be a left $G$-set and $\Theta$ be a regular relation on $X$. Then,

$$
\left[\left([x]_{\Theta}, g, \alpha\right)\right]_{\lambda} \subseteq\left[\left([(x, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda}\right)\right]_{\widehat{\Theta}}
$$

Proof. Suppose that $[(t, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda} \in\left[\left([x]_{\Theta}, g, \alpha\right)\right]_{\lambda}$. Hence, $t \in[x]_{\Theta}$. So, $t \Theta x$. We have $h\left(g^{\prime \prime}, t\right) \bar{\Theta} h\left(g^{\prime \prime}, x\right)$, for every $g^{\prime \prime} \in G$, because $\Theta$ is regular. Also, we have

$$
h\left(g, h\left(g^{\prime \prime}, t\right)\right) \bar{\Theta} h\left(g, h\left(g^{\prime \prime}, x\right)\right) .
$$

We conclude that $h\left(g \oplus_{\alpha} g^{\prime \prime}, t\right) \bar{\Theta} h\left(g \oplus_{\alpha} g^{\prime \prime}, x\right)$. This means that

$$
[(t, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda} \widehat{\Theta}[(x, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda},
$$

and we obtain $[(t, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda} \in\left[\left([(x, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda}\right)\right]_{\widehat{\Theta}}$.
Proposition 3.13. Let $G$ be a $\Gamma$-polygroup and $X$ be a reversible left $G$-set with unit and consider relations $\equiv$ and $\cong$ defined in Examples 3.2 and 3.8. Then,

$$
\left[\left(\left[\left(x_{1}, g_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right)\right]_{\cong}=\left[\left(\left[x_{1}\right]_{\equiv}, g_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right)\right]_{\lambda} .
$$

Proof. By the definition of the equivalence relation $\cong$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\left(\left[\left(x_{1}, g_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right)\right]_{\equiv}=\left\{\left[\left(x_{2}, g_{2}, \alpha_{2}\right)\right]_{\lambda} \in \widehat{X}:\left[\left(x_{2}, g_{2}, \alpha_{2}\right)\right]_{\lambda} \cong\left[\left(x_{1}, g_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right\}} \\
& =\left\{\left[\left(x_{2}, g_{2}, \alpha_{2}\right)\right]_{\lambda} \in \widehat{X}: \exists g^{\prime \prime} \in G:\left[\left(x_{2}, g_{2}, \alpha_{2}\right)\right]_{\lambda} \in\left[\left(h\left(g^{\prime \prime}, x_{1}\right), g_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right\} \\
& =\left[\left(h\left(g^{\prime \prime}, x_{1}\right), g_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right)\right]_{\lambda} \\
& =\bigcup_{t \in h\left(g^{\prime \prime}, x_{1}\right)}\left[\left(t, g_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right)\right]_{\lambda} \\
& =\bigcup_{t \equiv x_{1}}\left[\left(t, g_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right)\right]_{\lambda} \\
& =\bigcup_{\left.t \in\left[x_{1}\right]\right]_{\equiv}}\left[\left(t, g_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right)\right]_{\lambda} \\
& =\left[\left(\left[x_{1}\right]_{\equiv}, g_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right)\right]_{\lambda} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 3.14. Let $X$ be a left $G$-set and $\Theta$ be a regular relation on $X$. Then, $[\widehat{X}: \widehat{\Theta}]$ is a left $\widehat{G}$-set.
Proof. We define $h^{\prime}: \widehat{G} \times[\widehat{X}: \widehat{\Theta}] \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{*}([\widehat{X}: \widehat{\Theta}])$ such that

$$
h^{\prime}\left([(g, \alpha)]_{\rho},\left[\left(\left[\left(x, g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right)\right]_{\widehat{\Theta}}\right)=\left[\left(\left[\left(h(g, x), g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right)\right]_{\widehat{\Theta}}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h^{\prime}\left(\left[\left(g_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right)\right]_{\rho} \circ\left[\left(g_{2}, \alpha_{2}\right)\right]_{\rho},\left[\left(\left[\left(x, g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right)\right]_{\widehat{\Theta}}\right) \\
& =h^{\prime}\left(\left[\left(g_{1} \oplus{ }_{\alpha_{1}}^{\oplus} g_{2}, \alpha_{2}\right)\right]_{\rho},\left[\left(\left[\left(x, g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right)\right]_{\widehat{\Theta}}\right) \\
& =\left[\left(\left[\left(h\left(g_{1} \underset{\alpha_{1}}{\oplus} g_{2}, x\right), g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right)\right]_{\widehat{\Theta}} \\
& =\left[\left(\left[\left(h\left(g_{1}, h\left(g_{2}, x\right)\right), g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right)\right]_{\widehat{\Theta}} \\
& =h^{\prime}\left(\left[\left(g_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right)\right]_{\rho},\left[\left(\left[\left(h\left(g_{2}, x\right), g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right)\right]_{\widehat{\Theta}}\right) \\
& =h^{\prime}\left(\left[\left(g_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right)\right]_{\rho}, h^{\prime}\left(\left[\left(g_{2}, \alpha_{2}\right)\right]_{\rho},\left[\left(\left[\left(x, g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right)\right]_{\widehat{\Theta}}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3.15. Let $X$ be a left $G$-set and $\Theta$ be a regular relation on $X$. Then, $[\widehat{X: \Theta}]$ is a left $\widehat{G}$-set.
Proof. We have $[\widehat{X: \Theta}]=\left\{\left[\left([x]_{\Theta}, g, \alpha\right)\right]_{\lambda}:[x]_{\Theta} \in[X: \Theta], g \in G, \alpha \in \Gamma\right\}$. We define $h^{*}: \widehat{G} \times[\widehat{X: \Theta}] \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{*}([\widehat{X: \Theta}])$ such that

$$
h^{*}\left([(g, \alpha)]_{\rho},\left[\left([x]_{\Theta}, g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right)=\left[\left([h(g, x)]_{\Theta}, g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda} .
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h^{*}\left(\left[\left(g_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right)\right]_{\rho} \circ\left[\left(g_{2}, \alpha_{2}\right)\right]_{\rho},\left[\left([x]_{\Theta}, g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right) \\
& =h^{*}\left(\left[\left(g_{1} \oplus_{\alpha_{1}} g_{2}, \alpha_{2}\right)\right]_{\rho},\left[\left([x]_{\Theta}, g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right) \\
& =\left[\left(\left[h\left(g_{1} \oplus_{\alpha_{1}} g_{2}, x\right)\right]_{\Theta}, g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda} \\
& =\left[\left(\left[h\left(g_{1}, h\left(g_{2}, x\right)\right)\right]_{\Theta}, g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda} \\
& =h^{*}\left(\left[\left(g_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right)\right]_{\rho},\left[\left(\left[h\left(g_{2}, x\right)\right]_{\Theta}, g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right) \\
& =h^{*}\left(\left[\left(g_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right)\right]_{\rho}, h^{*}\left(\left[\left(g_{2}, \alpha_{2}\right)\right]_{\rho},\left[\left([x]_{\Theta}, g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 3.16. Let $X$ be a left $G$-set and $\Theta$ be an equivalence relation on $X$. Then,

$$
\left[\left(\left[x_{1}\right]_{\Theta}, g_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right)\right]_{\lambda}=\left[\left(\left[x_{2}\right]_{\Theta}, g_{2}, \alpha_{2}\right)\right]_{\lambda} \Longrightarrow\left[\left(x_{1}, g_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right)\right]_{\lambda} \widehat{\Theta}\left[\left(x_{2}, g_{2}, \alpha_{2}\right)\right]_{\lambda}
$$

Proof. By the definition of $\lambda$ and $\widehat{\Theta}$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(\left[x_{1}\right]_{\Theta}, g_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right) \lambda\left(\left[x_{2}\right]_{\Theta}, g_{2}, \alpha_{2}\right) \Rightarrow \\
\forall g^{\prime \prime} \in G, h\left(g_{1} \oplus_{\alpha_{1}} g^{\prime \prime},\left[x_{1}\right]_{\Theta}\right)=h\left(g_{2} \oplus_{\alpha_{2}} g^{\prime \prime},\left[x_{2}\right]_{\Theta}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Hence, for every $t_{1} \in\left[x_{1}\right]_{\Theta}$ there is $t_{2} \in\left[x_{2}\right]_{\Theta}$ such that

$$
h\left(g_{1} \oplus_{\alpha_{1}} g^{\prime \prime}, t_{1}\right)=h\left(g_{2} \oplus_{\alpha_{2}} g^{\prime \prime}, t_{2}\right) .
$$

We obtain $h\left(g_{1} \oplus_{\alpha_{1}} g^{\prime \prime}, t_{1}\right) \bar{\Theta} h\left(g_{1} \oplus_{\alpha_{1}} g^{\prime \prime}, x_{1}\right)$ and $h\left(g_{2} \oplus_{\alpha_{2}} \oplus g^{\prime \prime}, t_{2}\right) \bar{\Theta} h\left(g_{2} \oplus_{\alpha_{2}}\right.$ $\left.\oplus g^{\prime \prime}, x_{2}\right)$, because $t_{1} \Theta x_{1}, t_{2} \Theta x_{2}$. This implies that

$$
h\left(g_{1} \oplus_{\alpha_{1}} g^{\prime \prime}, x_{1}\right) \bar{\Theta} h\left(g_{2} \oplus_{\alpha_{2}} g^{\prime \prime}, x_{2}\right)
$$

We conclude that $\left[\left(x_{1}, g_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right)\right]_{\lambda} \widehat{\Theta}\left[\left(x_{2}, g_{2}, \alpha_{2}\right)\right]_{\lambda}$.
Corollary 3.17. Let $G$ be a $\Gamma$-polygroup and $X$ be a reversible left $G$-set with unit. Then,

$$
\left[\left(\left[x_{1}\right]_{\equiv}, g_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right)\right]_{\lambda}=\left[\left(\left[x_{2}\right]_{\equiv}, g_{2}, \alpha_{2}\right)\right]_{\lambda} \Longleftrightarrow\left[\left(x_{1}, g_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right)\right]_{\lambda} \cong\left[\left(x_{2}, g_{2}, \alpha_{2}\right)\right]_{\lambda} .
$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.13, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\left(\left[x_{1}\right]_{\equiv}, g_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right)\right]_{\lambda}=\left[\left(\left[x_{2}\right]_{\equiv}, g_{2}, \alpha_{2}\right)\right]_{\lambda}} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow\left[\left(\left[\left(x_{1}, g_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right)\right]_{\cong}^{\equiv}=\left[\left(\left[\left(x_{2}, g_{2}, \alpha_{2}\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right)\right]_{\equiv} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow\left[\left(x_{1}, g_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right)\right]_{\lambda} \cong\left[\left(x_{2}, g_{2}, \alpha_{2}\right)\right]_{\lambda} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3.18. Let $X$ be a left $G$-set and $\Theta$ be an equivalence relation on $X$. Then, there is an epimorphism between $\widehat{G}$-sets $[\widehat{X}: \widehat{\Theta}]$ and $[\widehat{X: \Theta}]$.
Proof. We define a relation $\phi:[\widehat{X: \Theta}] \longrightarrow[\widehat{X}: \widehat{\Theta}]$ as follows:

$$
\phi\left(\left[\left([x]_{\Theta}, g, \alpha\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right)=\left[\left([(x, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda}\right)\right]_{\Theta} .
$$

Suppose that $\left[\left([x]_{\Theta}, g, \alpha\right)\right]_{\lambda}=\left[\left([y]_{\Theta}, g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda}$. By Corollary 3.16, we conclude that

$$
\left[\left([(x, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda}\right)\right]_{\widehat{\Theta}}=\left[\left(\left[\left(y, g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right)\right]_{\widehat{\Theta}}
$$

This means that $\phi$ is well-defined. Let $\left[\left([(x, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda}\right)\right]_{\widehat{\Theta}} \in[\widehat{X}: \widehat{\Theta}]$. Then, $[(x, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda} \in \widehat{X}$. Thus, $x \in X, g \in G, \alpha \in \Gamma$. This implies that $[x]_{\Theta} \in[X:$ $\Theta]$. So, $\left[\left([x]_{\Theta}, g, \alpha\right)\right]_{\lambda} \in[\widehat{X: \Theta}]$ and $\phi$ is onto. Also, $\phi$ is homomorphism:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(h^{*}\left([(g, \alpha)]_{\rho},\left[\left([x]_{\Theta}, g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right)\right) & =\phi\left(\left[\left([h(g, x)]_{\Theta}, g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right) \\
& =\left[\left(\left[\left(h(g, x), g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right)\right]_{\Theta} \\
& =h^{\prime}\left([(g, \alpha)]_{\rho},\left[\left(\left[\left(x, g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right)\right]_{\Theta}\right) \\
& =h^{\prime}\left([(g, \alpha)]_{\rho}, \phi\left(\left[\left([x]_{\Theta}, g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $[(g, \alpha)]_{\rho} \in \widehat{G}$ and $\left[\left([x]_{\Theta}, g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda} \in[\widehat{X: \Theta}]$. We conclude that $\phi$ is an epimorphism of $\widehat{G}$-sets.

Theorem 3.19. Let $G$ be a $\Gamma$-polygroup and $X$ be a reversible left $G$-set with unit. Then,

$$
[\widehat{X}: \widehat{\equiv}] \cong \widehat{x: \equiv}] .
$$

Proof. We define $\Psi:[\widehat{X}: \widehat{\equiv}] \longrightarrow \widehat{X: \equiv}]$ such that

$$
\Psi\left(\left[\left([(x, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda}\right)\right]_{仓}^{\equiv}\right)=\left[\left([x]_{\equiv}, g, \alpha\right)\right]_{\lambda} .
$$

By Corollary 3.17, it's obvious that $\Psi$ is well-defined and one to one. Let $\left[\left([x]_{\equiv}, g, \alpha\right)\right]_{\lambda} \in \widehat{X: \equiv]}$ be arbitrary. Hence, $[x]_{\equiv} \in[X: \equiv], g \in G$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Then, $x \in X$. We conclude that $[(x, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda} \in \widehat{X}$. This implies that $\left[\left[[(x, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda}\right)\right]_{\varrho} \in[\widehat{X}: \widehat{\equiv}]$. Thus, $\Psi$ is onto. Also, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi\left(h^{\prime}\left([(g, \alpha)]_{\rho},\left[\left(x, g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right)\right) & =\Psi\left(\left[\left(\left[\left(x, g \oplus_{\alpha} g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right)\right]_{\hat{\equiv}}\right) \\
& =\left[\left([x]_{\equiv}, g \oplus_{\alpha} g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda} \\
& =\widehat{h}\left([(g, \alpha)]_{\rho},\left[\left([x]_{\equiv}, g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right) \\
& =\widehat{h}\left([(g, \alpha)]_{\rho}, \Psi\left(\left[\left(\left[\left(x, g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right)\right]_{\widehat{\equiv}}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $\Psi$ is isomorphism.
Proposition 3.20. Let $\phi: X \longrightarrow Y$ be a G-map. Then, there is a $\widehat{G}$-map $\Psi: \widehat{X} \longrightarrow \widehat{Y}$.

Proof. We define

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Psi: \widehat{X} \longrightarrow \widehat{Y} \\
\Psi\left([(x, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda}\right)=[(\phi(x), g, \alpha)]_{\lambda} .
\end{gathered}
$$

We show that $\Psi$ is $\widehat{G}$-map:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi\left(\widehat{h_{1}}\left(\left[\left(g^{\prime}, \gamma\right)\right]_{\rho},[(x, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda}\right)\right) & =\Psi\left(\left[\left(h_{1}\left(g^{\prime}, x\right), g, \alpha\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right) \\
& =\left[\left(\phi\left(h_{1}\left(g^{\prime}, x\right)\right), g, \alpha\right)\right]_{\lambda} \\
& =\left[\left(h_{2}\left(g^{\prime}, \phi(x)\right), g, \alpha\right)\right]_{\lambda} \\
& =\widehat{h_{2}}\left[\left(\left(g^{\prime}, \gamma\right)\right]_{\rho},[(\phi(x), g, \alpha)]_{\lambda}\right) \\
& =\widehat{h_{2}}\left(\left[\left(g^{\prime}, \gamma\right)\right]_{\rho}, \Psi\left([(x, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This complete the proof.
Corollary 3.21. $|\operatorname{Mor}(X, Y)| \leq|\operatorname{Mor}(\widehat{X}, \widehat{Y})|$.
Corollary 3.22. $\operatorname{Mor}(\widehat{X}, \widehat{Y})$ is a left $\widehat{G}$-set.
Proof. It is straightforward.
Definition 3.23. Let $X$ be a left $G$-set. We have

$$
\widehat{\operatorname{Stab}(x)}=\left\{[(g, \alpha)]_{\rho}: x=h(g, x), \alpha \in \Gamma\right\} .
$$

Proposition 3.24. Let $X$ be a left $G$-set and $[(x, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda} \in \widehat{X}$. Then,

$$
\left.\operatorname{Stab}\left([(x, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda}\right)=\widehat{\operatorname{Stab}(x}\right) .
$$

Proof. By Definition 3.23, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Stab}\left([(x, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda}\right) & =\left\{\left[\left(g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\rho} \in \widehat{G}:[(x, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda}=\widehat{h}\left(\left[\left(g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\rho},[(x, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda}\right)\right\} \\
& =\left\{\left[\left(g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\rho} \in \widehat{G}:[(x, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda}=\left[\left(h\left(g^{\prime}, x\right), g, \alpha\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right\} \\
& =\left\{\left[\left(g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\rho} \in \widehat{G}: x=h\left(g^{\prime}, x\right)\right\} \\
& =\left\{\left[\left(g^{\prime}, \beta\right)\right]_{\rho} \in \widehat{G}: g^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Stab}(x)\right\} \\
& =\widehat{\operatorname{Stab}(x)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

4. Relations between direct limit of ( $G, H$ )-sets and their ASSOCIATED $(\widehat{G}, \widehat{H})$-SETS

Let $G$ and $H$ be $\Gamma$-semihypergroups and $\left\{X_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be a collection of direct system of $(G, H)$-sets. Then, we construct a direct system of $(\widehat{G}, \widehat{H})$-sets as follows, where $\widehat{G}$ and $\widehat{H}$ are associated semihypergroups. Also, we consider a relation between direct limit of direct systems $\left\{X_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ and $\left\{\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$.

Theorem 4.1. Let $(I, \leq)$ be a partially ordered set and $\left\{X_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be a collection of $(G, H)$-sets, where $G$ and $H$ be $\Gamma$-semihypergroups such that $G \cap H=$ $\emptyset$ and $\left(X_{i}, \alpha_{i j}\right)_{i, j \in I}$ be a direct system of $(G, H)$-sets, then $\left(\widehat{\mathcal{X}_{i}}, \widehat{\alpha_{i j}}\right)_{i, j \in I}$ is a direct system of $(\widehat{G}, \widehat{H})$-sets.
Proof. We conclude that $\left\{\widehat{\mathcal{X}_{i}}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is a collection of $(\widehat{G}, \widehat{H})$-sets, where $(\widehat{G}, \circ)$ and ( $\widehat{H}, \circ$ ) are semihypergroups.
Therefore, there are $(\widehat{G}, \widehat{H})$-maps $\widehat{\alpha_{i j}}: \widehat{\mathcal{X}_{i}} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{X}_{j}}$ such that

1) $\widehat{\alpha_{i i}}=I_{\widehat{\mathcal{X}_{i}}}$,
2) $\widehat{\alpha_{i j}} \circ \widehat{\alpha_{j k}}=\widehat{\alpha_{i k}}$.

Because for every $\left[\left(x_{i}, g, \alpha\right)\right]_{\lambda} \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}_{i}}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\alpha_{i i}}\left(\left[\left(x_{i} g, \alpha\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right)=\left[\left(\alpha_{i i}\right.\right. & \left.\left.\left(x_{i}\right), g, \alpha\right)\right]_{\lambda}=\left[\left(x_{i}, g, \alpha\right)\right]_{\lambda} \\
\widehat{\alpha_{i j}} \circ \widehat{\alpha_{j k}}\left(\left[\left(x_{i}, g, \alpha\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right) & =\widehat{\alpha_{i j}}\left(\widehat{\alpha_{j k}}\left(\left[\left(x_{i}, g, \alpha\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right)\right) \\
& =\widehat{\alpha_{i j}}\left(\left[\left(\alpha_{j k}\left(x_{i}\right), g, \alpha\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right) \\
& =\left[\left(\alpha_{i j}\left(\alpha_{j k}\left(x_{i}\right)\right), g, \alpha\right)\right]_{\lambda} \\
& =\left[\left(\alpha_{i k}\left(x_{i}\right), g, \alpha\right)\right]_{\lambda} \\
& =\widehat{\alpha_{i k}}\left([(x, g, \alpha)]_{\lambda}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In the following, we show that $\lim _{i \in I} \widehat{\mathcal{X}_{i}}=\left(\widehat{\operatorname{limX}_{i \in I}}\right)$.
Corollary 4.2. Let $(G, H)$-set $X$ be a direct limit of $\left(X_{i}, \alpha_{i j}\right)_{i, j \in I}$. Then, $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ is a direct limit of $\left(\widehat{\mathcal{X}_{i}}, \widehat{\alpha_{i j}}\right)_{i, j \in I}$.

Proof. There exists $(G, H)$-maps $\beta_{i}: X_{i} \longrightarrow X$ such that $\beta_{j} \circ \alpha_{i j}=\beta_{i}$, because $X$ is direct limit of $\left(X_{i}, \alpha_{i j}\right)_{i, j \in I}$. We know $X$ is a $(G, H)$-set, so $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ is a $(\widehat{G}, \widehat{H})$-set. We conclude that $\widehat{\beta}_{i}: \widehat{\mathcal{X}_{i}} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ are $(\widehat{G}, \widehat{H})$-maps. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\beta_{j}} \circ \widehat{\alpha_{i j}}\left(\left[\left(x_{i}, g, \alpha\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right) & =\widehat{\beta}_{j}\left(\widehat{\alpha_{i j}}\left(\left[\left(x_{i}, g, \alpha\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right)\right) \\
& =\widehat{\beta}_{j}\left(\left[\left(\alpha_{i j}\left(x_{i}\right), g, \alpha\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right) \\
& =\left[\left(\beta_{j}\left(\alpha_{i j}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)\right)\right]_{\lambda} \\
& =\left[\left(\beta_{i}\left(x_{i}\right), g, \alpha\right)\right]_{\lambda} \\
& =\widehat{\beta}_{i}\left(\left[\left(x_{i}, g, \alpha\right)\right]_{\lambda}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose that $T$ be a $(G, H)$-set and $\gamma_{i}: X_{i} \longrightarrow T$ be $(G, H)$-maps such that $\gamma_{j} \circ \alpha_{i j}=\gamma_{i}$. Therefore, there exists a unique $(G, H)$-map $\delta: X \longrightarrow T$ such that $\delta \circ \sigma_{i}=\gamma_{i}$. We conclude that $\widehat{\gamma_{j}} \circ \widehat{\alpha_{i j}}=\widehat{\gamma_{i}}, \widehat{\delta}: \widehat{\mathcal{X}} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{T}}$ be a $(\widehat{G}, \widehat{H})$-map and $\widehat{\delta} \circ \widehat{\sigma}_{i}=\widehat{\gamma_{i}}$. We show that $\widehat{\delta}$ is unique. Let $\widehat{\delta_{1}}: \widehat{\mathcal{X}} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{T}}$ be $(\widehat{G}, \widehat{H})$-map with the same properties of $\widehat{\delta}$, therefore

$$
\widehat{\delta_{1}}\left(\beta^{*}(x)\right)=\beta^{*}\left(\delta_{1}(x)\right)=\beta^{*}(\delta(x))=\widehat{\delta}\left(\beta^{*}(x)\right)
$$

## 5. Conclusion

In this paper, we introduce and consider the concept of left(right) $G$ set in the context of $\Gamma$-semihypergroup and is a new research topic of hyperstructure theory. Also, we define the homological concept direct limit of left(right) $G$-sets. The present study can be further applied to introduce and consider flat $\Gamma$-semihyperring. A possible future study could be devoted to the introduction and analysis of fuzzy rough $n$-ary left(right) $G$-sets.
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