

[Research]

Searching the genome of beluga (*Huso huso*) for sex markers based on targeted Bulk Segregant Analysis (BSA)

M. Khodaparast¹, S. Keyvanshokoh^{1*}, M. Pourkazemi², S.J. Hosseini³, H. Zolgharnein⁴

1-Dept. of Fisheries, Khorramshahr University of Marine Science and Technology, Khorramshahr, Khuzestan, Iran

2- Dept. of Genetics, International Sturgeon Research Institute, Rasht, Iran

3- Persian Gulf Research and Studies Center, Persian Gulf University, Boushehr, Iran

4- Dept. of Marine Biology, Khorramshahr University of Marine Science and Technology, Khorramshahr, Khuzestan, Iran

*Corresponding author's Email: Keyvan56@yahoo.com

(Received: Sept. 21. 2013, Accepted: Jan. 25. 2014)

ABSTRACT

In sturgeon aquaculture, where the main purpose is caviar production, a reliable method is needed to separate fish according to gender. Currently, due to the lack of external sexual dimorphism, the fish are sexed by an invasive surgical examination of the gonads. Development of a non-invasive procedure for sexing fish based on genetic markers is of special interest. In the present study we employed Bulk Segregant Analysis (BSA) methodology to search for DNA markers associated with the sex of the beluga sturgeon (*Huso huso*). DNA bulks (male and female) were created by combining equal amounts of genomic DNA from 10 fish of both sexes. A total of 101 decamer primers associated with the sex-specific sequences in non-sturgeon species was used for targeted screening of the bulks, resulting in 2846 bands that all of them were present in both sexes. Our results showed that sex chromosomes are weakly differentiated in the sturgeon genome and comprised sequences not complementary to the sex-specific primers in non-sturgeon species.

Keywords: *Beluga, Huso huso, sex marker, genetics, bulk segregant analysis (BSA)*

INTRODUCTION

The extant sturgeon species (family Acipenseridae) are considered to be one of the most primitive groups of fishes that evolved approximately 250 million years ago (Bemis *et al.*, 1997). Six sturgeon species, belonging to two genera (*Huso* and *Acipenser*), are found in the Caspian Sea and its drainage basin which provide today the bulk of the world's caviar yield (Pourkazemi, 2006; Nasrollahzadeh, 2010). Sturgeons mature very late in life and their populations are declining worldwide caused by overfishing as well as pollution and habitat degradation (Birstein, 1993; Billard & Lecointre 2000). Beluga sturgeon (*Huso huso*) is regarded as one of the most important commercially species in the Caspian Sea and has been overfished nearly to extinction in pursuit of their

caviar (Pourkazemi, 2006). Nowadays, production of sturgeon for both meat and caviar will increasingly have to rely on aquaculture (Logan *et al.*, 1995; Keyvanshokoh & Gharaei, 2010). In sturgeon aquaculture, where the main purpose is caviar production, a reliable method is needed to separate fish according to gender. Males are destined to the meat market while females remain in culture for many more years under conditions of optimal growth and development. The availability of monosex populations of caviar-producing females would significantly enhance the economic viability of domestic caviar production systems (Logan *et al.*, 1995).

None of the sturgeon species exhibit external sexual dimorphism, and it is not possible to distinguish male fish from

females by morphological markers at larval, juvenile and even adult stages (Keyvanshokoo *et al.*, 2009). Blood plasma sex steroid levels in sturgeon remain low until the beginning of gonadal development (Doroshov *et al.*, 1997). Although an examination of plasma steroids could be used to sex sturgeon (Webb *et al.*, 2002), these steroid indicators are influenced by age, husbandry conditions and water temperature (Feist *et al.*, 2004). Currently, sturgeon producers wait 3-4 years before fish are sexed by an invasive surgical examination of the gonads (Doroshov *et al.*, 1997). Although survival rate is nearly 100% (Feist *et al.*, 2004), the development of a non-invasive procedure for sexing sturgeon is of special interest. One effective solution is to use DNA markers to diagnose the sex. Such markers will be present in species where one sex possesses a unique chromosome or DNA sequence (Griffith & Tiwari, 1993; Devlin & Nagahama, 2002; Keyvanshokoo & Gharaei, 2010).

Regarding the failure of randomly screening methodologies to find a sex-specific marker in various sturgeon species (Wuertz *et al.*, 2006; Keyvanshokoo *et al.*, 2007; McCormick *et al.*, 2008; Yarmohammadi *et al.*, 2011), we conducted a targeted search based on previously identified sex-specific sequences in non-sturgeon species to compare male and female DNA. The search for sex-specific sequences in beluga sturgeon was performed using bulked segregant analysis (BSA) methodology in conjunction with the random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) assay. BSA is based on grouping together of individuals that share a common trait and studying the genomic regions related with that trait against a randomized background of unlinked loci (Michelmore *et al.*, 1991). This approach has been used in identifying sex-specific sequences in some species (Griffith & Tiwari, 1993; Iturra *et al.*, 1998; Kovacs *et al.*, 2001).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fish Sampling and DNA Extraction

Fin tissue samples were obtained from 10 adult beluga sturgeon of each sex. The fish were caught as broodstock from the Iranian Caspian Sea coastline and transferred to the Shahid Dr. Beheshti

Sturgeon Fish Propagation and Rearing Complex, Rasht, Iran. Sex identification was carried out by observation of testes and ovaries of necropsied spawners.

The CTAB method was used to obtain genomic DNA. The quantity and quality of extracted DNA was assayed using a spectrophotometer and 1% agarose electrophoresis. Two DNA pools (male and female) were created by combining equal amounts of genomic DNA from each fish.

Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) and Electrophoresis

BSA methodology was employed in conjunction with the RAPD assay to screen genetic markers associated with the sex of beluga sturgeon. Searching through databases for sex-specific sequences in non-sturgeon species (including animal and plant species), a total of 101 RAPD primers (Metabion, Germany) was found (Table 1) and was used for targeted amplifications.

Amplifications were performed in 20- μ l reaction volumes containing 15 ng of DNA, 0.5 μ M primer, 400 μ M each of dNTPs, 1 unit *Taq* polymerase (Cinnagen, Iran), 1X PCR buffer, and 1.5 mM MgCl₂. PCR consisted of 3 min denaturation at 94°C, followed by 30 cycles of 30 sec at 94°C, 30 sec at 40°C, and 30 sec at 72°C, with a final extension at 72°C for 5 min. PCR products were separated and analyzed in gels of 6% polyacrylamide stained with silver nitrate (Keyvanshokoo *et al.*, 2007).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A set of 101 RAPD primers yielded a total of 2486 scoreable bands that were present in both sexes. Only two primers (primers no. 29 and 82; Table 1) produced different band patterns on pools; each primer produced a band that was present only in the female pool. Following reconfirmation of the bulk polymorphism, the individual DNA samples used to create both bulks were screened using the primer no. 29 and no. 82. The polymorphic bands produced by the primers were found in one of the 10 fishes from both sexes.

We were unable to identify a sex-specific marker in beluga sturgeon associated with previously identified sex-specific sequences in non-sturgeon species using

BSA methodology. Using RAPD, AFLP (amplified fragment length polymorphism), and ISSR (inter-simple sequence repeats) techniques, Wuertz *et al.* (2006) focused on the identification of genomic sex-specific markers in four sturgeon species (*A. baerii*; *A. naccarii*; *A. gueldenstaedtii* and *A. ruthenus*). Although 1100-9230 bands screened per species, no sex-specific markers were detected. Similar result has been obtained by searching the genome of beluga sturgeon (Keyvanshokoo *et al.*, 2007) using bulked segregant analysis (BSA; separate pooling of DNA from males and females). They used a total of 310 randomly amplified polymorphic DNA primers to screen the bulks, resulting in 4146 bands that were present in both sexes. Using the RAPD technique, McCormick *et al.* (2008) did also failed to find a sex-specific marker in lake sturgeon (*Acipenser fulvescens*). Searching the genome of the Persian sturgeon (*Acipenser persicus*) and beluga by using AFLP, Yarmohammadi *et al.* (2011) also observed no sex-specific sequence. With regard to these failures, McCormick *et al.* (2008) mentioned that an environmental sex-determining system may exist in sturgeon. In theory, the lack of sex-specific markers in the search could be due to the lack of genetic sex-determining mechanisms. Although heteromorphic sex chromosomes have not been identified in sturgeon (Fontana & Colombo, 1974; Van Eenennaam *et al.*, 1998), but we do know that a female heterogametic genetic sex determination is in operation in beluga (Omoto *et al.*, 2005) and some other sturgeon species studied to date (Van Eenennaam *et al.*, 1999; Flynn *et al.*, 2005; Fopp-Bayat, 2010). Based on this proved assumption, the female sturgeon should carry sex-specific DNA sequences. Moreover, hermaphroditism in sturgeon is very infrequently observed (Chapman *et al.*, 1996; Van Eenennaam & Doroshov 1998; Harshbarger *et al.*, 2000) and the sex ratio in adult populations of sturgeon is 1 ♂: 1 ♀ (Chapman *et al.*, 1996). Environmental sex determination produces variations in sex ratios when there are systematic fluctuations in the environmental factors influencing sex (Penman & Piferrer, 2008). In fact, the failure in search for this class of DNA markers could be due to the size of genome, the number of

markers screened, and the proportion of the genome that is sex-specific in species studied (Keyvanshokoo *et al.*, 2007; Penman & Piferrer, 2008).

Of the 2846 bands amplified using the primers which were sex-specific in other species, none were linked to a sex-determining gene in beluga sturgeon. One general approach to identify sex-specific DNA markers is based on candidate genes, where genes or sequences that are sex-determining or sex-linked in one species are searched for in the target species. Members of the Sox gene family are known to be involved in numerous developmental processes and sex determination in vertebrates (Koopman *et al.*, 1991; Wright *et al.*, 1993; Russel *et al.*, 1996). Sox proteins are characterized by a conserved high mobility group (HMG)-box domain, which is responsible for DNA binding and bending (Sinclair *et al.*, 1990). Based on this approach and using highly degenerate primers that amplified a broad range of HMG boxes, 22 different sequences coding for 8 Sox genes (Sox2, Sox3, Sox4, Sox9, Sox11, Sox17, Sox19, and Sox21) were shown to be present in the genome of European Atlantic sturgeon (*Acipenser sturio*) (Hett & Ludwig, 2005; Hett *et al.*, 2005). Similarly, sequences with homology to Sox gene family (Sox2, Sox4, Sox17, and Sox21) were detected in lake sturgeon (*A. fulvescens*) (McCormick *et al.*, 2008). However, although Sox genes were found in the genomes of *A. sturio* and *A. fulvescens*, none were associated with the sex sequences in any of these species. Regarding the aforementioned studies and our results, it seems that sex-specific DNA present in beluga sturgeon may be comprised sequences which are not conserved and complementary to sex-specific genes in other species.

In conclusion, targeted screening of beluga sturgeon genome based on primers which were sex-specific in non-sturgeon species failed to detect sex-specific sequences. With regard to failure in search for this class of DNA markers, it is proposed that sex chromosomes are weakly differentiated in the sturgeon genome. With recent advances in genomic and proteomic approaches, gene expression profiling could be considered as an alternative approach (Wuertz *et al.*, 2006).

For example, regarding the great potential of next-generation sequencing to rapidly identify genes of interest in sturgeon (Hale

et al., 2009), this approach could be used in search for sturgeon sex markers.

Table 1 Sex-specific primers of non-sturgeon species used for targeted screening of sex-linked sequences in beluga sturgeon

No.	Sequence (3'→5')	Species	Reference	No.	Sequence (3'→5')	Species	Reference
1	AGGTGACCGT	<i>Gracilaria changii</i>	Sim <i>et al.</i> , 2007	52	CTGCTGGGAC	<i>Ginkgo biloba</i>	Liao <i>et al.</i> , 2009
2	CAATCGCCGT	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	Iturra <i>et al.</i> , 1998	53	TGAGCGGACA	<i>Cannabis sativa</i>	Torjek <i>et al.</i> , 2002
3	GTGGTCCGCA	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	Iturra <i>et al.</i> , 1998	54	TCGTGGAAGG	<i>Spilornis cheelahoya</i>	Hsu <i>et al.</i> , 2009
4	GGCTATAGGG	<i>Eucommia ulmoides</i>	Xu <i>et al.</i> , 2004	55	TTGCTCACGG	pigs	Horng & Huang, 2003
5	GAGACGCACA	<i>Commiphora wightii</i>	Samantaray <i>et al.</i> , 2010	56	GTTGCGATCC	<i>Brugia malayi</i>	Underwood & Bianco, 1999
6	AAGCGACCTG	<i>Commiphora wightii</i>	Samantaray <i>et al.</i> , 2010	57	CAGGCCCTTC	<i>Actinidia deliciosa</i> var. <i>deliciosa</i>	Shirkot <i>et al.</i> , 2002
7	GTTGCGATCC	<i>Commiphora wightii</i>	Samantaray <i>et al.</i> , 2010	58	AATCGGGCTG	<i>Actinidia deliciosa</i> var. <i>deliciosa</i>	Shirkot <i>et al.</i> , 2002
8	CATAATCAAC	<i>Actinidia chinensis</i>	Gill <i>et al.</i> , 1998	59	AGCCAGCGAA	<i>Actinidia deliciosa</i> var. <i>deliciosa</i>	Shirkot <i>et al.</i> , 2002
9	TCGCAATTCG	<i>Actinidiachinensis</i>	Gill <i>et al.</i> , 1998	60	CTCACGTGG	<i>Actinidia deliciosa</i> var. <i>deliciosa</i>	Shirkot <i>et al.</i> , 2002
10	ACTTCGCCAC	<i>Hippophae rhamnoides</i>	Sharma <i>et al.</i> , 2010	61	CCCAAGGTCC	<i>Psetta maxima</i>	Casas <i>et al.</i> , 2011
11	ACGCGAACCT	<i>Paramisgurnus dabryanus</i>	Xia <i>et al.</i> , 2011	62	GGAAGCCAAC	<i>Bryconama zonicus</i>	Da Silva <i>et al.</i> , 2012
12	CTCGAACCCC	<i>Streptopelia orientalis</i>	Wu <i>et al.</i> , 2007	63	CTGAGACGGA	<i>Simmondsia chinensis</i>	Agrawal <i>et al.</i> , 2007
13	CACACTCCAG	<i>Bubalus bubalis</i>	Horng <i>et al.</i> , 2004	64	TAGCGTCGAC	Iranian river buffalo	Shokrollahi & Aryapour, 2011
14	GCACCGAGAG	<i>Carica papaya</i>	Lemos <i>et al.</i> , 2002	65	TTGGTACCCC	<i>Hippophae salicifolia</i>	Rana <i>et al.</i> , 2009
15	CACCATCGTG	<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>	Chen <i>et al.</i> , 2009	66	CTAGAGGCCG	<i>Salix viminalis</i> L	Alstrom-Rapaport <i>et al.</i> , 1998
16	GATGACCGCC	<i>Actinidia deliciosa</i> var. <i>deliciosa</i>	Shirkot <i>et al.</i> , 2002	67	CCGCATCTAC	<i>Bombyx mori</i>	Abe <i>et al.</i> , 1998
17	TGCGTGCTTG	<i>Oreochromis niloticus</i>	Bardakci, 2000	68	TGTGGACTGG	<i>Bombyx mori</i>	Abe <i>et al.</i> , 1998
18	GGTCCCTGAC	<i>Borassus flabellifer</i>	George <i>et al.</i> , 2007	69	CCAGAACGGA	<i>Bombyx mori</i>	Abe <i>et al.</i> , 1998
19	TGATCCCTGG	<i>Ginkgo biloba</i>	Longdou <i>et al.</i> , 2006	70	CGCGTGCCAG	<i>Bombyx mori</i>	Abe <i>et al.</i> , 1998
20	GTGAGGCGTC	<i>Actinidia kolomikta</i>	Cesoniene <i>et al.</i> , 2007	71	GGTGCGCACT	<i>Atriplex garrettii</i>	Ruas <i>et al.</i> , 1998
21	CAAACGTCGG	<i>Actinidia kolomikta</i>	Cesoniene <i>et al.</i> , 2007	72	TGGGGGACTC	<i>Cannabis sativa</i>	Sakamoto <i>et al.</i> , 2005
22	TTGGCACGGG	<i>Carica papaya</i>	Urasaki <i>et al.</i> , 2002	73	CCTTGACGCA	<i>Cannabis sativa</i>	Sakamoto <i>et al.</i> , 2005
23	AGGAGTCGGA	<i>Simmondsia chinensis</i>	Hosseini <i>et al.</i> , 2011	74	CCACAGCAGT	<i>Cannabis sativa</i>	Sakamoto <i>et al.</i> , 2005

24	GGGCCACTCA	<i>Simmondsia chinensis</i>	Hosseini et al., 2011	75	AACGGTGACC	<i>Cannabis sativa</i>	Sakamoto et al., 2005
25	GTCCCGACGA	<i>Trichosanthes dioica</i>	Singh et al., 2002	76	CCTGATCACC	<i>Cannabis sativa</i>	Sakamoto et al., 2005
26	GAAACGGGTG	<i>Acer negundo</i>	Linsen et al., 1999	77	TGAGCCTCAC	<i>Humulus lupulus</i>	Polley et al., 1997
27	GTGACGTAGG	<i>Acer negundo</i>	Linsen et al., 1999	78	GGCGAAGGTT	<i>Humulus lupulus</i>	Polley et al., 1997
28	CAGCACCCAC	<i>Acer negundo</i>	Linsen et al., 1999	79	CGACCAGAGC	<i>Gracilaria lemaneiformis</i>	Xiang-feng et al., 1998
29	CAAACGTCGG	<i>Acer negundo</i>	Linsen et al., 1999	80	CCGGCCTTAG	<i>Gracilaria lemaneiformis</i>	Xiang-feng et al., 1998
30	GTTGCGATCC	<i>Acer negundo</i>	Linsen et al., 1999	81	TTCCCCGCGC	<i>Gracilaria lemaneiformis</i>	Xiang-feng et al., 1998
31	GGACTGGAGT	<i>Acer negundo</i>	Linsen et al., 1999	82	TTCCCCGACC	<i>Gracilaria lemaneiformis</i>	Xiang-feng et al., 1998
32	TGCGCCCTTC	<i>Acer negundo</i>	Linsen et al., 1999	83	GTGATCGCAG	<i>Phoenix dactylifera</i> L.	Younis et al., 2008
33	CATCCGTGCT	<i>Hippophae rhamnoides</i>	Persson & Nybom, 1998	84	TCGGCGATAG	<i>Phoenixda ctylifera</i> L.	Younis et al., 2008
34	TGTCATCCCC	<i>Piper longum</i>	Banerjee et al., 1999	85	GGTCTACACC	<i>Phoenix dactylifera</i> L.	Younis et al., 2008
35	GGGTAACGCC	<i>Silenedioica</i>	Di Stilio et al., 1998	86	CATCCCCCTG	<i>Zamia fischeri</i> Miq.	Roy et al., 2012
36	AACGCGTCGG	<i>Leporinusmacrocephalus</i>	Alves-Costa & Wasko, 2010	87	GGACTGGAGT	<i>Zamia fischeri</i> Miq.	Roy et al., 2012
37	TTGGTACCCC	<i>Grusamericana</i>	Duan & Fuerst, 2001	88	TTCACGGTGG	<i>Asparagus officinalis</i>	li et al., 2012
38	ACTTCGCCAC	pigeons	Hornig et al., 2006	89	CTGGCTCAGA	<i>Salixviminalis</i> L.	Gunter et al., 2003
39	GTTTCGCTCC	<i>Mercuria lisannua</i>	Khadka et al., 2002	90	GTCCACACGG	<i>Oreochromis niloticus</i>	Bardakci, 2000
40	GACGGATCAG	asparagus	Jiang & Sink, 1997	91	CCACAGCAGT	<i>Oreochromis niloticus</i>	Bardakci, 2000
41	GTGACGTAGG	<i>Piper betle</i>	Samantaray et al., 2012	92	CAGCACCCAC	<i>Oreochromis niloticus</i>	Bardakci, 2000
42	AATCGGGCTG	<i>Piper betle</i>	Samantaray et al., 2012	93	AAAGCTGCGG	<i>Oreochromis niloticus</i>	Bardakci, 2000
43	ACCAGGGGCA	<i>Piper betle</i>	Samantaray et al., 2012	94	TGAGTGGGTG	<i>Oreochromis niloticus</i>	Bardakci, 2000
44	GAACGGACTC	<i>Piper betle</i>	Samantaray et al., 2012	95	TGCGAGAGTC	<i>Carica papaya</i>	Reddy et al., 2012
45	GCCTGATTGC	<i>Pistacia species</i>	Esfandiyari et al., 2012	96	GGGCGGTACT	<i>Carica papaya</i>	Reddy et al., 2012
46	GAAACGGGTG	<i>Gracilaria changii</i>	Sim et al., 2007	97	ACCGCCTGCT	<i>Carica papaya</i>	Reddy et al., 2012
47	TCCGCTCTGG	<i>Gracilaria changii</i>	Sim et al., 2007	98	AGCCTGAGCC	<i>Carica papaya</i>	Reddy et al., 2012
48	TTCGAGCCAG	<i>Gracilaria changii</i>	Sim et al., 2007	99	GGGCCACTCA	<i>Caricapapaya</i> L.	Deputy et al., 2002
49	CCGCATCTAC	<i>Gracilaria changii</i>	Sim et al., 2007	100	GGGTGTGTAG	<i>Caricapapaya</i> L.	Deputy et al., 2002
50	GACGGATCAG	<i>Gracilaria changii</i>	Sim et al., 2007	101	CTGATGCGTG	<i>Caricapapaya</i> L.	Deputy et al., 2002
51	CACACTCCAG	<i>Gracilaria changii</i>	Sim et al., 2007				

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was funded by Iran National Science Foundation (Sandoogh-e Hemayat az Pajooheshgaran va Fannavaran-e

Keshvar) and supported by Khorramshahr University of Marine Science and Technology.

REFERENCES

- Abe H., Kanehara M, Terada T., Ohbayashi F., Shimada T., Kawai S., Suzuki M., Sugasaki T., & Oshiki T. (1998) Identification of novel random amplified polymorphic DNAs (RAPDs) on the W chromosome of the domesticated silkworm, *Bombyx mori* and the wild silkworm, *B. mandarina*, and their retrotransposable element related nucleotide sequences. *Genes & genetic systems*, 73: 243-254.
- Agrawal V, Sharma K, Gupta S, Kumar R, Prasad M (2007) Identification of sex in *Simmondsia chinensis* (Jojoba) using RAPD markers. *Plant Biotechnology Reports*, 1(4): 207-210.
- Alstrom-Rapaport C., Lascoux M, Wang YC, Roberts G. & Tuskan GA (1998) Identification of a RAPD Marker Linked to Sex Determination in the Basket Willow (*Salix viminalis* L.). *Journal of Heredity*, 89: 44-49.
- Alves-Costa F.A. & Wasko A.P. (2010) Identification of sexually dimorphic gene expression in brain tissue of the fish *Leporinus acrocephalus* through mRNA differential display and real time PCR analyses. *Genetica* 138 , 321-331.
- Banerjee N.S., Manoj P. & Das M.R. (1999) Male sex associated marker in *Piperlongum* L. *Current science*, 77(5): 693-695.
- Bardakci F. (2000) The Use of Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD) Markers in Sex Discrimination in Nile Tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* (Pisces: Cichlidae). *Turkish Journal of Biology*, 24, 169-175.
- Bemis W.E., Findies E.K. & Grande L (1997) An overview of Acipenseriforms. *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, 48(1-4), 25-71.
- Billard R, Lecointre G (2000) Biology and conservation of sturgeon and paddlefish. *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries*, 10, 355-392.
- Birstein V.J. (1993) Sturgeons and Paddlefishes - Threatened Fishes in Need of Conservation. *Conservation Biology*, 7, 773-787.
- Casas, L., Sanchez, L. & Orban, L., (2011) Sex-associated DNA markers from turbot. *Marine Biology Research*, 7, 378-387.
- Cesoniene L., Daubaras R. & Gelvonauskis B. (2007) Evaluation of genetic diversity and genetic relationships among female Lithuanian accessions of Kolomikta kiwi. *Journal of Fruit and Ornamental Plant Research*, 15: 95-102.
- Chapman F.A., Van Eenennaam J.P., Doroshov S.I. (1996) The reproductive condition of white sturgeon, *Acipenser transmontanus*, in San Francisco Bay, California. *Fishery Bulletin*, 94: 628-634.
- Chen J., Wang Y., Yue Y., Xia X., Du Q. & Chang Z (2009) A novel male-specific DNA sequence in the common carp, *Cyprinus carpio*. *Molecular and cellular probes*, 23: 235-239.
- da Silva, E. M., Wong, M. S. L., Martins, C., & Wasko, A. P. (2012) Screening and characterization of sex-specific DNA fragments in the freshwater fish matrinchã, *Bryconama zonicus* (Teleostei: Characiformes: Characidae). *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry*, 38: 1487-1496.
- Deputy, J.C., Ming, R, Ma H., Liu Z., Fitch M.M., Wang M., Manshardt R & Stiles J.I. (2002) Molecular markers for sex determination in papaya (*Caricacarpaya* L.). *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 106, 107-111.
- Devlin, R. H., & Nagahama, Y. (2002). Sex determination and sex differentiation in fish: an overview of genetic, physiological, and environmental influences. *Aquaculture*, 208, 191-364.
- Di Stilio, V. S., Kesseli, R. V., & Mulcahy, D. L. (1998). A pseudoautosomal random amplified polymorphic DNA marker for the sex chromosomes of *Silene dioica*. *Genetics*, 149, 2057-2062.
- Doroshov, S. I., Moberg, G. P., & Van Eenennaam, J. P. (1997). Observations on the reproductive cycle of cultures white sturgeon, *Acipenser transmontanus*. *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, 48: 265-278.
- Duan, W., & Fuerst, P. A. (2001). Isolation of a sex-linked DNA sequence in cranes. *Journal of Heredity*, 92, 392-397.
- Esfandiyari, B., Davarynejad, G. H., Shahriari, F., Kiani, M., & Mathe, A. (2012). Data to the sex determination

- in *Pistacia* species using molecular markers. *Euphytica*, 185, 227-231.
- Feist, G., Van Eenennaam, J. P., Doroshov, S. I., Schreck, C. B., Schneider, R. P., & Fitzpatrick, M. S. (2004). Early identification of sex in cultured white sturgeon, *Acipenser transmontanus*, using plasma steroid levels. *Aquaculture*, 232, 581-590.
- Flynn, S. R., Matsuoka, M., Reith, M., Martin-Robichaud, D. J., & Benfey, T. J. (2006). Gynogenesis and sex determination in shortnose sturgeon, *Acipenser brevirostrum* Lesuere. *Aquaculture*, 253(1), 721-727.
- Fontana, F., & Colombo, G. (1974). The chromosomes of Italian sturgeons. *Experientia*, 30(7), 739-742.
- Fopp-Bayat, D. (2010). Meiotic gynogenesis revealed not homogametic female sex determination system in Siberian sturgeon (*Acipenser baeri* Brandt). *Aquaculture*, 305, 174-177.
- Gill, G. P., Harvey, C. F., Gardner, R. C., & Fraser, L. G. (1998). Development of sex-linked PCR markers for gender identification in Actinidia. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 97, 439-445.
- Griffiths, R., & Tiwari, B. (1993). The isolation of molecular genetic markers for the identification of sex. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 90, 8324-8326.
- Gunter, L. E., Roberts, G. T., Lee, K., Larimer, F. W., & Tuskan, G. A. (2003). The development of two flanking SCAR markers linked to a sex determination locus in *Salix viminalis* L. *Journal of heredity*, 94(2), 185-189.
- Hale, M., McCormick, C., Jackson, J., & DeWoody, J. A. (2009). Next-generation pyrosequencing of gonad transcriptomes in the polyploid lake sturgeon (*Acipenser fulvescens*): the relative merits of normalization and rarefaction in gene discovery. *BMC genomics*, 10, 203.
- Harshbarger, J.C., Coffey, M.J. & Young, M.Y (2000) Intersexes in Mississippi River shovelnose sturgeon sampled below Saint Louis, Missouri, USA. *Marine Environmental Research* 50, 247-250.
- Hett, A.K., Ludwig, A (2005) SRY-related (Sox) genes in the genome of European Atlantic sturgeon (*Acipenser sturio*). *Genome* 48: 181-186.
- Hett, A. K., Pitra, C., Jenneckens, I., & Ludwig, A. (2005). Characterization of sox9 in European Atlantic sturgeon (*Acipenser sturio*). *Journal of Heredity*, 96, 150-154.
- Hornig, Y. M., Chen, Y. T., Wu, C. P., Jea, Y. S., & Huang, M. C. (2004). Cloning of Taiwan water buffalo male-specific DNA sequence for sexing. *Theriogenology*, 62, 1536-1543.
- Hornig, Y. M., & Huang, M. C. (2003). Male-specific DNA sequences in pigs. *Theriogenology*, 59(3), 841-848.
- Hornig, Y. M., Wu, C. P., Wang, Y. C., & Huang, M. C. (2006). A novel molecular genetic marker for gender determination of pigeons. *Theriogenology*, 65, 1759-1768.
- Hosseini, F.S., Shamsavand Hassani, H., Arvin, M.J., Baghizadeh, A. & Mohammadi Nejad, G. (2011) Sex determination of jojoba (*Simmondsia chinensis* cv. Arizona) by random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) molecular markers. *African Journal of Biotechnology* 10: 470-474.
- Hsu, H.A., Wang, P.H., Chao, M.C., Chan, F.T., Wang, L.M., Lin, P.I., Chang, C.H., Yuan, H.W. & Ding, S.T. (2009) Use of random amplified polymorphic DNA to identify several novel markers for sex identification in the crested serpent eagle and crested goshawk. *Theriogenology* 72: 755-764.
- Li, Y., Urugami, A., Uno, Y., Kanechi, M. and Inagaki, N (2012) RAPD-based analysis of differences between male and female genotypes of *Asparagus officinalis*. *Horticulture Science (Prague)* 39: 33-37.
- Iturra, P., Medrano, J. F., Bagley, M., Lam, N., Vergara, N., & Marin, J. C. (1998). Identification of sex chromosome molecular markers using RAPDs and fluorescent in situ hybridization in rainbow trout. *Genetica*, 101: 209-213.
- Jiang, C. & Sink, K.C (1997) RAPD and SCAR markers linked to the sex expression locus M in asparagus. *Euphytica* 94, 329-333.
- George, J., Karun, A., Manimekalai, R., Rajesh, M. K., & Remya, P. (2007). Identification of RAPD markers linked to sex determination in

- palmyrah (*Borassus flabellifer* L.). *Current science*, 93(8), 1075-1077.
- Keyvanshokoo, S., & Gharaei, A. (2010). A review of sex determination and searches for sex-specific markers in sturgeon. *Aquaculture Research*, 41, e1-e7.
- Keyvanshokoo, S., Kalbassi, M.R., Hosseinkhani, S., Vaziri, B (2009) Comparative proteomics analysis of male and female Persian sturgeon (*Acipenser persicus*) gonads. *Animal Reproduction Science*, 111 : 361-368.
- Keyvanshokoo, S., Pourkazemi, M., & Kalbassi, M. R. (2007). The RAPD technique failed to identify sex-specific sequences in beluga (Husohuso). *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, 23(1): 1-2.
- Khadka, D.K., Nejdat, A., Tal, M. & Golan-Goldhirsh, A (2002) DNA markers for sex: Molecular evidence for gender dimorphism in dioecious *Mercuria lisannua* L.. *Molecular Breeding*, 9, 251-257.
- Koopman, P., Gubbay, J., Vivian, N., Goodfellow, P. & Lovell-Badge, R (1991) Male development of chromosomally female mice transgenic for Sry. *Nature*, 351, 117-121.
- Kovacs, B., Egedi, S., Bartfai, R., Orban, L. (2001) Male-specific DNA markers from African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*). *Genetica*, 110, 267-276.
- Lemos, E.G.M., Silva, C.L.S.P. and Zaidan, H.A (2002) Identification of sex in *Carica papaya* L. using RAPD markers. *Euphytica*, 127, 179-184.
- Liao, L., Lui, J., Dai, Y., Li, Q., Xia, M., Chen, Q., Yin, H., Qiu, G. and Liu, X (2009) Development and application of SCAR markers for sex identification in the dioecious species *Ginkgo biloba* L. *Euphytica*, 169: 49-55.
- Linsen, Z., Qiang, Z., Jinxia, M., Jian, X. & Hua, Z (1999) RAPD analysis on genomic DNA of male and female plants of *Acer negundo* L. *Journal of Forest Research*, 10, 152-154.
- Logan, S.H., Johnston, W.E., Doroshov, S.I (1995) Economics of Joint Production of Sturgeon (*Acipenser transmontanus* Richardson) and Roe for Caviar. *Aquaculture*, 130: 299-316.
- Longdou, L., Qibai, X., Meili, J., Wujun, G. & Ruili, L (2006) Identification of RAPD marker linked to the sex in dioecious *Ginkgo Biloba*. *Geneticasi Biologie Moleculara*, 7:105-110.
- McCormick, C. R., Bos, D. H., & DeWoody, J. A. (2008). Multiple molecular approaches yield no evidence for sex-determining genes in lake sturgeon (*Acipenser fulvescens*). *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, 24, 643-645.
- Michelmore, R., Paran, I., & Kesseli, R. V. (1991). Identification of markers linked to disease-resistance genes by bulked segregant analysis: a rapid method to detect markers in specific genomic regions by using segregating populations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 88(21), 9828-9832.
- Nasrollahzadeh, A. (2010). Caspian Sea and its Ecological Challenges. *Caspian Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 8(1), 97-104.
- Omoto, N., Maebayashi, M., Adachi, S., Arai, K. & Yamauchi, K (2005) Sex ratios of triploids and gynogenetic diploids induced in the hybrid sturgeon, the bester (*Husohuso* female x *Acipenser ruthenus* male). *Aquaculture*, 245, 39-47.
- Penman, D. J., & Piferrer, F. (2008). Fish gonadogenesis. Part I: genetic and environmental mechanisms of sex determination. *Reviews in Fisheries Science*, 16: 16-34.
- Persson, H. A., & Nybom, H. (1998). Genetic sex determination and RAPD marker segregation in the dioecious species sea buckthorn (*Hippophae rhamnoides* L.). *Hereditas*, 129: 45-51.
- Polley, A., Seigner, E. & Ganal, M.W (1997) Identification of sex in hop (*Humulus lupulus*) using molecular markers. *Genome*, 40, 357-361.
- Pourkazemi, M. (2006). Caspian Sea sturgeon conservation and fisheries: past present and future. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, 22: 12-16.
- Rana, S., Shirkot, P. and Yadav, M.C (2009) A female sex associated Randomly Amplified Polymorphic DNA marker in dioecious *Hippophaesa licifolia*. *Genes, Genomes and Genomics*, 3: 96-101.
- Reddy, S. R., Krishna, R. B., & Reddy, K. J. (2012). Sex determination of Papaya (*Carica papaya*) at seedling stage

- through RAPD Markers. *Research in Biotechnology*, 3(1).
- Roy, S. K., Gangopadhyay, G., & Mukherjee, K. K. (2012). Determination of sex in *Zamia fischeri* Miq., an endangered gymnosperm. *International Journal of Biodiversity and Conservation*, 4, 287-293.
- Ruas, C.F., Fairbanks, D.J., Evans, R.P., Stutz, H.C., Andersen, W.R. & Ruas, P.M (1998) Male-Specific DNA in the dioecious species *Atriplex garrettii* (Chenopodiaceae). *American Journal of Botany*, 85, 162-167.
- Russell, S.R., Sanchez-Soriano, N., Wright, C.R., Ashburner, M (1996) The *Dichaete* gene of *Drosophila melanogaster* encodes a SOX-domain protein required for embryonic segmentation. *Development*, 122: 69-76.
- Sakamoto, K., Abe, T., Matsuyama, T., Yoshida, S., Ohmido, N., Fukui, K. & Satoh, S (2005) RAPD markers encoding retrotransposable elements are linked to the male sex in *Cannabis sativa* L.. *Genome*, 48: 931-936.
- Samantaray, S., Geetha, K. A., Hidayath, K. P., & Maiti, S. (2010). Identification of RAPD markers linked to sex determination in guggal [*Commiphora wightii* (Arnott.) Bhandari]. *Plant Biotechnology Reports*, 4: 95-99.
- Samantaray, S., Phurailatpam, A., Bishoyi, A. K., Geetha, K. A., & Maiti, S. (2012). Identification of sex-specific DNA markers in betel vine (*Piper betle* L.). *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution*, 59: 645-653.
- Sharma, A., Zinta, G., Rana, S., & Shirko, P. (2010). Molecular identification of sex in *Hippophaerhamnoides* L. using isozyme and RAPD markers. *Forestry Studies in China*, 12: 62-66.
- Shirkot, P., Sharma, D.R. and Mohapatra, T (2002) Molecular identification of sex in *Actinidiadeliciosa* var. *deliciosa* by RAPD markers. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 94: 33-39.
- Shirkot, P., Sharma, D.R. & Mohapatra, T. (2002) Molecular identification of sex in *Actinidia deliciosa* var. *deliciosa* by RAPD markers. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 94, 33-39.
- Shokrollahi, B., & Aryapour, H. (2011). A novel male-specific DNA sequence for river buffaloes. *African Journal of Microbiology Research*, 5: 284-288.
- Sim, M. C., Lim, P. E., Gan, S. Y., & Phang, S. M. (2007). Identification of random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) marker for differentiating male from female and sporophytic thalli of *Gracilaria changii* (Rhodophyta). *Journal of Applied Phycology*, 19: 763-769.
- Sinclair, A., Berta, P., Palmer, M., Hawkins, J., Griffiths, B. & Palmer, M. S. (1990). A gene from the human sex-determining region encodes a protein with. *Nature*, 346: 240-4.
- Singh, M., Kumar, S., Singh, A.K. & Kalloo, G. (2002) Female sex associated marker in pointed ground (*Trichosanthes dioica* Roxb.). *Current Science*, 82, 131-132.
- Torjek, O., Bucherna, N., Kiss, E., Homoki, H., Finta-Korpelova, Z., Bocsa, I., Nagy, I. & Heszky, L.E (2002) Novel male-specific molecular markers (MADC5, MADC6) in hemp. *Euphytica*, 127: 209-218.
- Underwood, A. P., & Bianco, A. E. (1999). Identification of a molecular marker for the Y chromosome of *Brugiamalayi*. *Molecular and biochemical parasitology*, 99: 1-10.
- Urasaki, N., Tokumoto, M., Tarora, K., Ban, Y., Kayano, T., Tanaka, H., Oku, H., Chinen, I. & Terauchi, R. (2002) A male and hermaphrodite specific RAPD marker for papaya (*Carica papaya* L.). *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 104: 281-285.
- Van Eenennaam, J.I. & Doroshov, S.I (1998) Effects of age and body size on gonadal development of Atlantic sturgeon. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 53: 624-637.
- Van Eenennaam AL, Murray JD, Medrano JF (1998) Mitotic analysis of the North American white sturgeon, *Acipenser transmontanus* Richardson (Pisces Acipenseridae), a fish with a very high chromosome number. *Genome*, 41, 266-271.
- Van Eenennaam, A.L., Van Eenennaam, J.P., Medrano, J.F. & Doroshov, S.I (1999) Evidence of female heterogametic genetic sex

- determination in white sturgeon. *Journal of Heredity*, 90, 231-233.
- Xia, X., Zhao, J., Du, Q., Zhi, J. & Chang, J (2011) Cloning and identification of a female-specific DNA marker in *Paramisgurnus dabryanus*. *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry*, 37, 53-59.
- Xiang-feng, L., Zheng-hong, S., & Xue-cheng, Z. (1998). Application of RAPD in genetic diversity study on *Gracilaria lemaneiformis* III. Phase and sex related markers. *Chinese Journal of Oceanology and Limnology*, 16(1), 147-151.
- Xu, W.J., Wang, B.W. & Cui, K.M (2004) RAPD and SCAR markers linked to sex determination in *Eucommia ulmoides* Oliv. *Euphytica*, 136: 233-238.
- Webb M A. H., Feist G. W., Foster E. P., Schreck C B, & Fitzpatrick M. S. (2002) Potential classification of sex and stage of gonadal maturity of wild white sturgeon using blood plasma indicators. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society*, 131, 132-142.
- Wright, E.M., Snopek, B., Koopman, P (1993) Seven new members of the Sox gene family expressed during mouse development. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 21:744.
- Wu, C.P., Horng, Y.M., Wang, R.T., Yang, K.T. & Huang, M.C (2007) A novel sex-specific DNA marker in Columbidae birds. *Theriogenology*, 67, 328-333.
- Wuertz, S., Gaillard, S., Barbisan, F., Carle, S., Congiu, L., Forlani, A., Aubert, J., Kirschbaum, F., Tosi, E., Zane, L., & Grillasca, J.P (2006) Extensive screening of sturgeon genomes by techniques revealed no sex-specific random screening marker. *Aquaculture*, 258, 685-688.
- Yarmohammadi, M., Pourkazemi, M., Ghasemi, A., Hassanzadeh Saber, M., & Chakmehdouz, F (2011) AFLP reveals no sex-specific markers in Persian sturgeon (*Acipenser persicus*) or beluga sturgeon (*Huso huso*) from the southern Caspian Sea, Iran. *Progress in Biological Science*, 1: 55-60.
- Younis, R.A.A., Ismail, O.M. & Soliman, S.S (2008) Identification of Sex-specific DNA Markers for Date Palm (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.) Using RAPD and ISSR Techniques. *Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences*, 4: 278-284.

کاوش هدفمند ژنوم فیلماهی (*Husohuso*) به منظور کشف نشانگرهای جنسی با استفاده از روش BSA

م. خداپرست، س. کیوان شکوه*، م. پورکاظمی، س. ج. حسینی و ح. ذوالقرنین

- ۱- گروه شیلات، دانشگاه علوم و فنون دریائی خرمشهر، خرمشهر، خوزستان، ایران
- ۲- گروه ژنتیک، موسسه بین المللی ماهیان خاویاری، رشت، ایران
- ۳- مرکز تحقیقات و مطالعات خلیج فارس، دانشگاه خلیج فارس، بوشهر، ایران
- ۴- گروه زیست دریا، دانشگاه علوم و فنون دریائی خرمشهر، خرمشهر، خوزستان، ایران

(تاریخ دریافت: ۹۲/۶/۱۹ - تاریخ پذیرش: ۹۲/۱۱/۱۶)

چکیده

در تکثیر و پرورش ماهیان خاویاری که هدف عمده تولید خاویار می باشد، وجود یک روش قابل اعتماد به منظور جداسازی افراد نر و ماده ضروری است. در حال حاضر به دلیل عدم وجود صفات خارجی وابسته به جنس در ماهیان خاویاری، تشخیص جنسیت با استفاده از روش تهاجمی تکه برداری از گنادها انجام می شود. بنابراین معرفی یک روش غیر تهاجمی و غیر تنش زا برای تشخیص جنسیت ماهیان خاویاری بر اساس نشانگرهای ژنتیکی، دارای اهمیت است. در این مطالعه، امکان کشف نشانگرهای ژنتیکی وابسته به جنس در فیلماهی با استفاده از روش BSA (Bulked Segregant Analysis) مورد آزمون قرار گرفت. به همین منظور، دو خزانه DNA مربوط به افراد نر و ماده از DNA ده قطعه فیلماهی از هر جنس به صورت جداگانه تهیه شد. در مجموع ۱۰۱ پرایمر ده نوکلئوتیدی که توالی های وابسته به جنس در گونه های غیر ماهیان خاویاری را تکثیر نموده بودند تهیه و خزانه ژنومی فیلماهی با استفاده از این پرایمرها برای کشف نشانگرهای جنسی، به صورت هدفمند مورد غربالگری قرار گرفت. در مجموع ۲۸۴۶ باند تکثیر شد که هیچکدام از آنها در فیلماهی وابسته به جنس نبود. نتایج این پژوهش نشان داد که کروموزوم های جنسی در فیلماهی دارای نقاط متمایز کمی بوده و توالی های وابسته به جنس سایر گونه ها که در این تحقیق استفاده شد، در ژنوم فیلماهی به صورت حفاظت شده وجود ندارد.

* مولف مسئول