Journal of Algebra and Related Topics
Vol. , No. -, 2027, pp. -. Research Article

On index divisors of septic number fields defined by $x^7 + ax^2 + bx + c$

Hamid Ben Yakkou[†*], **Abdelkarim Boua**[‡], **Mimoun Rabiy**[‡]

[†] *Faculty of Applied Sciences of Béni-Mellal, University Sultan Moulay Slimane, Béni-Mellal, Morocco*
[‡]*Department of Mathematics, Polydisciplinary Faculty, University Sidi Mohammed Ben Abdellah, Taza, Morocco*
Emails: beyakouhamid@gmail.com, abdelkarim.boua@usmba.ac.ma, mimoun.rabiy@usmba.ac.ma

Abstract. Consider a septic number field K generated by a root of an irreducible quadrinomial $F(x) = x^7 + ax^2 + bx + c \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Let $i(K)$ denote the index of K, which is the greatest common divisor of indices of all primitive elements of the ring of integers of K. In this paper, we show that 2 and 3 are the only primes that can divide $i(K)$. Furthermore, for $p = 2$ and 3, we give sufficient conditions on a, b and c for which $i(K)$ is divisible by p and we determine $\nu_p(i(K))$, the highest power of p dividing $i(K)$. In particular, if $i(K) \neq 1$, then K cannot be monogenic. Our results provide a partial answer to Problem 22 of Narkiewicz [Elementary and Analytic Theory of Algebraic Numbers, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg (2004)] for these families of number fields.

Keywords: Index of a number field, Newton polygon, Theorem of Ore, Prime ideal factorization, Monogenity.
AMS Subject Classification 2010: 11R04, 11R21, 11Y40.

1 Introduction

Let K be a number field of degree n and $\mathcal{O}_K$ its ring of integers. For any primitive element $\gamma \in O_K$, we denote the index of the subgroup $\mathbb{Z}[\gamma]$ in $\mathcal{O}_K$ by $\mathrm{ind}(\gamma)$. The field K is called monogenic if $\mathrm{ind}(\gamma) = 1$ for some primitive element γ of $\mathcal{O}_K$, i.e., $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\gamma]$. This means that $\mathbb{B}_\gamma := \{1, \gamma, \ldots, \gamma^{n-1}\}$ is an integral basis of K. It is called a power integral basis of K. If there does not exist any $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}_K$ for which $\mathrm{ind}(\gamma) = 1$, then K is non-monogenic.

*Corresponding author
Received: 06 August 2025/ Revised: 10 July 2026/ Accepted: 20 July 2026
DOI: 10.22124/JART.2026.31130.1823

© 2027 University of Guilan

For $n \geq 4$, the monogenity of number fields of degree n is not completely characterized: for example the monogenity of the pure quartic number field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{m})$ is not completely described, even if the parameter m is square-free (see the recent paper [4] by Arnóczki and Nyul).

The problem of studying the monogenity or not monogenity of number fields have been intensively studied in the literature (cf. [1, 2, 9, 10, 12, 14, 15, 17, 21, 22, 26, 34, 35]).

In [20, 21, 23, 24], Győry proved in an effective way that there are only finitely many $\mathbb{Z}$-equivalence classes of γ which can generate a power integral basis of $\mathcal{O}_K$, and a full system of representatives can be determined effectively. This gave the first general effectif algorithm for deciding whether K is monogenic or not.

Evertse and Győry's book [14] provides detailed surveys on the discriminant form and index form theory and its applications, including related Diophantine equations and monogenity of number fields.

A great number of results have been obtained by different approaches. For quartic number fields, see [2–4, 31, 34, 35]. For quintic fields, you may consult [16]. For sextic fields, we refer to [1, 10]. For multiquadratic number fields see [33]. In [25, 26] Jones et al., studied the monogenity of different families of number fields defined by trinomials. See also [5] by Ben Yakkou. For more details and efficient algorithms, the reader can consult the books [14] by Evertse and Győry, [15] by Gaál and [29] by Narkiewicz.

An efficient method to study the monogenity of number fields, particularly when the degree n is larger, typically greater than 6, is to analyze their indices. Recall that the index of a number field K is

$$i(K) := \gcd\{\mathrm{ind}(\gamma) \mid \gamma \in \mathcal{O}_K \text{ and } K = \mathbb{Q}(\gamma)\}. \tag{1}$$

Thus, if $i(K) > 1$, then K cannot be monogenic. The prime divisor p of $i(K)$ are called prime common index divisor of K. In 1871, Dedekind proved that the cubic field K generated by a root of $x^3 - x^2 - 2x - 8$ cannot be monogenic, since 2 is a common index divisor of K (see [12, §5, Page 30]). For number fields of degree $n \leq 7$, Engstrom [13] showed that $\nu_p(i(K))$ can be determined from the decomposition type of p into prime ideals. In [30], Nart extended Engstrom's results by giving explicit formulas for computing $\nu_p(i(K))$ for some type of p-decompositions. Problem 22 of Narkiewicz [29] asks for explicit formulas for $\nu_p(i(K))$ in classes of number fields.

For various results on indices in different families of number fields defined by trinomials, we refer to [5, 6, 8, 11, 27]. In 2024, Ben Yakkou [7] studied indices in quartic number fields defined by the quadrinomial $x^4 + ax^3 + bx + c \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$.

The goal of the present paper is to study the prime powers of indices for number fields K generated by roots of irreducible quadrinomials $x^7 + ax^2 + bx + c$. We show that if a prime p divides $i(K)$, then $p = 2$ or 3. We give sufficient conditions on a, b and c, so that $p \in \{2, 3\}$ is a common index divisor of K, and we evaluate $\nu_p(i(K))$. Our method primarily relies on a theorem of Ore [32] on the decomposition of primes in number fields, while employing Newton polygon techniques [19], and the results established by Engstrom [13].

2 Newton polygons and Theorem of Ore

As mentioned in the introduction, the main tool to prove our results is a theorem of Ore on the decomposition of primes in number fields, so we need some preliminary results before stating

this theorem.

Let p be a prime and K a number field. In 1928, Ore [32] developed an efficient algorithm to factorize $p\mathcal{O}_K$. His method utilizes some Newton polygon techniques. Ore's method were deeply developed by Guàrdia et al. in a series of their papers [18] and [19], who introduced higher Newton polygons.

Now, following [19, 28], we shortly recall some fundamental notions on these techniques.

Let $\mathbb{F}_p := \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ and ν_p denote the discrete valuation of $\mathbb{Q}_p(x)$. Let $F(x) \equiv \prod_{i=1}^{t} \phi_i(x)^{l_i}$ be the factorization of $F(x)$ into a product of powers of distinct monic irreducible polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$. For every $i = 1, \ldots, t$, let $F(x) = b_{i0}(x) + b_{i1}(x)\phi(x) + \cdots + b_{iL_i}(x)\phi(x)^{L_i}$ be the ϕ_i-adic development of $F(x)$. Also, for every $0 \leq j \leq L_i$, let $u_{ij} = \nu_p(b_{ij}(x))$. The ϕ_i-Newton polygon of $F(x)$ (with respect to ν_p), denoted by $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_i}(F)$, is the lower boundary convex envelope of the points $\{(j, u_{ij}), 0 \leq j \leq L_i, b_{ij}(x) \neq 0\}$ in the Euclidean plane. The sides of $\mathcal{N}_{\phi}(F)$ which have negative slopes form the ϕ_i-principal Newton polygon of $F(x)$. This polygon will be denoted by $\mathcal{N}^-_{\phi_i}(F)$. The polygon $\mathcal{N}^-_{\phi_i}(F)$ is the union of r_i different adjacent sides $S_{i1}, \ldots, S_{ir_i}$ with increasing slopes $\lambda_{i1} < \cdots < \lambda_{ir_i}$. We shall write $\mathcal{N}^-_{\phi_i}(F) = S_{i1} + S_{12} + \cdots + S_{ir_i}$.

Let S_{ij} be a side of $\mathcal{N}^-_{\phi_i}(F)$ with length $l(S_{ij})$ and height $h(S_{ij})$. Then the slope of S_{ij} equals $-\frac{h(S_{ij})}{l(S_{ij})} = -\frac{h_{ij}}{e_{ij}}$, where e_{ij} and h_{ij} are two positive coprime integers. The positive integer e_{ij} is called the ramification index of S_{ij} and denoted by $e(S_{ij})$. The degree of S_{ij} is $d(S_{ij}) = d_{ij} = \frac{l(S_{ij})}{e_{ij}}$.

Define the finite residual field $\mathbb{F}_{\phi_i} := \mathbb{Z}[x]/(p, \phi_i(x))$. Then, we attach to S_{ij} the following residual polynomial:

$$\mathcal{R}_{ij}(F)(y) := c_{s_{ij}} + c_{s_{ij}+e_{ij}}y + \cdots + c_{s_{ij}+(d_{ij}-1)e_{ij}}y^{d_{ij}-1} + c_{s_{ij}+d_{ij}e_{ij}}y^{d_{ij}} \in \mathbb{F}_{\phi_i}[y],$$

where $c_{s_{ij}+ke_{ij}} = \dfrac{a_{s_{ij}+ke_{ij}}(x)}{p^{u_{i,s_{ij}+ke_{ij}}}} \pmod{(p, \phi_i(x))}$ for $k = 1, \ldots, d_{ij}$, and s_{ij} is the abscissa of the initial point of S_{ij}. Let $\mathcal{R}_{ij}(F)(y) = \prod_{s=1}^{s_{ij}} \psi_{ijs}^{n_{ijs}}(y)$ be the factorization of $\mathcal{R}_{ij}(F)(y)$ into a product of powers of distinct monic irreducible polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_{\phi_i}[y]$. With the above notations, we give the following two concepts of regularity:

1. The polynomial $F(x)$ is called ϕ_i-regular with respect to ν_p if $\mathcal{R}_{ij}(F)(y)$ is separable in $\mathbb{F}_{\phi_i}[y]$ for every $j = 1, \ldots, r_i$, that is $n_{ijs} = 1$ for all (i, j, s).

2. The polynomial $F(x)$ is said p-regular if it is ϕ_i-regular for all $i = 1, \ldots, t$.

The following theorem, due to Ore [32], will play a significant role in the proof of our results (see [19, Theorems 1.13, 1.15 and 1.19]) and [28]:

Theorem 1 (Theorem of Ore)**.** *With the above notations, if $F(x)$ is p-regular, then*

$$p\mathcal{O}_K = \prod_{i=1}^{t} \prod_{j=1}^{r_i} \prod_{s=1}^{s_{ij}} \mathfrak{p}_{ijs}^{e_{ij}},$$

where $e_{ij} = e(S_{ij})$ and $f_{ijs} = f(\mathfrak{p}_{ijs}/p) = \deg(\phi_i) \times \deg(\psi_{ijs})$ is the residue degree of $\mathfrak{p}_{ijs}$ over p.

Corollary 1. *With the above notations, we have:*

1. *If for some $i = 1, \dots, t$, $l_i = 1$, then ϕ_i provides a unique prime ideal of $\mathcal{O}_K$ above p of residue degree $\deg(\phi_i)$ with ramification index 1.*
2. *If for some $i = 1, \dots, t$, $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_i}^-(F) = S_{i1} + \cdots + S_{ir_i}$ has r_i distinct sides of degree 1 each, then ϕ_i provides r_i distinct prime ideals of $\mathcal{O}_K$ above p of residue degree $\deg(\phi_i)$ each, with respective ramification indices $e(S_{ij}), j = 1, \dots, r_i$.*

The proof of the following numerical example in the quartic case is based on the application of Ore's Theorem.

Example 1. Consider $F(x) = x^4 + 76x^2 + 152x + 171 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Since $F(x)$ is a 19-Eisenstein polynomial, $F(x)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let α be a root of $F(x)$ and set $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. By [13], $i(K) = 2^u \cdot 3^v$, with $u \leq 2$ and $v \leq 1$. So, let us determine u and v.

For $p = 2$, we have $F(x) \equiv (x-1)^4 \pmod 2$. Set $\phi_1 = x - 1$. The ϕ_1-adic development of $F(x)$ is

$$F(x) = \phi_1(x)^4 + 4\phi_1(x)^3 + 82\phi_1(x)^2 + 308\phi_1(x) + 400.$$

As $\nu_2(400) = 4, \nu_2(308) = 2, \nu_2(82) = 1$ and $\nu_2(4) = 2$, $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_1}^-(F) = \mathcal{S}_{11} + \mathcal{S}_{12} + \mathcal{S}_{13}$ has three distinct sides joining $(0,4), (1,2), (2,1)$ and $(4,0)$ (see Figure 1). Their respective ramification indices are $e(S_{11}) = e(S_{12}) = 1$ and $e(S_{13}) = 2$. The degree of each side is 1, then their attached residual polynomials are separable in $\mathbb{F}_{\phi_1}[y] \simeq \mathbb{F}_2[y]$. So, $F(x)$ is ϕ_1-regular, and so it is 2-regular. Applying Theorem 1, we see that $2\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p}_{1\,1\,1}\mathfrak{p}_{1\,2\,1}\mathfrak{p}_{1\,3\,1}^2$, where $\mathfrak{p}_{1\,1\,1}, \mathfrak{p}_{1\,2\,1}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_{1\,3\,1}$ are three distinct prime ideals of $\mathcal{O}_K$ having residue degree 1 each. Hence, by Engstrom's table (see [13, Page 234]), we deduce that $u = 1$.

For $p = 3$, we have $F(x) \equiv x(x-1)(x^2 - x - 1) \pmod 3$. Using Corollary 1(1), we get $3\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p}_{1\,1\,1}\mathfrak{p}_{1\,2\,1}\mathfrak{p}_{1\,3\,1}$, where $\mathfrak{p}_{1\,1\,1}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_{1\,2\,1}$ are two distinct prime ideals of $\mathcal{O}_K$ having residue degree 1 each, and $\mathfrak{p}_{1\,3\,1}$ is a prime ideal of $\mathcal{O}_K$ of residue degree 2. Therefore, by the above mentioned Engstrom's table, $v = 0$.

We conclude that $i(K) = 2$. Hence, K is not monogenic.




Figure 1: $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_1}^-(F)$ with respect to ν_2.

3 Main results

In what follows, for any rational integer r and a prime p, $\nu_p(r)$ will denote the highest power of p dividing r. K is a septic number field generated by a root of an irreducible quadrinomial

$F(x) = x^7 + ax^2 + bx + c \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. For every prime p, scaling the coefficients if necessary, we lose no generality in assuming that

$$\nu_p(a) < 5 \text{ or } \nu_p(b) < 6 \text{ or } \nu_p(c) < 7. \tag{2}$$

By [29, Proposition 2.13], we have

$$\Delta(F) = \text{ind}(\alpha)^2 \cdot d_K, \tag{3}$$

where $\Delta(F)$ is the discriminant of $F(x)$ and d_K is the discriminant of K. Therefore, if $p \mid i(K)$, then $p^2 \mid \Delta(F)$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(F) = &-2^2 \times 5^5 a^7 c + 5^5 a^6 b^2 + 2 \times 5^3 \times 7^4 a^3 bc^3 - 2^2 \times 3^3 \times 5^2 \times 7^3 a^2 b^3 c^2 \\ &+ 2^5 \times 3^5 \times 7^2 ab^5 c - 2^6 \times 3^6 b^7 - 7^7 b^6.\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

For simplicity, if $p\mathcal{O}_K = \prod_{j=1}^{g} \mathfrak{p}_j^{e_j}$, where $\mathfrak{p}_j$ are distinct prime ideals of $\mathcal{O}_K$ with residue degrees $f(\mathfrak{p}_j/p) = f_j$, then we write $p\mathcal{O}_K = [f_1^{e_1}, \ldots, f_g^{e_g}]$. Note also that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{g} e_j f_j = \deg(K) = 7. \tag{5}$$

The following result in the septic case is derived immediately from the above equality and Theorems 4.33 and 4.34 and Proposition 4.35 of [29].

Lemma 1. *Let K be a number field of degree 7. Then $5 \mid i(K)$ if and only if $L_5(1) \geq 6$, where $L_5(1)$ is the number of distinct prime ideals of $\mathcal{O}_K$ having residue degree 1 and lying above 5.*

The following table, which is copied from [13], provides the highest power of the primes 2 and 3 dividing the index of any number field K of degree 7.

Table 1: $\nu_p(i(K))$ for $p \in \{2, 3\}$ and $\deg(K) = 7$

$p\mathcal{O}_K = [f_1^{e_1}, \ldots, f_g^{e_g}]$	$\nu_2(i(K))$	$\nu_3(i(K))$
$[2^1, 2^1, 1^1, 1^1, 1^1]$	3	0
$[2^1, 2^1, 1^2, 1^1]$	2	0
$[2^1, 2^1, 2^1, 1^1]$	2	0
$[2^1, 2^2, 1^1]$	1	0
$[2^2, 1^1, 1^1, 1^1]$	1	0
$[4^1, 1^1, 1^1, 1^1]$	1	0
$[1^2, 1^1, 1^1, 1^1, 1^1, 1^1]$	7	3
$[1^2, 1^2, 1^1, 1^1, 1^1]$	4	2
$[1^3, 1^1, 1^1, 1^1, 1^1]$	4	2
$[2^1, 1^2, 1^1, 1^1, 1^1]$	2	1
$[1^3, 1^2, 1^1, 1^1]$	2	1

We are now ready to prove one of our main results in this paper.

Theorem 2. *If $p \geq 5$ is a prime number, then p is not a common index divisor of K; $p \nmid i(K)$.*

Proof. Let p be a prime number. Since the degree of K is 7, by [29, Proposition 4.36], if p divides $i(K)$, then $p < 7$. Therefore, it is sufficient to show that $5 \nmid i(K)$. By (1), (3) and (4), $5 \mid i(K)$ if and only if $abc + b^3 \equiv 2c^2 \pmod 5$. This means that $(a, b, c) \in \{(0,0,0), (1,0,0), (2,0,0), (3,0,0), (4,0,0), (1,1,1), (1,1,2), (4,1,3), (4,1,4), (2,2,1), (0,2,2), (0,2,3), (3,2,4), (0,3,1), (1,3,2), (4,3,3), (0,3,4), (2,4,1), (3,4,2), (2,4,3), (3,4,4)\} \pmod 5$.

We distinguish three cases.

A1: If $a \equiv b \equiv c \equiv 0 \pmod 5$, then $F(x) \equiv x^7 \pmod 5$. Let $\phi_1 = x$. According to (2), one needs to discuss five sub-cases which cover all the possible cases.

1. If $7\nu_5(a) < 5\nu_5(c)$ and $2\nu_5(b) \geq \nu_5(a)+\nu_5(c)$, then $\mathcal{N}^-_{\phi_1}(F) = \mathcal{S}_{11}+\mathcal{S}_{12}$ joining $(0, \nu_5(c)), (2, \nu_5(a))$ and $(7, 0)$. The degree of the side $\mathcal{S}_{12}$ equals 2 and its ramification index is 5. By Theorem 1 and Equality (5), one has: $5\mathcal{O}_K = [1^5, 1^2], [1^5, 1^1, 1^1]$ or $[1^5, 2^1]$.

2. If $7\nu_5(a) < 5\nu_5(c)$ and $2\nu_5(b) < \nu_5(a) + \nu_5(c)$, then $\mathcal{N}^-_{\phi_1}(F) = \mathcal{S}_{11} + \mathcal{S}_{12} + \mathcal{S}_{13}$ has three distinct sides of degree 1 each joining the points $(0, \nu_5(c)), (1, \nu_5(b), (2, \nu_5(a))$ and $(7, 0)$. Their respective ramification indices are: $e(\mathcal{S}_{11}) = 5$ and $e(\mathcal{S}_{12}) = e(\mathcal{S}_{13}) = 1$. Therefore, by Corollary 1, $5\mathcal{O}_K = [1^5, 1^1, 1^1]$.

3. If $7\nu_5(b) < 6\nu_5(c), 6\nu_5(a) > 5\nu_5(b)$ and $\nu_5(b) \in \{1, 5\}$, then $\mathcal{N}^-_{\phi_1}(F) = \mathcal{S}_{11} + \mathcal{S}_{12}$ has two distinct sides of degree 1 each joining the points $(0, \nu_5(c)), (1, \nu_5(b))$ and $(7, 0)$. Applying Corollary 1, we see that $5\mathcal{O}_K = [1^6, 1^1]$.

4. If $7\nu_5(b) < 6\nu_5(c), 6\nu_5(a) > 5\nu_5(b)$ and $\nu_5(b) \in \{2, 4\}$, then $\mathcal{N}^-_{\phi_1}(F) = \mathcal{S}_{11} + \mathcal{S}_{12}$ joining $(0, \nu_5(c)), (1, \nu_5(b))$ and $(7, 0)$. Thus the degree of the first side is 1 and the degree of the second one is 2. Moreover, we have

$$\mathcal{R}_{12}(F)(y) = y^2 + b_5 = \begin{cases} (y+2)(y+3), & \text{if } b_5 \equiv 1 \pmod 5. \\ y^2 + 2, & \text{if } b_5 \equiv 2 \pmod 5. \\ y^2 + 3, & \text{if } b_5 \equiv 3 \pmod 5. \\ (y+1)(y+4), & \text{if } b_5 \equiv 4 \pmod 5, \end{cases}$$

where $b_5 = \frac{b}{5^{\nu_5(b)}}$. So, $F(x)$ is 5-regular. Therefore, by Theorem 1, $5\mathcal{O}_K = [1^1, 1^3, 1^3]$ or $5\mathcal{O}_K = [1^1, 2^3]$.

5. If $7\nu_5(b) < 6\nu_5(c), 6\nu_5(a) > 5\nu_5(b)$ and $\nu_5(b) = 3$, then $\mathcal{N}^-_{\phi_1}(F) = \mathcal{S}_{11} + \mathcal{S}_{12}$ joining $(0, \nu_5(c)), (1, \nu_5(b))$ and $(7, 0)$. This implies that $d(\mathcal{S}_{11}) = 1$ and $d(\mathcal{S}_{12}) = 3$. Furthermore, we have

$$\mathcal{R}_{12}(F)(y) = y^3 + b_5 = \begin{cases} (y+1)(y^2+4y+1), & \text{if } b_5 \equiv 1 \pmod 5. \\ (y+3)(y^2+2y+4), & \text{if } b_5 \equiv 2 \pmod 5. \\ (y+2)(y^2+3y+4), & \text{if } b_5 \equiv 3 \pmod 5. \\ (y+4)(y^2+y+1), & \text{if } b_5 \equiv 4 \pmod 5. \end{cases}$$

Thus, $F(x)$ is 5-regular. Therefore, $5\mathcal{O}_K = [1^1, 2^2, 1^2]$.

A2: If $(a,b,c) \equiv (5-k,0,0) \pmod 5$, with $k \in \{1,2,3,4\}$, then $F(x) \equiv x^2(x-k)^5 \pmod 5$. Let $\phi_k = x-k$, then the ϕ_k-adic development of $F(x)$ is

$$F(x) = \phi_k(x)^7 + 7k\phi_k(x)^6 + 21k^2\phi_k(x)^5 + 35k^3\phi_k(x)^4 + 35k^4\phi_k(x)^3 + (a+21k^5)\phi_k(x)^2 + (2ka+b+7k^6)\phi_k(x) + k^2a + kb + c + k^7.$$

Let $u_{k0} := \nu_5(k^2a+kb+c+k^7), u_{k1} := \nu_5(2ka+b+7k^6), u_{k2} := \nu_5(a+21k^5)$ and note that $\nu_5(35k^3) = \nu_5(35k^4) = 1$. It follows that $\mathcal{N}^-_{\phi_k}(F)$ is the lower convex hull of the points $(0,u_{k0}), (1,u_{k1}), (2,u_{k2}), (3,1)$ and $(5,0)$. We distinguish two cases:

1. If $u_{k0} = 1$ or $u_{k1} = 1$ or $u_{k2} = 1$, then $\mathcal{N}^-_{\phi_k}(F)$ has a side of degree 1 having ramification index greater than or equals to 3. Therefore $5\mathcal{O}_K$ is divisible by a non-zero ideal of $\mathcal{O}_K$ having one of the forms: $[1^3]$ or $[1^4]$, or $[1^5]$. It follows from Equality (5) that $L_5(1) \leq 5$.

2. If $u_{k0} \geq 2$, $u_{k1} \geq 2$ and $u_{k2} \geq 2$, then $c \equiv 10k^7 \pmod{25}$, so $\nu_5(c) = 1$. Thus, for $\phi_1 := x$, we have $\mathcal{N}^-_{\phi_1}(F)$ has a single side of degree 1 joining the points $(0,1)$ and $(2,0)$. Thus, ϕ_1 provides one prime ideal of residue degree 1 above 2 with ramification index 2, say $\mathfrak{p}_{111}$. For $\mathcal{N}^-_{\phi_k}(F)$, we discuss three sub-cases.

   (a) If $u_{k0} = 2$, then $\mathcal{N}^-_{\phi_k}(F) = \mathcal{S}_{k1}$ has a single side of degree 1 joining the points $(0,2)$ and $(5,0)$ with ramification index 5. Therefore, by Corollary 1, $5\mathcal{O}_K = [1^2, 1^5]$.

   (b) If $u_{k0} \geq 3$ and $u_{k1} = 2$, $\mathcal{N}^-_{\phi_k}(F) = \mathcal{S}_{k1} + \mathcal{S}_{k2}$ has two distinct sides joining the points $(0,u_{k0}), (1,2), (3,1)$ and $(5,0)$. Thus, $d(\mathcal{S}_{k1}) = 1, e(\mathcal{S}_{k1}) = 1, d(\mathcal{S}_{k2}) = 2$ and $e(\mathcal{S}_{k2}) = 2$. Therefore, $5\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p}_{111}^2\mathfrak{p}_{k11}\mathfrak{a}^2$, where $\mathfrak{p}_{111}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_{k11}$ are two distinct prime ideals of $\mathcal{O}_K$ having residue degree 1 each and $\mathfrak{a}$ is a non-zero ideal of $\mathcal{O}_K$. By Equality (5), $L_5(1) \leq 4$.

   (c) If $u_{k0} \geq 3$ and $u_{k1} \geq 3$, then the side $\mathcal{S}_k$ jogging the points $(3,1)$ and $(5,0)$ is a side of $\mathcal{N}^-_{\phi_k}(F)$ and having ramification index 2. So, it provides a unique prime ideal above 5 of residue degree 1 with ramification index 2, say $\mathfrak{p}_k$. Thus, $5\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p}_{111}^2\mathfrak{p}_k^2\mathfrak{a}$, where $\mathfrak{a}$ is a non-zero ideal of $\mathcal{O}_K$. Hence by Equality (5), $L_5(1) \leq 5$.

A3: If $(a,b,c) \in \{(1,1,1),(1,1,2),(4,1,3),(4,1,4),(2,2,1),(0,2,2),(0,2,3),(3,2,4),(0,3,1),(1,3,2),(4,3,3),(0,3,4),(2,4,1),(3,4,2),(2,4,3),(3,4,4)\} \pmod 5$, then the factorization of $F(x)$ modulo 5 has an irreducible factor $\phi(x)$ of degree greater or equals 3, This factor provides at least one prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of residual degree greater or equals 3. By Equality (5), $L_5(1) \leq 4$.

In every case, we have $L_5(1) \leq 5$. Consequently, by Lemma 1, $5 \nmid i(K)$. □

By the above theorem, $i(K) = 2^{\nu_2(i(K))} \cdot 3^{\nu_3(i(K))}$ for any septic number field K generated by an irreducible quadrinomial $x^7 + ax^2 + bx + c$. In the following result, we give sufficient conditions on a, b and c so that 2 is a common index divisor of K, and we evaluate $\nu_2(i(K))$.

Theorem 3. *Let $F(x)$ and K be as above, then we have the following statements:*

1. *If $a \equiv 1 \pmod 2, b \equiv 0 \pmod 2$ and $c \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{2\nu_2(b)+1}}$, then $\nu_2(i(K)) = 1$.*

2. *If $(a,b,c) \in \{(1,15,15),(9,15,7),(5,7,3),(13,7,11)\} \pmod{16}$ and $1+a+b+c \equiv 0 \pmod{64}$, then $\nu_2(i(K)) = 1$.*

3. *If* $\nu_2(a) \in \{1,2,3,4\}$, $b \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{\nu_2(a)+1}}$ *and* $c \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{2\nu_2(b)-\nu_2(a)+1}}$, *then* $\nu_2(i(K)) = 1$.

4. *If* $a \equiv 32 \pmod{64}$, $b \equiv 0 \pmod{2^7}$ *and* $c \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{2\nu_2(b)-4}}$, *then* $\nu_2(i(K)) = 1$.

5. *If* $(a,b,c) \in \{(2,3,6),(2,7,2),(6,3,2),(6,7,6),(2,3,2),(2,7,6),(6,3,6),(6,7,2),(0,3,4),(4,3,0)\} \pmod 8$, *then* $\nu_2(i(K)) = 1$.

6. *If* $a \equiv c \equiv 0,4 \pmod 8$ *and*

   (a) $b \equiv 7 \pmod 8$, *then* $\nu_2(i(K)) = 3$.

   (b) $b \equiv 3 \pmod 8$, *then* $\nu_2(i(K)) = 2$.

7. *If* $(a,b,c) \in \{(2,1,2),(18,17,18),(10,9,10),(26,25,26),(6,5,6),(22,21,22),(14,13,14),(30,29,30),(2,17,2),(18,1,18),(10,25,10),(26,9,26),(6,21,6),(22,5,22),(14,29,14),(30,13,30)\} \pmod{32}$, *then* $\nu_2(i(K)) = 2$.

8. *If* $(a,b,c) \in \{(0,5,2),(4,5,6),(2,1,4),(6,1,0)\} \pmod 8$ *and* $1+a+b+c \equiv 0 \pmod{16}$, *then* $\nu_2(i(K)) = 1$.

9. *If* $(a,b,c) \in \{(0,1,14),(8,1,6),(4,9,2),(12,9,10),(2,13,0),(10,13,8),(6,5,4),(14,5,12)\} \pmod{16}$ *and* $1+a+b+c \equiv 0 \pmod{64}$, *then* $\nu_2(i(K)) = 1$.

10. *If* $(a,b,c) \in \{(0,9,22),(16,9,6),(8,25,30),(24,25,14),(4,1,26),(20,1,10),(12,17,2),(28,17,18),(2,5,24),(18,5,8),(10,21,0),(26,21,16),(6,29,28),(22,29,12),(14,13,4),(30,13,20)\} \pmod{32}$ *and* $1+a+b+c \equiv 0 \pmod{256}$, *then* $\nu_2(i(K)) = 1$.

In particular, if any one of the above conditions holds, then K *cannot be monogenic.*

Proof. In each case, we show that 2 is a common index divisor of K and we determine the exact value of $\nu_2(i(K))$. Throughout this proof, let

$$u := \nu_2(1+a+b+c). \tag{6}$$

1. $a \equiv 1 \pmod 2, b \equiv 0 \pmod 2$ and $c \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{2\nu_2(b)+1}}$. Reducing modulo 2, we see that $F(x) \equiv x^2(x-1)(x^4+x^3+x^2+x+1) \pmod 2$. Let $\phi_1(x) = x, \phi_2(x) = x-1$ and $\phi_3(x) = x^4+x^3+x^2+x+1$. By Corollary 1, the factor ϕ_2 and ϕ_3 provide two distinct prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_{211}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_{311}$ of $\mathcal{O}_K$ having residue degrees 1 and 4 respectively. On the other hand, $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_1}^-(F)$ has two distinct sides of degree 1 each joining the points $(0,\nu_2(c)),(1,\nu_2(b))$ and $(2,0)$, because $\nu_2(c) \geq \nu_2(b)+1$. Therefore, by virtue of Theorem 1, $2\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p}_{111}\mathfrak{p}_{121}\mathfrak{p}_{211}\mathfrak{p}_{311}$, where $f(\mathfrak{p}_{111}/2) = f(\mathfrak{p}_{121}/2) = f(\mathfrak{p}_{211}/2) = 1$ and $f(\mathfrak{p}_{311}/2) = 4$. That is $2\mathcal{O}_K = [1^1,1^1,1^1,4^1]$. By Table 1, we have $\nu_2(i(K)) = 1$.

2. $(a,b,c) \in \{(1,15,15),(9,15,7),(5,7,3),(13,7,11)\} \pmod{16}$ and $1+a+b+c \equiv 0 \pmod{64}$. In this case, $F(x) \equiv (x^4+x^3+1)(x-1)^3 \pmod 2$. Let $\phi_1(x) = x^4+x^3+1$ and $\phi_2(x) = x-1$. The factor ϕ_1 provides a unique prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}_{211}$ of $\mathcal{O}_K$ above 2 with residue degree 4. The ϕ_2-adic development of $F(x)$ is

$$F(x) \;=\; \phi_2(x)^7 + 7\phi_2(x)^6 + 21\phi_2(x)^5 + 35\phi_2(x)^4 + 35\phi_2(x)^3 + (a+21)\phi_2(x)^2$$

$$+ \quad (2a+b+7)\phi_2(x)+1+a+b+c. \tag{7}$$

It follows by (6) and (7) that $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_2}^-(F)=\mathcal{S}_{21}+\mathcal{S}_{22}+\mathcal{S}_{23}$ joining the points $(0,u),(1,3),(2,1)$ and $(3,0)$ with $u\geq 6$. Thus the degree of $\mathcal{S}_{2j}, j=1,2,3$ equals 1. By Corollary 1, we have $2\mathcal{O}_K=[4^1,1^1,1^1,1^1]$ and by Table 1, we deduce that $\nu_2(i(K))=1$.

3. $\nu_2(a)\in\{1,2,3,4\}$, $b\equiv 0 \pmod{2^{\nu_2(a)+1}}$ and $c\equiv 0 \pmod{2^{2\nu_2(b)-\nu_2(a)+1}}$. This condition implies that $\nu_2(b)\geq\nu_2(a)+1$ and $\nu_2(c)\geq 2\nu_2(b)-\nu_(a)+1$. In this case, $F(x)\equiv x^7 \pmod 2$. Let $\phi_1(x)=x$, then $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_1}^-(F)$ has three distinct sides joining $(0,\nu_2(c)),(1,\nu_2(b)),(2,\nu_2(a))$ and $(7,0)$. Thus the degree of each side is 1. Therefore, by Corollary 1(2), $2\mathcal{O}_K=[1^5,1^1,1^1]$. In view of Table 1, $\nu_2(i(K))=1$.

4. $a\equiv 32 \pmod{64}$, $b\equiv 0 \pmod{2^7}$ and $c\equiv 0 \pmod{2^{2\nu_2(b)-4}}$. Here, we have $\nu_2(a)=5$, $\nu_2(b)\geq 7$ and $\nu_2(c)\geq 2\nu_2(b)-4$ and $F(x)\equiv\phi_1(x)^7 \pmod 2$, where $\phi_1(x)=x$. Thus, $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_1}^-(F)=\mathcal{S}_{11}+\mathcal{S}_{12}+\mathcal{S}_{13}$ joining the points $(0,\nu_2(c)),(1,\nu_2(b)),(2,5)$ and $(7,0)$. So, $d(\mathcal{S}_{11})=d(\mathcal{S}_{12})=1$. Moreover, the degree of $\mathcal{S}_{13}$ equals 5 and its attached residual polynomial is $\mathcal{R}_{\lambda_{13}}(F)(y)=1+y^5=(y+1)(y^4+y^3+y^2+y+1)$. So, $F(x)$ is 2-regular. By applying Theorem 1 and using Table 1, we see that $2\mathcal{O}_K=[4^1,1^1,1^1,1^1]$ and $\nu_2(i(K))=1$.

   • From case (5), we have $a\equiv c\equiv 0 \pmod 2$ and $b\equiv 1 \pmod 2$. Thus, $F(x)\equiv x(x-1)^2(x^2+x+1)^2 \pmod 2$. Let $\phi_1(x)=x$, $\phi_2(x)=x-1$ and $\phi_3(x)=x^2+x+1$. By Corollary 1(1), ϕ_1 provides a unique prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}_{111}$ of $\mathcal{O}_K$ of residual degree 1 above 2. To complete the factorization of $2\mathcal{O}_K$, we analyze the contributions of ϕ_2 and ϕ_3 in each of the subsequent cases. The ϕ_3-adic development of $F(x)$ is

$$F(x)=(x-3)\phi_3^3(x)+(3x+5)\phi_3^2(x)+(-4x+a-2)\phi_3(x)+(b-a+1)x+c-a. \tag{8}$$

   So, $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_3}^-(F)$ joins the points $(0,\min(\nu_2(b-a+1),\nu_2(c-a)))$, $(1,\min(2,\nu_2(a-2)))$ and $(2,0)$.

5. $(a,b,c)\in\{(2,3,6),(2,7,2),(6,3,2),(6,7,6),(2,3,2),(2,7,6),(6,3,6),(6,7,2),(0,3,4),(4,3,0)\} \pmod 8$. We distinguish three sub-cases.

   (a) If $(a,b,c)\in\{(2,3,6),(2,7,2),(6,3,2),(6,7,6)\} \pmod 8$, then $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_3}^-(F)$ has a single side of degree 1 with ramification index 2. Also we have, $u=2$. Thus, by (7), $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_2}^-(F)=\mathcal{S}_{21}$ has a single side of degree 2 joining $(0,2)$, $(1,1)$ and $(2,0)$. Its attached residual polynomial is $\mathcal{R}_{\lambda_{21}}(F)(y)=y^2+y+1$. So, $F(x)$ is 2-regular. Hence, by Theorem 1, $2\mathcal{O}_K=[1^1,2^1,2^2]$.

   (b) If $(a,b,c)\in\{(2,3,2),(2,7,6),(6,3,6),(6,7,2)\} \pmod 8$, then $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_3}^-(F)$ is the same as in the above sub-case. Moreover, $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_2}^-(F)=\mathcal{S}_{21}+\mathcal{S}_{21}$ joining $(0,u)$, $(1,1)$, $(2,0)$ with $u\geq 3$. So, the degree of each side is 1. Hence, by Corollary 1, $2\mathcal{O}_K=[1^1,1^1,1^1,2^2]$.

   (c) If $(a,b,c)\in\{(0,3,4),(4,3,0)\} \pmod 8$, then $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_3}^-(F)=S_{31}$ has a single side of degree 2 joining the points $(0,2),(1,1)$ and $(2,0)$. Its attached residual polynomial is

$$R_{31}(F)(y)=\begin{cases}(x+1)y^2+y+1, & \text{if } (a,b,c)\equiv(4,3,0) \pmod 8,\\ (x+1)y^2+y+x+1, & \text{if } (a,b,c)\equiv(0,3,4) \pmod 8.\end{cases}$$

which is separable in $\mathbb{F}_{\phi_3}[y]$. On the other hand, $\mathcal{N}^-_{\phi_2}(F) = \mathcal{S}_{21} + \mathcal{S}_{21}$ is the same as in the above sub-case. Therefore, $2\mathcal{O}_K = [1^1, 1^1, 1^1, 4^1]$.

In both sub-cases, by Table 1, $\nu_2(i(K)) = 1$.

6. $a \equiv c \equiv 0, 4 \pmod 8$ and $b \equiv 3, 7 \pmod 8$. Two distinct cases arise.

   (a) $a \equiv c \equiv 0, 4 \pmod 8$ and $b \equiv 3 \pmod 8$. In this case, $\mathcal{N}^-_{\phi_2}(F)$ has a single side of degree 2 and its attached residual polynomial is $\mathcal{R}_{21}(F)(y) = y^2 + y + 1$. For ϕ_3, we distinguish two sub-cases:

   label=$*$ If $(a, b, c) \equiv (4, 3, 4) \pmod 8$, then $\mathcal{N}^-_{\phi_3}(F) = \mathcal{S}_{31} + \mathcal{S}_{32}$ joining $(0, \mu)$, $(1, 1)$ and $(2, 0)$ with $\mu \geq 3$. So, the degree of each side is 1.

   lbbel=$*$ If $(a, b, c) \equiv (0, 3, 0) \pmod 8$, then $\mathcal{N}^-_{\phi_3}(F)$ has a single side of degree 2. Moreover, $\mathcal{R}_{31}(F)(y) = (y + 1)((x + 1)y + x)$ which is separable.

   In both cases, by Theorem 1 and Table 1, Hence $2\mathcal{O}_K = [2^1, 2^1, 2^1, 1^1]$ and $\nu_2(i(K)) = 2$.

   (b) $a \equiv c \equiv 0, 4 \pmod 8$ and $b \equiv 7 \pmod 8$. Here, we have $\mathcal{N}^-_{\phi_2}(F) = \mathcal{S}_{21} + \mathcal{S}_{21}$ joining $(0, u)$, $(1, 1)$ and $(2, 0)$ with $u \geq 3$. Thus the degree of each side is 1. For ϕ_3, we have two sub-cases:

   label=$*$ If $(a, b, c) \equiv (4, 7, 4) \pmod 8$, then $\mathcal{N}^-_{\phi_3}(F)$ has a single of degree 2 and its attached residual polynomial is $\mathcal{R}_{31}(F)(y) = (y + 1)((x + 1)y + x) \in \mathbb{F}_{\phi_3}[y]$ which is separable.

   lbbel=$*$ If $(a, b, c) \equiv (0, 7, 0) \pmod 8$, then $\mathcal{N}^-_{\phi_3}(F)$ has two distinct sides of degree 1 each joining $(0, \mu)$, $(1, 1)$ and $(2, 0)$ with $\mu \geq 3$.

   In both cases, by Theorem 1 and Table 1, we have $2\mathcal{O}_K = [2^1, 2^1, 1^1, 1^1, 1^1]$ and $\nu_2(i(K)) = 3$.

7. $(a, b, c) \in \{(2, 1, 2), (18, 17, 18), (10, 9, 10), (26, 25, 26), (6, 5, 6), (22, 21, 22), (14, 13, 14), (30, 29, 30), (2, 17, 2), (18, 1, 18), (10, 25, 10), (26, 9, 26), (6, 21, 6), (22, 5, 22), (14, 29, 14), (30, 13, 30)\} \pmod{32}$. Here, $\mathcal{N}^-_{\phi_2}(F)$ has a single side of height 1 (so of degree 1), because $u = 1$. For ϕ_3, we distinguish two sub-cases:

   (a) If $(a, b, c) \in \{(2, 1, 2), (18, 17, 18), (10, 9, 10), (26, 25, 26), (6, 5, 6), (22, 21, 22), (14, 13, 14), (30, 29, 30)\} \pmod{32}$, then $\mathcal{N}^-_{\phi_3}(F) = \mathcal{S}_{31} + \mathcal{S}_{32}$ joining $(0, \mu)$, $(1, 2)$ and $(2, 0)$ with $\mu \geq 5$. So, $d(\mathcal{S}_{31}) = d(\mathcal{S}_{32}) = 1$. Hence, $F(x)$ is 2-regular.

   (b) If $(a, b, c) \in \{(2, 17, 2), (18, 1, 18), (10, 25, 10), (26, 9, 26), (6, 21, 6), (22, 5, 22), (14, 29, 14), (30, 13, 30)\} \pmod{32}$, then $\mathcal{N}^-_{\phi_3}(F)$ has a single side of degree 2 and its attached residual polynomial is $\mathcal{R}_{31}(F)(y) = (y+1)((x+1)y+x)$ which is separable in $\mathbb{F}_{\phi_3}[y]$. So, $F(x)$ is 2-regular.

   In both cases, by Theorem 1 and Table 1, we get that $2\mathcal{O}_K = [2^1, 2^1, 1^2, 1^1]$ and $\nu_2(i(K)) = 2$.

8. $(a, b, c) \in \{(0, 5, 2), (4, 5, 6), (2, 1, 4), (6, 1, 0)\} \pmod 8$ and $1 + a + b + c \equiv 0 \pmod{16}$. In this case, $\mathcal{N}^-_{\phi_3}(F)$ has a single side of height 1. For ϕ_2, we distinguish two sub-cases:

(a) If $1+a+b+c \equiv 0 \pmod{32} : u \geq 5$, then $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_2}^{-}(F) = \mathcal{S}_{21} + \mathcal{S}_{22}$ joining $(0,u)$, $(1,2)$ and $(2,0)$. So, the degree of each side is 1. Thus, $F(x)$ is 2-regular. Hence, by Theorem 1 $2\mathcal{O}_K = [1^1, 1^1, 1^1, 2^2]$.

(b) If $1+a+b+c \equiv 16 \pmod{32} : u = 4$, then $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_2}^{-}(F) = \mathcal{S}_{21}$ has a single side of degree 2 joining $(0,4)$, $(1,2)$ and $(2,0)$. Moreover, $\mathcal{S}_{21} = \mathcal{R}_{21}(F)(y) = y^2 + y + 1$. Applying Theorem, we get 1 $2\mathcal{O}_K = [2^2, 2^1, 1^1]$.

Consequently, by Table 1, $\nu_2(i(K)) = 1$ in both these sub-cases.

9. If $(a,b,c) \in \{(0,1,14),(8,1,6),(4,9,2),(12,9,10),(2,13,0),(10,13,8),(6,5,4),(14,5,12)\} \pmod{16}$ and $1+a+b+c \equiv 0 \pmod{64}$. Here, $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_3}^{-}(F)$ has a single side of height 1. By Corollary 1(2), ϕ_3 provides one prime ideal of $\mathcal{O}_K$ of residue degree 2 with ramification index 2 above 2. For ϕ_2, we distinguish two sub-cases:

   (a) If $1+a+b+c \equiv 64 \pmod{128} : u = 6$, then $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_2}^{-}(F) = \mathcal{S}_{21}$ has a single side of degree 2 joining $(0,6)$, $(1,3)$ and $(2,0)$. This yields $\mathcal{R}_{21}(F)(y) = y^2 + y + 1$ which is separable. So, $F(x)$ is 2-regular. Using Theorem 1, one gets: $2\mathcal{O}_K = [2^2, 2^1, 1^1]$.

   (b) If $1+a+b+c \equiv 0 \pmod{128} : u \geq 7$, then $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_2}^{-}(F) = \mathcal{S}_{21} + \mathcal{S}_{22}$ has two distinct sides of degree 1 each joining $(0,u)$, $(1,3)$ and $(2,0)$. Therefore, by Theorem 1 $2\mathcal{O}_K = [2^2, 1^1, 1^1, 1^1]$.

   According to Table 1, we conclude that $\nu_2(i(K)) = 1$.

10. The proof of this case is similar to the above one, so it is omitted.

□

The next theorem identifies parametric families of septic number fields whose indices are divisible by 3 and provide the exact value of $\nu_3(i(K))$ for each family.

Theorem 4. *With the above notation, we have the following statements:*

1. *If* $a \equiv c \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$ *and* $b \equiv -1 \pmod{9}$, *then* $\nu_3(i(K)) = 2$.

2. *If* $(a,b,c) \in \{(0,5,6),(3,2,0),(3,5,3),(6,2,6)\} \pmod{9}$, *then* $\nu_3(i(K)) = 1$.

3. *If* $(a,b,c) \in \{(0,5,3),(3,2,3),(6,2,0),(6,5,6)\} \pmod{9}$, *then* $\nu_3(i(K)) = 1$.

4. *If* $(a,b,c) \in \{(0,74,6),(27,20,33),(54,47,60),(9,56,15),(36,2,42),(63,29,69),(18,38,24),(45,65,51),(72,11,78)\} \pmod{81}$ *and* $1+a+b+c \equiv 486 \pmod{729}$, *or* $(a,b,c) \in \{(3,68,9),(30,14,36),(57,41,63),(12,50,18),(39,77,45),(66,23,72),(21,32,27),(48,59,54),(75,5,0)\} \pmod{81}$ *and* $1+a+b+c \equiv 243 \pmod{729}$, *then* $\nu_3(i(K)) = 2$.

5. *If* $(a,b,c) \in \{(3,8,6),(3,35,33),(3,62,60),(30,8,60),(30,35,6),(30,62,33),(57,8,33),(57,35,60),(57,62,6),(12,26,15),(12,53,42),(12,80,69),(39,26,69),(39,53,15),(39,80,42),(66,26,42),(66,53,69),(66,80,15),(21,17,78),(21,44,24),(21,71,51),(48,17,51),(48,44,78),(48,71,24),(75,17,24),(75,44,51),(75,71,78)\} \pmod{81}$, *then* $\nu_3(i(K)) = 3$.

6. *If* $(a,b,c) \in \{(6,17,57),(6,44,30),(6,71,3),(33,17,30),(33,44,3),(33,71,57),(60,17,3),(60,44,57),(60,71,30),(15,26,39),(15,53,12),(15,80,66),(42,26,12),(42,53,66),(42,80,39),(69,26,66),(69,53,39),(69,80,12),(24,8,48),(24,35,21),(24,62,75),(51,35,75),(51,8,21),(51,62,48),(78,8,75),(78,35,48),(78,62,21)\} \pmod{81}$*, then* $\nu_3(i(K)) = 3$.

7. *If* $(a,b,c) \in \{(0,11,12),(9,2,21),(18,20,3),(6,14,9),(15,5,18),(24,23,0)\} \pmod{27}$ *and* $4a+2b+c+128 \equiv 81, 162 \pmod{243}$*, then* $\nu_3(i(K)) = 1$.

8. *If* $(a,b,c) \in \{(6,8,66),(6,35,39),(33,35,12),(33,62,66),(60,8,12),(60,62,39),(15,17,48),(15,71,75),(42,17,21),(42,44,75),(69,44,48),(69,71,21),(24,53,3),(24,80,57),(51,26,3),(51,80,30),(78,26,57),(78,53,30)\} \pmod{81}$ *and* $1+a+b+c \equiv 0 \pmod{243}$*, then* $\nu_3(i(K)) = 2$.

9. *If* $(a,b,c) \in \{(6,14,63),(33,68,9),(60,41,36),(15,59,18),(42,32,45),(69,5,72),(24,23,54),(51,77,0),(78,50,27)\} \pmod{81}$ *and* $4a+2b+c+128 \equiv 486 \pmod{729}$*, or* $(a,b,c) \in \{(0,38,39),(27,11,66),(54,65,12),(9,2,75),(36,56,21),(63,29,48),(18,47,30),(45,20,57),(72,74,3)\} \pmod{81}$ *and* $4a+2b+c+128 \equiv 243 \pmod{729}$*, then* $\nu_3(i(K)) = 2$.

10. *If* $(a,b,c) \in \{(0,74,6),(27,20,33),(54,47,60),(9,56,15),(36,2,42),(63,29,69),(18,38,24),(45,65,51),(72,11,78)\} \pmod{81}$ *and* $a+b+c+1 \equiv 486 \pmod{729}$*, or* $(a,b,c) \in \{(3,68,9),(30,14,36),(57,41,63),(12,50,18),(39,77,45),(66,23,72),(21,32,27),(48,59,54),(75,5,0)\} \pmod{81}$ *and* $a+b+c+1 \equiv 243 \pmod{729}$*, then* $\nu_3(i(K)) = 2$.

11. *If* $(a,b,c) \in \{(0,20,60),(0,47,33),(27,47,6),(27,74,60),(54,20,6),(54,74,33),(9,2,69),(9,29,42),(36,29,15),(36,56,69),(63,2,15),(63,56,42),(18,11,51),(18,65,78),(45,11,24),(45,38,78),(72,38,51),(72,65,24),(3,14,63),(3,41,36),(30,41,9),(30,68,63),(57,14,9),(57,68,36),(12,23,45),(12,77,72),(39,23,18),(39,50,72),(66,50,45),(66,77,18),(21,5,54),(21,59,0),(48,5,27),(48,32,0),(75,32,54),(75,59,27)\} \pmod{81}$ *and* $a+b+c+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{729}$*, then* $\nu_3(i(K)) = 2$.

12. *If* $(a,b,c) \in \{(15,44,183),(96,125,21),(177,206,102),(42,233,210),(123,71,48),(204,152,129),(69,179,237),(150,17,75),(231,98,156),(24,26,192),(105,107,30),(186,188,111),(51,215,219),(132,53,57),(213,134,138),(78,161,3),(159,242,84),(240,80,165)\} \pmod{243}$ *and* $a+b+c+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2187}$*, then* $\nu_3(i(K)) = 1$.

13. *If* $(a,b,c) \in \{(15,206,21),(69,44,102),(177,125,183),(42,152,48),(123,233,129),(204,71,210),(69,98,75),(150,179,156),(231,17,237),(24,188,30),(105,26,111),(186,107,192),(51,134,57),(132,215,138),(213,53,219),(78,80,84),(159,161,165),(240,242,3)\} \pmod{243}$ *and* $2a+b+7 \equiv 243, 486 \pmod{729}$ *and* $a+b+c+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3^9}$*, then* $\nu_3(i(K)) = 3$.

In particular, if any one of the above conditions holds, then K cannot be monogenic.

Proof of Theorem 4. First, we note that in all cases, we have $(a,b,c) \equiv (0,-1,0) \pmod 3$. Thus, $F(x) \equiv x(x-1)^3(x-2)^3 \pmod 3$. Let $\phi_1(x) = x, \phi_2(x) = x-1$ and $\phi_3(x) = x-2$. By Corollary 1(1), ϕ_1 provides a unique prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}_{111}$ of $\mathcal{O}_K$ of residual degree 1 above 3. We consider the ϕ_2-adic development (7) of $F(x)$ and let $u_0 = \nu_3(a+b+c+1)$, $u_1 = \nu_3(2a+b+7)$ and

$u_2 = \nu_3(a+21)$. It follows that $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_2}^-(F)$ is the lower convex hull of the points $(0,u_0),(1,u_1),(2,u_2)$ and $(3,0)$. On the other hand, the ϕ_3-adic development of $F(x)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) &= \phi_3(x)^7 + 14\phi_3(x)^6 + 84\phi_3(x)^5 + 280\phi_3(x)^4 + 560\phi_3(x)^3 + (a+672)\phi_3(x)^2 \\ &+ (4a+b+448)\phi_3(x) + 4a + 2b + c + 128 \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Set $w_0 = \nu_3(4a+2b+c+128)$, $w_1 = \nu_3(4a+b+448)$ and $w_2 = \nu_3(a+672)$. So, $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_3}^-(F)$ is the lower convex hull of the points $(0,w_0),(1,w_1),(2,w_2)$ and $(3,0)$.

In what follows, in each case stated in the theorem, we decompose the prime 3 in $\mathcal{O}_K$ and we determine $\nu_3(i(K))$.

1. If $a \equiv c \equiv 0 \pmod 9$ and $b \equiv -1 \pmod 9$, then $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_2}^-(F) = \mathcal{S}_{21} + \mathcal{S}_{22}$ joining $(0,u_0)$, $(1,1)$ and $(3,0)$ with $u_0 \geq 2$, thus the degree of each side is 1. On the other hand, $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_3}^-(F) = \mathcal{S}_{31} + \mathcal{S}_{32}$ joining $(0,w_0)$, $(1,1)$ and $(3,0)$ with $w_0 \geq 2$, therefore the degree of each side is 1. By Corollary 1(1), $3\mathcal{O}_K = [1^2,1^2,1^1,1^1,1^1]$. Hence, 3 divides $i(K)$ and according to Table 1, $\nu_3(i(K)) = 2$.

2. If $(a,b,c) \in \{(0,5,6),(3,2,0),(3,5,3),(6,2,6)\} \pmod 9$, then $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_2}^-(F)$ has a single side of height 1. On the other hand, $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_3}^-(F) = \mathcal{S}_{31} + \mathcal{S}_{32}$ joining $(0,w_0)$, $(1,1)$ and $(3,0)$ with $w_0 \geq 2$, thus the degree of each side is 1. Using Corollary 1, we get, $3\mathcal{O}_K = [1^3,1^2,1^1,1^1]$. Consequently, $\nu_3(i(K)) = 1$.

3. If $(a,b,c) \in \{(0,5,3),(3,2,3),(6,2,0),(6,5,6)\} \pmod 9$, then $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_3}^-(F)$ has a single side of height 1. Moreover, $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_2}^-(F) = \mathcal{S}_{21} + \mathcal{S}_{22}$ joining $(0,u_0)$, $(1,1)$ and $(3,0)$ with $u_0 \geq 2$, thus the degree of each side is 1. By Theorem, 1, $3\mathcal{O}_K = [1^3,1^2,1^1,1^]$. Hence, $\nu_3(i(K)) = 1$.

   • For shortness, from case (4), we will not recall the conditions, the reader can see them in the statement of the theorem.

4. In this case, $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_3}^-(F)$ has a single side of height 1. Also, $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_2}^-(F) = \mathcal{S}_{21} + \mathcal{S}_{22}$ joining $(0,5),(1,3),(2,1)$ and $(3,0)$. Thus, $d(\mathcal{S}_{22}) = 1$ and $d(\mathcal{S}_{22}) = 2$. Further, we have

$$R_{22}(F)(y) = \begin{cases} (y-1)(y-2), & \text{if } (a,b,c) \equiv (0,2,6) \pmod 9, \\ 2(y-1)(y-2), & \text{if } (a,b,c) \equiv (3,5,0) \pmod 9. \end{cases}$$

   Which is separable in $\mathbb{F}_{\phi_3}[y] \simeq \mathbb{F}_3[y]$. Therefore, by Theorem 1, $3\mathcal{O}_K = [1^3,1^1,1^1,1^1,1^1]$. Consequently, by Table 1, $\nu_3(i(K)) = 2$.

5. In this case, $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_2}^-(F) = \mathcal{S}_{21} + \mathcal{S}_{22}$ has two distinct sides of degree 1 each joining $(0,u_0),(1,1)$ and $(3,0)$ with $u_0 \geq 2$. On the other hand, $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_3}^-(F) = \mathcal{S}_{31} + \mathcal{S}_{32}$ joining $(0,w_0),(1,2)$ and $(3,0)$ with $w_0 \geq 2$, then $d(\mathcal{S}_{31}) = 1$ and the degree of $\mathcal{S}_{32}$ is 2. The attached residual polynomial to the second side is $R_{32}(F)(y) = 2y^2 + 1 = 2(y-1)(y-2)$ which is separable in $\mathbb{F}_{\phi_3}[y]$. In view of Theorem 1, $3\mathcal{O}_K = [1^2,1^1,1^1,1^1,1^1,1^1]$. It follows by Table 1 that $\nu_3(i(K)) = 3$.

6. Here, $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_3}^{-}(F) = \mathcal{S}_{31} + \mathcal{S}_{32}$ has two distinct sides of degree 1 each joining $(0, w_0), (1, 1)$ and $(3, 0)$ with $w_0 \geq 2$, and $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_2}^{-}(F) = \mathcal{S}_{21} + \mathcal{S}_{22}$ joining $(0, u_0), (1, 2)$ and $(3, 0)$ with $u_0 \geq 4$. This implies that $d(\mathcal{S}_{21}) = 1$ and $d(\mathcal{S}_{22}) = 2$. Furthermore, $R_{22}(F)(y) = 2y^2 + 1 = 2(y-1)(y-2)$ which is separable in $\mathbb{F}_{\phi_2}[y]$. Therefore, by Theorem 1, $3\mathcal{O}_K = [1^2, 1^1, 1^1, 1^1, 1^1, 1^1]$. Hence, $\nu_3(i(K)) = 3$.

7. Here, we have $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_3}^{-}(F) = \mathcal{S}_{31} + \mathcal{S}_{32}$ has two distinct sides of degree 1 each joining $(0, 4), (2, 1)$ and $(3, 0)$. Also, we have $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_2}^{-}(F) = \mathcal{S}_{21}$ has a single side of height 1. Applying Theorem 1, one has: $3\mathcal{O}_K = [1^3, 1^2, 1^1, 1^1]$, thus in view of Table 1, $\nu_3(i(K)) = 1$.

8. Here, $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_3}^{-}(F) = \mathcal{S}_{31} + \mathcal{S}_{32}$ has two distinct sides of degree 1 each joining $(0, w_0), (1, 1)$ and $(3, 0)$ with $w_0 \geq 2$, and $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_2}^{-}(F) = \mathcal{S}_{21} + \mathcal{S}_{22}$ has two distinct sides of degree 1 each joining $(0, u_0), (1, 3)$ and $(3, 0)$ with $u_0 \geq 5$. By Corollary 1, $3\mathcal{O}_K = [1^2, 1^2, 1^1, 1^1, 1^1]$. So, $\nu_3(i(K)) = 2$.

9. In this case, $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_2}^{-}(F)$ has a single side of height 1. On the other hand, $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_3}^{-}(F) = \mathcal{S}_{31} + \mathcal{S}_{32}$ joining $(0, 5), (2, 1)$ and $(3, 0)$. Thus, $d(\mathcal{S}_{32}) = 1$ and the degree of $\mathcal{S}_{31}$ is 2. Moreover, we have
$$\mathcal{R}_{31}(F)(y) = \begin{cases} (y-1)(y-2), & \text{if } (a, b, c) \equiv (0, 2, 3) \pmod 9, \\ 2(y-1)(y-2), & \text{if } (a, b, c) \equiv (6, 5, 0) \pmod 9. \end{cases}$$
It follows that $F(x)$ is 2-regular. Therefore, by Theorem 1, $3\mathcal{O}_K = [1^3, 1^1, 1^1, 1^1, 1^1]$. Consequently, by Table 1, $\nu_3(i(K)) = 2$.

10. This case is similar to Case (4), so $3\mathcal{O}_K = [1^3, 1^1, 1^1, 1^1, 1^1]$ and $\nu_3(i(K)) = 2$.

11. Here, $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_3}^{-}(F) = \mathcal{S}_{31}$ has a single side of height 1 and $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_2}^{-}(F) = \mathcal{S}_{21} + \mathcal{S}_{22} + \mathcal{S}_{23}$ has three distinct sides of degree 1 each joining $(0, u_0), (1, 3), (2, 1)$ and $(3, 0)$ with $u_0 \geq 6$. By Corollary 1, $3\mathcal{O}_K = [1^3, 1^1, 1^1, 1^1, 1^1]$ and by Table 1, $\nu_3(i(K)) = 2$.

12. In this case, $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_3}^{-}(F) = \mathcal{S}_{31} + \mathcal{S}_{32}$ has two distinct sides of degree 1 each joining $(0, w_0), (1, 1)$ and $(3, 0)$ with $w_0 \geq 2$. Also, $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_2}^{-}(F) = \mathcal{S}_{21} + \mathcal{S}_{22}$ joining $(0, u_0), (1, 4)$ and $(3, 0)$ with $u_0 \geq 7$. This yields that $d(\mathcal{S}_{21}) = 1$ and $d(\mathcal{S}_{22}) = 2$. Also, we have $\mathcal{R}_{22}(F)(y) = 2y^2 + y + 1$ which is separable in $\mathbb{F}_{\phi_2}[y] \simeq \mathbb{F}_3[y]$. In view of Theorem 1, $3\mathcal{O}_K = [2^1, 1^2, 1^1, 1^1, 1^1]$. Consequently, $\nu_3(i(K)) = 1$.

13. Here, $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_3}^{-}(F) = \mathcal{S}_{31} + \mathcal{S}_{32}$ has two distinct sides of degree 1 each joining $(0, w_0), (1, 1)$ and $(3, 0)$ with $w_0 \geq 2$. In addition, $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_2}^{-}(F) = \mathcal{S}_{21} + \mathcal{S}_{22} + \mathcal{S}_{23}$ has three distinct sides of degree 1 each joining $(0, u_0), (1, 5), (2, 2)$ and $(3, 0)$ with $u_0 \geq 9$. Therefore, $3\mathcal{O}_K = [1^2, 1^1, 1^1, 1^1, 1^1, 1^1]$ and $\nu_3(i(K)) = 3$. This completes the proof.

□

The next two corollaries follow immediately from the above theorems. We start by the following one, which gives explicitly infinite families of non-monogenic septic number fields whose indices are simultaneously divisible by 2 and 3 with different exponents.

Corollary 2. *Let $F(x)$ and K be as above, then we have the following statements:*

1. *If $(a,b,c) \in \{(66,47,18),(18,59,6),(30,59,66),(6,47,6),(18,59,66),(30,47,66),(24,11,36),(60,59,24)\} \pmod{72}$, then $i(K)=6$.*
2. *If $(a,b,c) \equiv (63,62,0) \pmod{72}$, then $i(K)=18$.*
3. *If $a \equiv c \equiv 0,36 \pmod{72}$ and $b \equiv 35 \pmod{72}$, then $i(K)=36$.*
4. *If $(a,b,c) \in \{(2274,1313,2082),(303,233,1866),(1734,1637,678),(258,593,930),(2130,737,210),(2022,2357,966)\} \pmod{2592}$, then $i(K)=108$.*
5. *If $(a,b,c) \in \{(408,575,168),(84,359,276),(336,431,96),(12,647,636)\} \pmod{648}$, then $i(K)=216$.*

In particular, K is not monogenic.

The following corollary is about the case when $a=0$. It provides infinite families of non-monogenic number fields defined by trinomials of type x^7+bx+c.

Corollary 3. *Let $K=\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ with α a root of an irreducible trinomial $F(x)=x^7+bx+c$, then the following hold:*

1. *If $(b,c) \equiv (3,4) \pmod{8}$, then $\nu_2(i(K))=1$.*
2. *If $c \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ and*
   (a) *$b \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$, then $\nu_2(i(K))=2$.*
   (b) *$b \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$, then $\nu_2(i(K))=3$.*
3. *If $b \equiv 5 \pmod{9}$ and $c \equiv 3,6 \pmod{9}$, then $\nu_3(i(K))=1$.*

In particular, K is not monogenic.

Acknowledgments

The authors are thankful to the referees and to the editor for careful reading and for useful remarks that have contributed to improving the quality of the paper.

References

[1] S. Ahmad, T. Nakahara and S. M. Husnine, *Power integral bases for certain pure sextic fields*, Int. J. Number Theory, **10** (2014), 2257–2265.

[2] L. Alpöge, M. Bhargava and A. Shnidman, *A positive proportion of quartic fields are not monogenic yet have no local obstruction to being so*, Math. Ann., **388** (202), 4037–4052.

[3] S. Akhtari, *Quartic index form equations and monogenizations of quartic orders*, Essent. Number Theory, **1** (2022), 57–72.

[4] T. Arnóczki and G. Nyul, *On a conjecture concerning the minimal index of pure quartic fields*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, **104** (2024), 471–478.

[5] H. Ben Yakkou, *On non-monogenic number fields defined by trinomials of type* x^n+ax^m+b, Rocky Mt. J. Math., **53** (2023), 685–699.

[6] H. Ben Yakkou, *On common index divisors and monogenity of septic number fields defined by trinomials of type* $x^7 + ax^2 + b$, Acta Math. Hungar., **172** (2024), 378–399.

[7] H. Ben Yakkou, *On indices and monogenity of quartic number fields defined by quadrinomials*, (2024), arXiv:2401.12782.

[8] H. Ben Yakkou and Tiebekabe, *On common index divisors and not monogenity of nonic number fields defined by trinomials of type* x^9+ax+b, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mex., (44) **30** (2024).

[9] A. Bérczes, J. H. Evertse and K. Győry, *Multiply monogenic orders*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci., (5) **12** (2013), 467–497.

[10] Y. Bilu, I. Gaál and K. Győry, I*Index form equations in sextic fields: a hard computation*, Acta Arith., **115** (2004), 85–96.

[11] C. T. Davis and B. K. Spearman, *The index of quartic field defined by a trinomial* x^4+ax+b, J. Algebra Appl., **17** (2018), 18 pp.

[12] R. Dedekind, *Über den Zusammenhang zwischen der Theorie der Ideale und der Theorie der höheren Kongruenzen*, Göttingen Abhandlungen, **23** (1878), 1–23.

[13] H. T. Engstrom, *On the common index divisors of an algebraic number field*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **32** (1930), 223–237.

[14] J. H. Evertse and K. Győry, *Discriminant Equations in Diophantine Number Theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press , 2017.

[15] I. Gaál, *Diophantine Equations and Power Integral Bases, Theory and Algorithm*, 2nd ed., Birkhäuser, Boston, 2019.

[16] I. Gaál and K. Győry, *Index form equations in quintic fields*, Acta Arith., **89** (1999), 379–396.

[17] I. Gaál and L. Remete, *Non-monogenity in a family of octic fields*, Rocky Mt. J. Math., **47** (2017), 817–824.

[18] J. Guàrdia, J. Montes and E. Nart, *Higher Newton polygons in the computation of discriminants and prime ideal decomposition in number fields*, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux, **23** (2011), 667–669.

[19] J. Guàrdia, J. Montes and E. Nart, *Newton polygons of higher order in algebraic number theory*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **364** (2012), 361–416.

[20] K. Győry, *Sur les polynômes à coefficients entiers et de discriminant donné*, Acta Arith., **23** (1973), 419–426.

[21] K. Győry, *Sur les polynômes à coefficients entiers et de discriminant donné, III*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, **23** (1976), 141–165.

[22] K. Győry, *Corps de nombres algébriques d'anneau d'entiers monogène, In: "Séminaire Delange-Pisot-Poitou", 20e année: 1978/1979. Théorie des nombres, Fasc. 2 (French)*, Secrétariat Math., Paris, 1980.

[23] K. Győry, *On discriminants and indices of integers of an algebraic number field*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **324**(1981), 114–126.

[24] K. Győry, *Bounds for the solutions of decomposable form equations*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, **52** (1998), 1–31.

[25] L. Jones and T. Phillips, *Infinite families of monogenic trinomials and their Galois groups*, Int. J. Math., **29** (2018), 11pp.

[26] L. Jones and D. White, *Monogenic trinomials with non-squarefree discriminant*, Int. J. Math., **32** (2021), 21pp.

[27] P. Llorente and E. Nart, *Effective determination of the rational primes in a cubic field*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **87** (1983), 579–585.

[28] J. Montes and E. Nart, *On a theorem of Ore*, J. Algebra, **146** (1992), 318–334.

[29] W. Narkiewicz, *Elementary and Analytic Theory of Algebraic Numbers*, 3rd ed., Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.

[30] E. Nart, *On the index of a number field*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **289** (1985), 171–183.

[31] J. Odjoumani, A. Togbé and V. Ziegler, *On a family of biquadratic fields that do not admit a unit power integral basis*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, **94** (2019), 1–19.

[32] O. Ore, *Newtonsche Polygone in der Theorie der algebraischen Körper*, Math. Ann., **99** (1928), 84–117.

[33] A. Pethő and M. Pohst, *On the indices of multiquadratic number fields*, Acta Arith., **153** (2012), 393–414.

[34] A. Pethő and V. Ziegler, *On biquadratic fields that admit unit power integral basis*, Acta Math. Hungar., **133** (2011), 221–241.

[35] H. Smith, *Two families of monogenic S_4 quartic number fields*, Acta Arith., **186** (2018), 257–271.