

The ranks of the conjugacy classes of the Symplectic group $Sp(6, 2)$

A. B. M. Basheer^{†‡}, M. J. Motalane^{†*}, T. T. Seretlo[§]

[†]*School of Mathematical and Computer Sciences, University of Limpopo (Turffloop), Sovenga 0727, South Africa*

[‡]*Mathematics Program, Faculty of Education and Arts, Sohar University, Sohar, Oman*

[§]*Department of Mathematical Sciences, North-West University (Mafikeng), Mmabatho 2735, South Africa*

Emails: ayoubbasheer@gmail.com, john.motalane@ul.ac.za, thekiso.seretlo@nwu.ac.za

Abstract. Let G be a finite simple group, and X be a non-trivial conjugacy class of G . The rank of X in G , denoted by $rank(G : X)$, is defined to be the minimum number of elements of X generating G . In this paper, we investigate the ranks of the non-trivial classes of the symplectic simple group $Sp(6, 2)$. We use the structure constants method to determine these ranks. The Groups, Algorithms and Programming (GAP) [12] and the Atlas of finite group representations [23] were used in our computations.

Keywords: Conjugacy classes, Rank, Generation, Symplectic simple group.

AMS Subject Classification 2010: 20C15, 20C40, 20D08

1 Introduction

Definition 1. Let G be a finite simple group, and nX be a non-trivial conjugacy class of elements of G . We define the rank of nX in G , denoted by $rank(G : nX)$, to be the minimum number of elements in nX that generate G .

One of the applications of ranks of the conjugacy classes of a finite group is that they are involved in the computations of the covering number of the finite simple group (see Zisser [24]). Moori in various articles [17, 18] and [19]), computed the ranks of involution classes of the Fischer sporadic simple group Fi_{22} and his results were that $rank(Fi_{22} : 2A) \in \{5, 6\}$ and $rank(Fi_{22} : 2B) = 3 = rank(Fi_{22} : 2C)$. The work of Hall and Soicher [16] implies that

*Corresponding author

Received: 09 June 2025/ Revised: 30 April 2026/ Accepted: 30 April 2026

DOI: [10.22124/JART.2026.30913.1812](https://doi.org/10.22124/JART.2026.30913.1812)

$\text{rank}(Fi_{22} : 2A) = 6$. In determining the rank for each non-identity conjugacy class of a group G , we follow some of the methods used in the papers [2–8] and [20].

2 Preliminaries

Let G be a finite group and C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k (not necessarily distinct) and let $k \geq 3$ be conjugacy classes of G with g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k being representatives for these classes respectively.

For a fixed representative $g_k \in C_k$ and for $g_i \in C_i$, $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, denote by $\Delta_G = \Delta_G(C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k)$ the number of distinct $(k-1)$ -tuples $(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{k-1}) \in C_1 \times C_2 \times \dots \times C_{k-1}$ such that $g_1 g_2 \dots g_{k-1} = g_k$. This number is known as the *class algebra constant* or the *structure constant*. With $\text{Irr}(G) = \{\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_r\}$, the number Δ_G is easily calculated from the character table of G through the formula

$$\Delta_G(C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} |C_i|}{|G|} \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{\chi_i(g_1) \chi_i(g_2) \dots \chi_i(g_{k-1}) \overline{\chi_i(g_k)}}{(\chi_i(1_G))^{k-2}}.$$

Also for a fixed $g_k \in C_k$ we denote by $\Delta_G^*(C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k)$ the number of distinct $(k-1)$ -tuples $(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{k-1})$ satisfying

$$g_1 g_2 \dots g_{k-1} = g_k \quad \text{and} \quad G = \langle g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{k-1} \rangle.$$

Definition 2. If $\Delta_G^*(C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k) > 0$, the group G is said to be (C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k) -**generated**.

Furthermore if H is any subgroup of G containing a fixed element $h_k \in C_k$, we let $\Sigma_H(C_1, \dots, C_k)$ be the total number of distinct tuples $(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{k-1})$ such that

$$h_1 h_2 \dots h_{k-1} = h_k \quad \text{and} \quad \langle h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{k-1} \rangle \leq H.$$

The value of $\Sigma_H(C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k)$ can be obtained as a sum of the structure constants $\Delta_H(c_1, \dots, c_k)$ of H -conjugacy classes c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k such that $c_i \subseteq H \cap C_i$.

Theorem 1. Let G be a finite group and H be a subgroup of G containing a fixed element g such that $\gcd(o(g), [N_G(H):H]) = 1$. Then the number $h(g, H)$ of conjugates of H containing g is $\chi_H(g)$, where $\chi_H(g)$ is the permutation character of G with action on the conjugates of H . In particular

$$h(g, H) = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{|C_G(g)|}{|C_{N_G(H)}(x_i)|},$$

where x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m are representatives of the $N_G(H)$ -conjugacy classes fused to the G -class of g .

Proof. See [13] or [14, Theorem 2.1]. □

By [4], the above number $h(g, H)$ is useful in giving a lower bound for $\Delta_G^*(C_1, \dots, C_k)$, namely $\Delta_G^*(C_1, \dots, C_k) \geq \Theta_G(C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k)$, where

$$\Theta_G(C_1, \dots, C_k) = \Delta_G(C_1, \dots, C_k) - \sum h(g_k, H) \Sigma_H(C_1, \dots, C_k), \quad (1)$$

g_k is a representative of the class C_k and the sum is taken over all the representatives H of G -conjugacy classes of maximal subgroups of G containing elements of all the classes C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k . Since we have all the maximal subgroups of the sporadic simple groups even for $G = \mathbb{M}$ the Monster group (see [10]), it is possible to build a small subroutine in GAP [12] to compute the values of $\Theta_G = \Theta_G(C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k)$ for any collection of conjugacy classes and any finite simple group.

Lemma 1. *Let G be a finite centerless group. If $\Delta_G^*(C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k) < |C_G(g_k)|$, $g_k \in C_k$, then $\Delta_G^*(C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k) = 0$ and therefore G is not (C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k) -generated.*

Proof. See [3, Lemma 2.7]. □

Theorem 2 (Ree [21]). *Let G be a transitive permutation group generated by permutations g_1, g_2, \dots, g_s acting on a set of n elements such that $g_1 g_2 \dots g_s = 1_G$. If the generator g_i has exactly c_i cycles for $1 \leq i \leq s$, then $\sum_{i=1}^s c_i \leq (s-2)n + 2$.*

Theorem 3 (Scott [22]). *Let g_1, g_2, \dots, g_s be elements generating a group G with $g_1 g_2 \dots g_s = 1_G$ and \mathbb{V} be an irreducible module for G with $\dim \mathbb{V} = n \geq 2$. Let $C_{\mathbb{V}}(g_i)$ denote the fixed point space of $\langle g_i \rangle$ on \mathbb{V} and let d_i be the codimension of $C_{\mathbb{V}}(g_i)$ in \mathbb{V} . Then $\sum_{i=1}^s d_i \geq 2n$.*

With χ being the ordinary irreducible character afforded by the irreducible module \mathbb{V} and $\mathbf{1}_{\langle g_i \rangle}$ being the trivial character of the cyclic group $\langle g_i \rangle$, the codimension d_i of $C_{\mathbb{V}}(g_i)$ in \mathbb{V} can be computed using the following formula ([11]):

$$\begin{aligned} d_i &= \dim(\mathbb{V}) - \dim(C_{\mathbb{V}}(g_i)) = \dim(\mathbb{V}) - \left\langle \chi \downarrow_{\langle g_i \rangle}^G, \mathbf{1}_{\langle g_i \rangle} \right\rangle \\ &= \chi(1_G) - \frac{1}{|\langle g_i \rangle|} \sum_{j=0}^{o(g_i)-1} \chi(g_i^j). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Theorem 4. [3, Lemma 2.5] *Let G be a $(2X, sY, tZ)$ -generated simple group, then G is $(sY, sY, (tZ)^2)$ -generated.*

The following results are in some cases useful in determining the ranks finite groups.

Lemma 2 ([1]). *Let G be a finite simple group such that G is (lX, mY, nZ) -generated. Then G is $(\underbrace{(lX, lX, \dots, lX)}_{m\text{-times}}, (nZ)^m)$ -generated.*

Corollary 1 ([1]). *Let G be a finite simple group such that G is (lX, mY, nZ) -generated. Then $\text{rank}(G : lX) \leq m$.*

Proof. The result follows immediately from Lemma 2. \square

Theorem 5 ([15]). *Let G be a $(2X, sY, tZ)$ -generated simple group, then G is $(sY, sY, (tZ)^2)$ -generated.*

Corollary 2. *Let G be a finite simple group such that G is $(2X, mY, nZ)$ -generated. Then $\text{rank}(G : mY) = 2$.*

Proof. Since G is $(2X, mY, nZ)$ -generated group, it follows by Theorem 5 that G is $(mY, mY, (nZ)^2)$ -generated. Therefore $\text{rank}(G : mY) \leq 2$. Since the rank of any non-trivial class in a finite simple group can not be 1, the result follows. \square

3 The symplectic group $Sp(6, 2)$

In this section, we apply the results discussed in Section 2 to the group $Sp(6, 2)$. We determine the conjugacy class ranks of $Sp(6, 2)$. The symplectic group $Sp(6, 2)$ is a simple group of order $1451520 = 2^9 \times 3^4 \times 5 \times 7$. By the Atlas [9] the group $Sp(6, 2)$ has exactly 30 conjugacy classes of its elements and 8 conjugacy classes of its maximal subgroups. Representatives of conjugacy classes of the maximal subgroups can be taken as follows:

$$\begin{array}{lll} H_1 = U_4(2):2 & H_2 = S_8 & H_3 = 2^5:S_6 \\ H_4 = U_3(3):2 & H_5 = 2^6:L_3(2) & H_6 = (2^2 \times 2^{1+4}):(S_3 \times S_3) \\ H_7 = S_3 \times S_6 & H_8 = L_2(8):3. & \end{array}$$

Throughout the paper, we will use G instead of $Sp(6, 2)$, unless stated otherwise. For the sake of computations with GAP [12], we use a permutation presentation for G . By the electronic Atlas of Wilson [23], G can be generated in terms of permutations on 28 points. Generators g_1 and g_2 can be taken as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= (2, 3)(6, 7)(9, 10)(12, 14)(17, 19)(20, 22), \\ g_2 &= (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8)(7, 9, 11, 13, 16, 18, 14)(10, 12, 15, 17, 20, 19, 21)(22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28), \end{aligned}$$

with $o(g_1) = 2$, $o(g_2) = 7$ and $o(g_1g_2) = 9$.

Table 1 gives all the values of $d_{nX} = \dim(\mathbb{V}/C_{\mathbb{V}}(nX))$, where V is the 7-dimensional irreducible complex module. This table will be referred to when we are proving the non-generation of a triple for the group G .

Table 2 is the same as Table 1, only that here we consider the vector space of dimension 15.

In Table 3, using the permutation action of G on 28 points, we list the values of the cyclic structure for each conjugacy of G which contains elements of prime order together with the values of both c_i and d_i obtained from Ree and Scott's theorems, respectively.

In Table 4 we list representatives of the conjugacy classes of the maximal subgroups of G , the orbits lengths of G on these subgroups and the decomposition of the permutation characters.

Table 5 gives us the partial fusion maps of classes of maximal subgroups into the classes of G .

In Table 6 we list the values of h over all the maximal subgroups and non-trivial conjugacy classes of G .

Table 1: $d_{nX} = \dim(\mathbb{V}/C_{\mathbb{V}}(nX))$, nX is a non-trivial class of G and $\dim(\mathbb{V}) = 7$

nX	2A	2B	2C	2D	3A	3B	3C	4A	4B	4C	4D	4E	5A	6A	6B
d_{nX}	6	4	2	4	2	6	4	4	4	6	6	4	4	6	4
nX	6C	6D	6E	6F	6G	7A	8A	8B	9A	10A	12A	12B	12C	15A	
d_{nX}	6	4	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	

Table 2: $d_{nX} = \dim(\mathbb{V}/C_{\mathbb{V}}(nX))$, nX is a non-trivial class of G and $\dim(\mathbb{V}) = 15$

nX	2A	2B	2C	2D	3A	3B	3C	4A	4B	4C	4D	4E	5A	6A	6B
d_{nX}	10	4	6	8	10	12	8	10	12	10	8	10	12	14	12
nX	6C	6D	6E	6F	6G	7A	8A	8B	9A	10A	12A	12B	12C	15A	
d_{nX}	12	12	12	10	12	12	12	12	14	14	14	14	14	14	

Table 3: Cycle structures of conjugacy classes of G

nX	Cycle Structure	c_i	d_i
1A	1^{28}	28	0
2A	$1^{16}2^6$	22	6
2B	$1^4 2^{12}$	16	12
2C	$1^8 2^{10}$	18	10
2D	$1^4 2^{12}$	16	12
3A	$1^{10} 3^6$	16	12
3B	$1 \ 3^9$	10	18
3C	$1 \ 3^9$	10	18
4A	$1^4 4^6$	10	18
4B	$1^2 2^3 4^5$	10	18
4C	$1^6 2 \ 4^5$	12	16
4D	$2^4 4^5$	9	19
4E	$1^2 2^3 4^5$	10	18
5A	$1^3 5^5$	8	20
6A	$1^4 2^3 3^4 6$	12	16
6B	$1^4 2^3 6^3$	10	18
6C	$1 \ 3 \ 6^4$	6	22
6D	$1^2 2^4 3^2 6^3$	11	17
6E	$1 \ 3^5 6^2$	8	20
6F	$1 \ 3 \ 6^4$	6	22
6G	$1 \ 3 \ 6^4$	6	22
7A	7^4	4	24
8A	$1^2 2 \ 8^3$	6	22
8B	$4 \ 8^3$	4	24
9A	$1 \ 9^3$	4	24
10A	$1 \ 2 \ 5^5$	7	21

Table 3 continued

nX	Cycle Structure	c_i	d_i	
12A	$1^2 4^2 6$	12	6 22	
12B	2	$3^2 4^2 12$	6 22	
12C	1	3	12^2	4 24
15A	3	5^3	4 24	

Table 4: Maximal subgroups of $Sp(6, 2)$

Maximal Subgroup	Order	Orbit Lengths	Character
$U_4(2):2$	$2^7 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5$	[1,27]	$1a + 27a$
S_8	$2^7 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$	[28]	$1a + 35b$
$2^5:S_6$	$2^9 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5$	[12,16]	$1a + 27a + 35b$
$U_3(3):2$	$2^6 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7$	[28]	$1a + 35a + 84a$
$2^6:L_3(2)$	$2^9 \cdot 3 \cdot 7$	[28]	$1a + 15a + 35b + 84a$
$(2 \cdot 2^6):(S_3 \times S_3)$	$2^9 \cdot 3^2$	[4,24]	$1a + 27a + 35b + 84a + 168a$
$S_3 \times S_6$	$2^5 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5$	[10,18]	$1a + 27a + 35b + 105b + 168a$
$L_2(8):3$	$2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7$	[28]	$1a + 70a + 84a + 105b + 280a + 420a$

Table 5: The partial fusion maps into $Sp(6, 2)$

$U_4(2):2$ -class $\rightarrow G$ h	2a 2b 2c 2d 3a 3b 3c 5a 2A 2B 2C 2D 3B 3A 3C 5A 3
S_8 -class $\rightarrow Sp(6, 2)$ h	2a 2b 2c 2d 3a 3b 5a 7a 2A 2B 2C 2D 3A 3C 5A 7A 1 1
$2^5:S_6$ -class $\rightarrow Sp(6, 2)$ h	2a 2b 2c 2d 2e 2f 2g 2h 2i 2j 3a 3b 5a 2A 2C 2B 2C 2A 2B 2D 2D 2C 2D 3A 3C 5A 3
$U_3(3):2$ -class $\rightarrow Sp(6, 2)$ h	2a 2b 3a 3b 7a 2B 2D 3B 3C 7A 1
$2^6:L_3(2)$ -class $\rightarrow Sp(6, 2)$ h	2a 2b 2c 2d 2e 2f 2g 3a 7a 7b 2A 2B 2C 2D 2C 2B 2D 3C 7A 7A 1 1
$2 \cdot 2^6:(S_3 \times S_3)$ -class $\rightarrow Sp(6, 2)$ h	2a 2b 2c 2d 2e 2f 2g 2h 2i 2j 2k 2l 2m 3a 3b 3c 2B 2C 2A 2C 2B 2A 2D 2D 2C 2B 2D 2C 2D 3A 3B 3C 15 9 3
$S_3 \times S_6$ -class $\rightarrow Sp(6, 2)$ h	2a 2b 2c 2d 2e 2f 2g 3a 3b 3c 3d 3e 5a 2A 2A 2B 2C 2C 2D 2D 3A 3A 3C 3C 3B 5A 1
$L_2(8):3$ -class $\rightarrow Sp(6, 2)$ h	2a 3a 3b 3c 7a 2D 3B 3C 3C 7A 1

Table 6: Values of h for all the maximal subgroups and non-trivial conjugacy classes of G

	H_1	H_2	H_3	H_4	H_5	H_6	H_7	H_8
2A	16	16	31	0	15	75	96	0
2B	4	12	15	24	39	43	16	0
2C	8	8	15	0	15	27	32	0
2D	4	4	7	8	7	19	16	16

Table 6 continued

	H_1	H_2	H_3	H_4	H_5	H_6	H_7	H_8
3A	10	6	15	0	0	15	21	0
3B	1	0	0	3	0	9	12	24
3C	1	3	3	6	9	3	3	12
4A	4	0	3	12	3	7	0	0
4B	2	6	7	0	3	7	4	0
4C	6	2	7	0	3	7	4	0
4D	0	4	3	4	11	7	0	0
4E	2	2	3	0	3	3	4	0
5A	3	1	3	0	0	0	1	0
6A	4	4	7	0	0	3	9	0
6B	4	0	3	0	0	7	1	0
6C	1	0	0	3	0	1	4	0
6D	2	2	3	0	0	3	5	0
6E	1	1	1	0	3	3	3	0
6F	1	3	3	0	3	1	1	0
6G	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	4
7A	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	1
8A	2	0	1	2	1	1	0	0
8B	0	2	1	2	1	1	0	0
9A	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
10A	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0
12A	2	0	1	0	0	1	1	0
12B	0	2	1	0	0	1	1	0
12C	1	0	0	3	0	1	0	0
15A	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0

4 The ranks of the classes of $Sp(6, 2)$.

Now we study the ranks of $G = Sp(6, 2)$ with respect to the various conjugacy classes of all its nonidentity elements. We start our investigation on the ranks of the non-trivial classes of G by looking at the four classes of involutions $2A$, $2B$, $2C$ and $2D$. It is well known that the rank of any of these involutions classes will be at least 3, since two involutions generate a dihedral group, which is not a simple group.

Lemma 3. $rank(G : 2A) \notin \{3, 4\}$.

Proof. We claim that G is not a $(2A, 2A, 2A, nX)$ -generated group for any non-trivial conjugacy class nX of G . To do this, we will make use of Ree's Theorem (Theorem 2). Here we have $n = 28$ and $s = 4$. Thus $n(s - 2) + 2 = 28 \times 2 + 2 = 58$. If there exists nX of G such that G is $(2A, 2A, 2A, nX)$ -generated, then we must have $c_{2A} + c_{2A} + c_{2A} + c_{nX} \leq 58$. From Table 3 we have $c_{2A} = 22$ and it follows that $c_{2A} + c_{2A} + c_{2A} + c_{nX} = 3(22) + c_{nX} = 66 + c_{nX}$, which is always greater than 58. This implies that G is not a $(2A, 2A, 2A, nX)$ -generated group and consequently $rank(G : 2A) \neq 3$.

Similar arguments can be applied to show that the group G is not a $(2A, 2A, 2A, 2A, nX)$ -generated for any non-trivial conjugacy class nX of G . In this case, we have $n = 28$ and $s = 5$. Thus, $n(s - 2) + 2 = 28 \times 3 + 2 = 86$. Using Table 3, we can see that $4 \times c_{2A} + c_{nX} = 88 + c_{nX} > 86$ for any nX . Thus G is not a $(2A, 2A, 2A, 2A, nX)$ -generated group and it follows that $rank(G : 2A) \neq 4$. \square

Lemma 4. $rank(G : 2A) \neq 5$.

Proof. We claim that G is not a $(2A, 2A, 2A, 2A, 2A, nX)$ -generated group for any non-trivial conjugacy class nX of G . To do this, we will make use of Ree's Theorem (Theorem 2) to establish the non-generation for some nX of G . For the remaining classes nX of G that can not be handled using Ree's Theorem, we will perform direct computations in GAP. For the purpose of applying Ree's Theorem, we have $n = 28$ and $s = 6$ and it follows that $n(s - 2) + 2 = 28 \times 4 + 2 = 114$. If there exists nX of G such that G is $(2A, 2A, 2A, 2A, 2A, nX)$ -generated, then we must have $5 \times c_{2A} + c_{nX} \leq 114$; that is $110 + c_{nX} \leq 114$; that is $c_{nX} \leq 4$. From Table 3, we can see the group G will not be $(2A, 2A, 2A, 2A, 2A, nX)$ -generated since $110 + c_{nX} > 114$ for any nX of G except for the classes $7A$, $8B$, $9A$, $12C$ and $15A$. Now we have used GAP and we computed $\Delta_G(2A, 2A, 2A, 2A, 2A, nX)$ for $nX \in \{7A, 8B, 9A, 12C, 15A\}$, where the computations rendered that $\Delta_G(2A, 2A, 2A, 2A, 2A, nX) = 0$. This argument, together with the above, shows that G is not a $(2A, 2A, 2A, 2A, 2A, nX)$ -generated group for any non-trivial conjugacy class nX of G . Therefore, $rank(G : 2A) \neq 5$. \square

Lemma 5. $rank(G : 2A) \neq 6$.

Proof. We claim that G is not a $(2A, 2A, 2A, 2A, 2A, 2A, nX)$ -generated group for any non-trivial conjugacy class nX of G . To do this, we will make use of Ree's Theorem (Theorem 2) to establish the non-generation for some nX of G . For the remaining classes nX of G that can not be handled using Ree's Theorem; we will use direct computations in GAP. For the purpose of applying Ree's Theorem, we have $n = 28$ and $s = 7$ and it follows that $n(s - 2) +$

$2 = 28 \times 5 + 2 = 142$. If there exists nX of G such that G is $(2A, 2A, 2A, 2A, 2A, 2A, nX)$ -generated, then we must have $6 \times c_{2A} + c_{nX} \leq 142$; that is $132 + c_{nX} \leq 142$; that is $c_{nX} \leq 10$. From Table 3 we can see that group G will not be $(2A, 2A, 2A, 2A, 2A, 2A, nX)$ -generated since $132 + c_{nX} > 142$ for $nX \in \{1A, 2A, 2B, 2C, 2D, 3A, 4C, 6A, 6D\}$. Next, we show that G is not a $(2A, 2A, 2A, 2A, 2A, 2A, nX)$ -generated group for $nX \in \{3B, 3C, 4A, 4B, 4D, 4E, 5A, 6B, 6C, 6E, 6F, 6G, 7A, 8B, 9A, 10A, 12C, 15A\}$. For all the classes $nX \in T$ we give in Table 7 the information about the structure constant $\Delta_G(2A, 2A, 2A, 2A, 2A, 2A, nX) := \Delta(G)$, $\Sigma_{H_i}^*(2a, 2a, 2a, nx) := \Sigma^*(H_i)$ for H_i a subgroup of G , $|H_i|$, and the structures of the groups that these 7-tuples generate.

Table 7: The groups generated by $(a, b, c, d, e, x, y, z) \in (2A)^7$ where $abcdexyz$ is a fixed element in nX

nX	$\Delta(G)$	$\Sigma^*(M)$	Structure description	Order
3B	9720	9720	$S_3 \times S_3 \times S_3$	216
3C	114210	2430	$2 \times S_3 \times S_3$	72
		2430	$S_3 \times S_3$	36
		21870	$S_3 \times S_4$	144
		21870	$S_3 \times S_4$	144
		87480	S_6	720
4A	315840	8640	$2^4:S_4$	384
		30720	$2^3:S_4$	192
		276480	$2^4:S_5$	1920
4B	126400	4160	$2 \times S_4$	48
		5760	$2^2 \times S_4$	96
		34560	$2^4:S_4$	384
		81920	S_6	720
4D	16000	5760	$2^5:S_4$	768
		10240	$S_4 \times S_4$	576
4E	134336	1920	$2^2 \times S_4$	96
		4160	$2 \times S_4$	48
		5120	$S_3 \times S_4$	144
		5120	$S_3 \times S_4$	144
		11520	$2^4:S_4$	384
		24576	$2 \times S_5$	240
		40960	S_6	720
5A	224375	46080	$2^4:S_5$	1920
		5625	$2 \times S_5$	240
		15625	S_5	120
		62500	S_6	720
6B	269730	140625	$2^4:S_5$	1920
		7290	$2^4:S_4$	384
		29160	$2^3:S_4$	192
		233280	$2^4:S_5$	1920
6C	77760	77760	$O_5(3):2$	51840
6E	43740	43740	$2^5:S_6$	23040
6F	23328	23328	$2 \times S_6$	1440
6G	7776	7776	$2 \times S_6$	1440
7A	16807	16807	S_7	5040
8A	12288	12288	$2 \times (2^4:S_5)$	3840
8B	40960	40960	$2^5:S_6$	5040
9A	59049	59049	$O_5(3):2$	51840
10A	31250	31250	$2^5:S_6$	23040
12A	2880	2880	$2 \times S_3 \times S_4$	288
12B	15552	15552	$2 \times (2^4:S_5)$	1080

Table 7 continued

nX	$\Delta(G)$	$\Sigma^*(M)$	Structure description	Order
12C	41472	41472	$O_5(3):2$	51840
15A	5625	5625	$S_5 \times S_3$	720

From the above, we can see that the group G is not $(2A, 2A, 2A, 2A, 2A, 2A, nX)$ -generated for all the conjugacy classes nX of G . Therefore, we conclude that $\text{rank}(G : nX) \neq 6$. \square

Proposition 1. $\text{rank}(G : 2A) = 7$.

Proof. The aim here is to show that the group G is $(2A, 7A, 15A)$ -generated. The computations with GAP give $\Delta_G(2A, 7A, 15A) = 15$. From Table 4, we see that the only maximal subgroup of G that may contribute to $\Delta_G^*(2A, 7A, 15A)$ is H_2 . However computations renders that $\Sigma_{H_2}(2a, 7a, 15a) = 0$ and thus $\Delta_G^*(2A, 7A, 15A) = \Delta_G(2A, 7A, 15A) = 15$. Hence G is $(2A, 7A, 15A)$ -generated. It follows from Corollary 1 that $\text{rank}(G : 2A) \leq 7$. In Lemmas 3, 4, and 5 we have seen that $\text{rank}(G : 2A) \notin \{3, 4, 5, 6\}$. Hence, $\text{rank}(G : 2A) = 7$. \square

Proposition 2. $\text{rank}(G : 2B) = 4$.

Proof. By Table 2, the group G acts on a 15-dimensional irreducible complex module \mathbb{V} , and we have $d_{2B} + d_{2B} + d_{2B} + d_{nX} = 3 \times 4 + d_{nX} < 2 \times 15$ for all the conjugacy classes of nX of G . Thus, by Scott's Theorem [22], the group G will not be a $(2B, 2B, 2B, nX)$ -generated. Therefore $\text{rank}(G : 2B) \neq 3$. The direct computations with GAP show that the structure constant $\Delta_G(2B, 2B, 2B, 2B, 9A) = 4617$. Only two maximal subgroups of G have each an element of order 9. These are the groups H_1 and H_8 . The intersection of these two maximal subgroups do not contain elements of order 9. Only the maximal subgroup H_1 meets the classes $2B$ and $9A$ of G . We obtain that $\Sigma_{H_1}(2B, 2B, 2B, 2B, 9A) = 243$ and $h(9A, H_1) = 1$. It follows that $\Delta_G^*(2B, 2B, 2B, 2B, 9A) = 4617 - 243 = 4374$, proving that the group G is $(2B, 2B, 2B, 2B, 9A)$ -generated. Hence the result. \square

Proposition 3. $\text{rank}(G : 2C) = 4$.

Proof. To show that G can not be generated by only three elements from the class $2C$, we use Scott's Theorem on the 7 dimensional irreducible module. If G is a $(2C, 2C, 2C, nX)$ -generated group for any non-trivial class nX of G , then we must have $d_{2C} + d_{2C} + d_{2C} + d_{nX} \geq 2 \times 7$. However, it is clear from Table 1 that $3 \times d_{2C} + d_{nX} < 14$, for each nX of G . Thus G is not a $(2C, 2C, 2C, nX)$ -generated group, and it follows that $\text{rank}(G : 2C) \neq 3$. The direct computations with GAP give $\Delta_G(2C, 4D, 15A) = 45$. Only two maximal subgroups of G have each an element of order 15, namely, H_2 and H_7 . The intersection of these two maximal subgroups is isomorphic to $S_3 \times S_5$. The subgroups H_2 , H_7 and $S_3 \times S_5$ having elements of order 7 will not have any contributions because their elements of order 4 do not fuse to the class $4D$ of G . Since there is no contribution from any of the three groups, we then have $\Delta_G^*(2C, 4D, 15A) = \Delta_G(2C, 4D, 15A) = 45 > 0$. This shows that the group G is $(2C, 4D, 15A)$ -generated. By the application of Lemma 2, we then obtain that the group G is $(2C, 2C, 2C, 2C, (15A)^4)$ -generated. Since there is only one class of order 15 in G , we then have $(15A)^4 = 15A$. Thus G becomes a $(2C, 2C, 2C, 2C, 15A)$ -generated group. Hence, $\text{rank}(G : 2C) = 4$. \square

Proposition 4. $rank(G : 2D) = 3$.

Proof. Using GAP, by taking

$$\begin{aligned} a &= (3, 4)(6, 22)(7, 21)(8, 19)(9, 23)(10, 17)(11, 12)(13, 24)(15, 20)(16, 26)(18, 28)(25, 27) \in 2D, \\ b &= (1, 3, 25)(2, 8, 26)(4, 24, 10)(5, 6, 20)(7, 14, 16)(9, 13, 18)(12, 23, 19)(15, 17, 22)(21, 28, 27) \in 3C. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\langle a, b \rangle = G$ with

$$ab = (1, 3, 24, 18, 27)(2, 8, 12, 11, 23, 13, 10, 22, 20, 17, 4, 25, 21, 14, 16)(5, 6, 15)(7, 28, 9, 19, 26) \in 15A.$$

Thus the group G is $(2D, 3C, 15A)$ -generated and consequently using Lemma 2, it will follow that G is $(2D, 2D, 2D, (15A)^3)$ -generated. Since there is only one conjugacy class of elements order 5 in G , it follows that $(15A)^3 = 5A$. Thus G is $(2D, 2D, 2D, 5A)$ -generated group. We deduce that $rank(G : 2D) = 3$. \square

Proposition 5. $rank(G : 3A) = 4$.

Proof. To show that G cannot be generated by only two (or three) elements from class $3A$, we use Scott's Theorem on the 7 dimensional irreducible module. If G is $(3A, 3A, nX)$ -generated group (or $(3A, 3A, 3A, nX)$ -generated group) for any non-trivial class nX of G , then we must have $d_{3A} + d_{3A} + d_{nX} \geq 2 \times 7$ (or $d_{3A} + d_{3A} + d_{3A} + d_{nX} \geq 2 \times 7$). However, it is clear from Table 1 that $2 \times d_{3A} + d_{nX} < 14$ (or $3 \times d_{3A} + d_{nX} < 14$), for each nX of G . Thus, G is neither $(3A, 3A, nX)$ - nor $(3A, 3A, 3A, nX)$ -generated group, and it follows that $rank(G : 3A) \notin \{2, 3\}$. Direct computations show that $\Delta_G(3A, 3A, 3A, 3A, 9A) = 229797$ and only two maximal subgroups of G have each an element of order 9, namely, H_1 and H_8 . The intersection of these two maximal subgroups is isomorphic to $9:6$. The subgroups $9:6$ and H_8 will not have any contributions because their elements of 3 do not fuse to the class $3A$ of G . Only H_1 meets the classes $3A$ and $9A$ of G . By GAP we have $\Sigma_{H_1}(3b, 3b, 3b, 3b, 9a) = 118989$, and by Table 6 we have $h(9A, H_1) = 1$. We then obtain that $\Delta_G^*(3A, 3A, 3A, 3A, 9A) \geq \Delta_G(3A, 3A, 3A, 3A, 9A) - \Sigma_{H_1}(3b, 3b, 3b, 3b, 9a) = 229797 - 118989 = 110808$, proving that G is $(3A, 3A, 3A, 3A, 9A)$ -generated. Hence the result. \square

Remark 1. An alternative way to show that G is not $(3A, 3A, nX)$ -generated group for any non-trivial class nX of G , we note that the direct computations yield $\Delta_G(3A, 3A, nX) = 0$ for all non-trivial classes nX of G except for $nX \in \{2C, 3A, 3C, 4A, 5A, 6B\} := T$. For $nX \in T$, we have $\Delta_G(3A, 3A, 2C) = 32 < 1536 = |C_G(2C)|$, $\Delta_G(3A, 3A, 3A) = 46 < 2160 = |C_G(3A)|$, $\Delta_G(3A, 3A, 3C) = 2 < 108 = |C_G(3C)|$, $\Delta_G(3A, 3A, 4A) = 16 < 384 = |C_G(4A)|$, $\Delta_G(3A, 3A, 5A) = 5 < 30 = |C_G(5A)|$ and $\Delta_G(3A, 3A, 6B) = 6 < 144 = |C_G(6B)|$. Then using Lemma 1, we deduce that G is not $(3A, 3A, nX)$ -generated group for $nX \in T$ and thus $rank(G : 3A) \neq 2$.

Proposition 6. $rank(G : 3B) = 3$.

Proof. To show that G is not $(3B, 3B, nX)$ -generated group for any non-trivial class nX of G , we note that the direct computations yield $\Delta_G(3B, 3B, nX) = 0$ for all non-trivial classes nX of G except for $nX \in \{2C, 3A, 3B, 3C, 4A, 4D, 5A, 6C, 6D, 7A, 9A, 15A\}$. By GAP we obtained that $\Delta_G(3B, 3B, 7A) = 7$. Although the maximal subgroups H_4 and H_8 are the only ones meeting the

$3B, 7A$ classes of G , the maximal subgroup H_4 will not contribute because its relevant structure constant is zero. We obtained that $\sum_{H_8}(3a, 3a, 7a) = 7$ and we have $h(7A, H_8) = 1$. Thus we obtain $\Delta_G^*(3B, 3B, 7A) = \Delta_G(3B, 3B, 7A) - \sum_{H_8}(3a, 3a, 7a) = 7 - 7 = 0$, proving that G is not $(3B, 3B, 7A)$ -generated. Direct computations show that $\Delta_G(3B, 3B, 9A) = 9$. Subgroups meeting the classes $3B$ and $9A$ of G are $9:6$, H_1 and H_8 . The subgroups $9:6$ and H_1 will not have any contributions because their relevant structure constants are all zeros. The direct computations show that $\Sigma_{H_8}(3a, 3a, 9x) = \Delta_{H_8}(3a, 3a, 9a) + \Delta_{H_8}(3a, 3a, 9b) + \Delta_{H_8}(3a, 3a, 9c) = 0 + 0 + 9 = 9$. We found that $h(9A, H_8) = 1$. It follows that $\Delta_G^*(3B, 3B, 9A) = \Delta_G(3B, 3B, 9A) - \Sigma_{H_8}(3a, 3a, 9x) = 9 - 9 = 0$, showing the non-generation of G by the triple $(3B, 3B, 9A)$. Let $T := \{2C, 3A, 3B, 3C, 4A, 4D, 5A, 6C, 6D, 15A\}$. For $nX \in T$, we have $\Delta_G(3B, 3B, 2C) = 64 < 1536 = |C_G(2C)|$, $\Delta_G(3B, 3B, 3A) = 40 < 2160 = |C_G(3A)|$, $\Delta_G(3B, 3B, 3B) = 28 < 648 = |C_G(3B)|$, $\Delta_G(3B, 3B, 3C) = 20 < 108 = |C_G(3C)|$, $\Delta_G(3B, 3B, 4A) = 16 < 384 = |C_G(4A)|$, $\Delta_G(3B, 3B, 4D) = 16 < 128 = |C_G(4D)|$, $\Delta_G(3B, 3B, 5A) = 10 < 30 = |C_G(5A)|$, $\Delta_G(3B, 3B, 6C) = 12 < 72 = |C_G(6C)|$, $\Delta_G(3B, 3B, 6D) = 8 < 48 = |C_G(6D)|$ and $\Delta_G(3B, 3B, 15A) = 5 < 15 = |C_G(15A)|$. Then using Lemma 1 we deduce that G is not $(3B, 3B, nX)$ -generated group for $nX \in T$ and thus $rank(G : 3B) \neq 2$.

Direct computations show that $\Delta_G(3B, 3C, 15A) = 25$. As in Proposition 3, subgroups having elements of order 15 are $S_3 \times S_5$, H_2 and H_7 . The subgroups $S_3 \times S_5$ and H_2 will not have any contributions because their elements of 3 do not fuse to the class $3B$ of G . Only H_7 meets the classes $3B, 3C$ and $15A$ of G . The direct computations show that $\sum_{H_7}(3e, 3x, 15a) = \Delta_{H_7}(3e, 3c, 15a) + \Delta_{H_7}(3e, 3d, 15a) = 5 + 5 = 10$ and we have $h(15A, H_7) = 1$ (see Table 6). We then obtain that $\Delta_G^*(3B, 3C, 15A) \geq \Delta_G(3B, 3C, 15A) - \sum_{H_7}(3e, 3x, 15a) = 25 - 10 = 15 > 0$, proving that G is $(3B, 3C, 15A)$ -generated. By the application of Lemma 2, we then obtain that the group G is $(3B, 3B, 3B, (15A)^3)$ -generated. Since the class $15A$ is only one of order 15 in G , we then have $(15A)^3 = 5A$ so that G becomes $(3B, 3B, 3B, 5A)$ -generated. Hence $rank(G : 3B) = 3$. \square

Proposition 7. $rank(G : 3C) = 2$.

Proof. The proof of Proposition 4 included the information that G is a $(2D, 3C, 15A)$ -generated group. The result now follows by applications of Corollary 2. \square

Proposition 8. $rank(G : 4A) = 3$.

Proof. To show that only two elements from class $4A$ can not generate G , we use Scott's Theorem on the 7 dimensional irreducible module. If G is $(4A, 4A, nX)$ -generated group for any non-trivial class nX of G , then we must have $d_{4A} + d_{4A} + d_{nX} \geq 2 \times 7$. However, it is clear from Table 1 that $2 \times d_{4A} + d_{nX} = 2(4) + d_{nX} < 14$, for each $nX \in \{2B, 2C, 2D, 3A, 3C, 4A, 4B, 4E, 5A, 6B, 6D\} := T$. Thus G is not $(4A, 4A, nX)$ -generated group for each $nX \in T$. Let $T_1 := \{2A, 3B, 4C, 4D, 6A, 6E, 6F, 6G, 8A, 10A, 12A, 12B, 15A\}$. By GAP we have $\Delta_G(4A, 4A, nX) = 0$ for $nX \in T_1$, thus G is not $(4A, 4A, nX)$ -generated for all $nX \in T_1$. Also the computations yield that $\Delta_G(4A, 4A, 12C) = 11 < 12 = |C_G(12C)|$, showing that the group G is not $(4A, 4A, 12C)$ -generated. By GAP, we have $\Delta_G(4A, 4A, 6C) = 114$, $\sum_{H_1}(4a, 4a, 6d) = 24$, $\sum_{H_4}(4b, 4b, 6b) = 14$, $\sum_{H_6}(4d, 4d, 6a) = 18$, and by Table 6, $h(4A, H_4) = 3$. We then obtain that $\Delta_G^*(4A, 4A, 6C) = \Delta_G(4A, 4A, 6C) - \sum_{H_1}(4a, 4a, 6d) - 3 \cdot \sum_{H_4}(4b, 4b, 6b) - \sum_{H_6}(4d, 4d, 6a) = 114 - 24 - 3 \times 14 -$

$18 = 30 < 72 = |C_G(6C)|$. Again by GAP, we have $\Delta_G(4A, 4A, 7A) = 7$. Subgroups fusing to $4A$ and $7A$ have all their relevant structure constants zero except the maximal subgroup H_4 . Since $\sum_{H_4}(4c, 4c, 7a) = 7$ and $h(7A, H_4) = 1$, we then obtain that $\Delta_G^*(4A, 4A, 7A) = \Delta_G(4A, 4A, 7A) - \sum_{H_4}(4c, 4c, 7a) = 7 - 7 = 0$. Similarly we obtain the following results, $\Delta_G^*(4A, 4A, 8B) = \Delta_G(4A, 4A, 8B) - \sum_{H_3}(4f, 4f, 8b) - \sum_{H_5}(4a, 4a, 8b) = 36 - 32 - 8 = 0$, $\Delta_G^*(4A, 4A, 9A) = \Delta_G(4A, 4A, 9A) - \sum_{H_1}(4a, 4a, 9a) = 9 - 9 = 0$ and $\Delta_G^*(4A, 4A, 6C) = \Delta_G(4A, 4A, 6C) - \sum_{H_1}(4c, 4c, 6a) = 27 - 27 = 0$. These show that the group G is not $(4A, 4A, 6C)$ -, $(4A, 4A, 7A)$ -, $(4A, 4A, 8B)$ - and $(4A, 4A, 9A)$ -generated. Thus $rank(G : 4A) \neq 2$. Easy computations show that $\Delta_G(4A, 4A, 4A, 9A) = 47385$. The subgroups having elements of order 9 are H_1, H_8 and $9:6$. The subgroups H_8 and $9:6$ do not have elements of order 4. Only H_1 meets the classes $4A$ and $9A$ of group G . We obtained that $\sum_{H_1}(4a, 4a, 4a, 9a) = 5832$. We then have

$$\Delta_G^*(4A, 4A, 4A, 9A) \geq \Delta_G(4A, 4A, 4A, 9A) - \sum_{H_1}(4a, 4a, 4a, 9a) = 47385 - 5832 = 41553 > 0,$$

proving that G is $(4A, 4A, 4A, 9A)$ -generated. Hence the result. \square

Proposition 9. $rank(G : 4B) = 3$.

Proof. To show that G cannot be generated by only two elements from class $4B$, we use Scott's Theorem on the 7 dimensional irreducible module. If G is $(4B, 4B, nX)$ -generated group for any non-trivial classes nX of G , then we must have $d_{4B} + d_{4B} + d_{nX} \geq 2 \times 7$. However, it is clear from Table 1 that $2 \times d_{4A} + d_{nX} = 2(4) + d_{nX} < 14$, for each $nX \in \{2B, 2C, 2D, 3A, 3C, 4A, 4B, 4E, 5A, 6B, 6D\}$ of G . Thus, G is not $(4A, 4A, nX)$ -generated group, for each nX in the previous set. Let $T_1 := \{2A, 3B, 4C\}$. By GAP, we have $\Delta_G(4B, 4B, nX) = 0$, so that the group G is not $(4A, 4A, nX)$ -generated for all $nX \in T_1$. We obtained that $\Delta_G(4B, 4B, 4D) = 64 < 192 = |C_G(4D)|$, $\Delta_G(4B, 4B, 6A) = 36 < 144 = |C_G(6A)|$, $\Delta_G(4B, 4B, 6C) = 18 < 72 = |C_G(6C)|$, $\Delta_G(4B, 4B, 6E) = 18 < 36 = |C_G(6E)|$, $\Delta_G(4B, 4B, 8A) = 8 < 16 = |C_G(8A)|$, $\Delta_G(4B, 4B, 12A) = 12 < 24 = |C_G(12A)|$, $\Delta_G(4B, 4B, 12B) = 12 < 24 = |C_G(12B)|$ and $\Delta_G(4B, 4B, 12C) = 3 < 12 = |C_G(12C)|$ so that the group G is not $(4B, 4B, nX)$ -generated for each $nX \in \{4D, 6A, 6C, 6E, 8A, 12A, 12B, 12C\}$. It follows that $rank(G : 4B) \neq 2$. Easy computations show that $\Delta_G(3B, 4B, 9A) = 9$. Although the subgroup H_1 meets the classes $3B, 4B$, and $9A$ of the group G , it will not have any contribution because its relevant structure is zero. Therefore $\Delta_G^*(3B, 4B, 9A) = \Delta_G(3B, 4B, 9A) = 9 > 0$, proving that G is $(3B, 4B, 9A)$ -generated. Since G is $(3B, 4B, 9A)$ -generated, it is also $(4B, 3B, 9A)$ -generated group, and it follows by applications of Lemma 2 that G is $(4B, 4B, 4B, (9A)^3)$ -generated; that G is $(4B, 4B, 4B, 3B)$ -generated group. We deduce that $rank(G : 4B) = 3$. \square

Proposition 10. $rank(G : 4C) = 3$.

Proof. Let $nX \in \{2A, 2B, 2C, 2D, 3A, 3B, 3C, 4A, 4B, 4C, 4D, 4E, 5A, 6A, 6B, 6D, 6E, 10A\} := T$. If G is a $(4C, 4C, nX)$ -generated group for $nX \in T$, then we must have $c_{4C} + c_{4C} + c_{nX} \leq 30$. We use Ree's Theorem by acting G on 28 points. By Table 3 we have $c_{4C} + c_{4C} + c_{nX} = 12 + 12 + c_{nX} > 30$ for all $nX \in T$ and by Ree's Theorem we conclude that G is not $(4C, 4C, nX)$ -generated group for any $nX \in T$. The computations with GAP render that $\Delta_G(4C, 4C, nX) = 0$ for $nX \in \{6F, 6G, 8A, 12A, 12B, 15A\}$. Therefore G is not a $(4C, 4C, nX)$ -generated group for

nX in the previous set. We now check the tuple $(4C, 4C, 6C)$ to see whether it generates G or not. The GAP computations give $\Delta_G(4C, 4C, 6C) = 162$. We also obtained that $\sum_{H_1}(4c, 4c, 6d) = 162$ and $h = 1$. Subgroups fusing to $4C$ and $6C$ have all their relevant structure constant zero except the maximal subgroup H_1 . Thus $\Delta_G^*(4C, 4C, 6C) = \Delta_G(4C, 4C, 6C) - \sum_{H_1}(4c, 4c, 6d) = 162 - 162 = 0$, showing that G is not a $(4C, 4C, 6C)$ -generated group. Similarly, we obtain the following results:

$$\Delta_G^*(4C, 4C, 7A) = \Delta_G(4C, 4C, 7A) - \sum_{H_2}(4a, 4a, 7a) = 7 - 7 = 0,$$

$$\Delta_G^*(4C, 4C, 8B) = \Delta_G(4C, 4C, 8B) - \sum_{H_3}(4f, 4f, 8b) - \sum_{H_5}(4a, 4a, 8b) = 40 - 32 - 8 = 0,$$

$$\Delta_G^*(4C, 4C, 9A) = \Delta_G(4C, 4C, 9A) - \sum_{H_1}(4c, 4c, 9a) = 81 - 81 = 0,$$

$$\Delta_G^*(4C, 4C, 12C) = \Delta_G(4C, 4C, 12C) - \sum_{H_1}(4c, 4c, 12a) = 27 - 27 = 0.$$

This show that the group G is not $(4C, 4C, nX)$ -generated for each $nX \in \{6C, 7A, 8B, 9A, 12C\}$. We deduce that $\text{rank}(G : 4C) \neq 2$. Now, easy computations show that $\Delta_G(3B, 4C, 9A) = 27$. Although the subgroup H_1 meets the classes $3B, 4C$ and $9A$ of the group G , it will not have any contribution because its relevant structure is zero. No other subgroup of G meets the classes $3B, 4C$, and $9A$, and thus no contribution from any maximal subgroup of G in the computations of $\Delta_G^*(3B, 4C, 9A)$. We then obtain that

$$\Delta_G^*(3B, 4C, 9A) = \Delta_G(3B, 4C, 9A) = 27 > 0,$$

proving that G is $(3B, 4C, 9A)$ -generated. Since G is $(3B, 4C, 9A)$ -generated, it is also $(4C, 3B, 9A)$ -generated group. By applications of Lemma 2, G will be $(4C, 4C, 4C, (9A)^3)$ -generated group, that is G is a $(4C, 4C, 4C, 3B)$ -generated group. Hence $\text{rank}(G : 4C) = 3$. \square

Proposition 11. $\text{rank}(G : 4D) = 2$.

Proof. We have seen in the proof of Proposition 3 that the group G is a $(2C, 4D, 15A)$ -generated. Applications of Corollary 2 shows that $\text{rank}(G : 4D) = 2$. \square

Proposition 12. $\text{rank}(G : 6A) = 3$.

Proof. Let $nX \in \{2A, 2B, 2C, 2D, 3A, 3B, 3C, 4A, 4B, 4C, 4D, 4E, 5A, 6A, 6B, 6D, 6E, 10A\}$. If G is a $(6A, 6A, nX)$ -generated group, then we must have $c_{6A} + c_{6A} + c_{nX} \leq 30$. We use Ree's Theorem by acting G on 28 points. Since by Table 3 we have $c_{6A} + c_{6A} + c_{nX} = 12 + 12 + c_{nX} > 30$, it follows by Ree's Theorem that G is not $(6A, 6A, nX)$ -generated group for any nX in the previous set. By GAP, we have $\Delta_G(6A, 6A, 12A) = 8 < 24 = |C_G(12A)|$, so that the group G is not $(6A, 6A, 12A)$ -generated. By GAP we have $\Delta_G(6A, 6A, 6C) = 144$. Subgroups fusing to $6A$ and $6C$ have all their relevant structure constant zero except the maximal subgroup H_1 . Since $\sum_{H_1}(6b, 6b, 6d) = 144$, and the value of h is 1 (see Table 6), we then obtain that

$$\Delta_G^*(6A, 6A, 6C) = \Delta_G(6A, 6A, 6C) - \sum_{H_1}(4a, 4a, 7a) = 144 - 144 = 0.$$

Similarly, we obtain the following results:

$\Delta_G^*(6A, 6A, 6F) = \Delta_G(6A, 6A, 6F) - \sum_{H_1}(6b, 6b, 6e) = 72 - 72 = 0$, $\Delta_G^*(6A, 6A, 6G) = \Delta_G(6A, 6A, 6G) - \sum_{H_2}(6d, 6d, 6a) = 24 - 24 = 0$, $\Delta_G^*(6A, 6A, 7A) = \Delta_G(6A, 6A, 7A) - \sum_{H_2}(6d, 6d, 7a) = 63 - 63 = 0$, $\Delta_G^*(6A, 6A, 8A) = \Delta_G(6A, 6A, 8A) - \sum_{H_1}(6a, 6a, 8a) = 32 - 32 = 0$, $\Delta_G^*(6A, 6A, 8B) = \Delta_G(6A, 6A, 8B) - \sum_{H_2}(6d, 6d, 8a) = 64 - 64 = 0$, $\Delta_G^*(6A, 6A, 9A) = \Delta_G(6A, 6A, 9A) - \sum_{H_1}(6b, 6b, 9a) = 81 - 81 = 0$, $\Delta_G^*(6A, 6A, 12B) = \Delta_G(6A, 6A, 12B) - \sum_{H_2}(6b, 6b, 6a) = 32 - 32 = 0$, $\Delta_G^*(6A, 6A, 12C) = \Delta_G(6A, 6A, 12C) - \sum_{H_1}(6b, 6b, 12a) = 48 - 48 = 0$, $\Delta_G^*(6A, 6A, 15A) = \Delta_G(6A, 6A, 15A) - \sum_{H_2}(6d, 6d, 15a) = 25 - 25 = 0$. From the above we deduce that G is not $(6A, 6A, nX)$ -generated group for any $nX \in \{6C, 6F, 6G, 7A, 8A, 8B, 9A, 12B, 12C, 15A\}$. This, together with the information that G is not $(6A, 6A, nX)$ -generated group for all $nX \in \{2A, 2B, 2C, 2D, 3A, 3B, 3C, 4A, 4B, 4C, 4D, 4E, 5A, 6A, 6B, 6D, 6E, 10A\}$, we deduce that $rank(G : 6A) \neq 2$. Easy computations show that $\Delta_G(3B, 6A, 9A) = 27$. Although the subgroup H_1 meets the classes $3B$, $6A$, and $9A$ of the group G , it will not have any contribution because its relevant structure is zero. We then obtain that $\Delta_G^*(6A, 3B, 9A) = \Delta_G^*(3B, 6A, 9A) = 27 > 0$, proving that G is $(6A, 3B, 9A)$ -generated; that is G is $(6A, 3B, 9A)$ -generated group. By Lemma 2 we obtain that G is a $(6A, 6A, 6A, (9A)^3)$ -generated group; that is G is a $(6A, 6A, 6A, 3A)$ -generated group. Hence the result. \square

Proposition 13. $rank(G : 6B) = 2$.

Proof. The structure constant gives us $\Delta_G(6B, 6B, 8B) = 40$ and there are six maximal subgroups of G have each an element of order 8, namely, H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4, H_5 , and H_6 . Let T be the set of all maximal subgroups of G having elements of order 8. The intersection of conjugacy classes from any 6, 5, 4 or 3 maximal subgroups of T does not contain elements of order 8. The groups formed when taking the intersection of any two maximal subgroups of T will be isomorphic to $PSL_3(2):2 := M_1$, $((((2^2 \times 2^4):2):2):3):2 := M_2$ (3-copies), $2^4:S_5 := M_3$, $(3^2:3):QD_{16} := M_4$, $((((2^3 \cdot 2^2):3):2):2) := M_5$ (4-copies) or $(S_4 \times S_4):2 := M_6$. Out of all subgroups having elements of order 8, only M_2, M_3 , and M_6 meet the classes $6B$ and $8B$ of G . None of them will have any contributions because their relevant structure constants are all zeros. We then obtain that $\Delta_G^*(6B, 6B, 8B) \geq \Delta_G(6B, 6B, 8B) = 40$, proving that G is $(6B, 6B, 8B)$ -generated. The result follows. \square

Proposition 14. Let $nX \in T_1 := \{4E, 5A, 6C, 6D, 6E, 6F, 6G, 7A, 8A, 8B, 9A, 10A, 12A, 12B, 12C, 15A\}$. Then $rank(G : nX) = 2$

Proof. The maximal subgroups H_2 and H_7 are the only ones containing elements of order 15. The group $S_3 \times S_5$ has elements of order 15, and it arises from taking the intersection of these two maximal subgroups of G . We use Table 8 to establish the results of this proposition. In this Table we give the required information needed to calculate $\Theta_G(nX, nX, 15A)$ where $nX \in T_1$. The value of h for these contributing subgroups is 1 (see Table 6). We give some information about $\Delta_G(nX, nX, 15A)$, h , $\sum_{H_2}(nx, nx, 15a)$, $\sum_{H_7}(nx, nx, 15a)$ and $\sum_{S_3 \times S_5}(nx, nx, 15a)$. The last column

$$\Theta_G = \Theta_G(nX, nX, 15A) = \Delta_G(nX, nX, 15A) - h \cdot \sum_{H_2}(nx, nx, 15b)$$

$$- h \cdot \sum_{H_7} (nx, nx, 15b) + h \cdot \sum_{S_3 \times S_5} (nx, nx, 15a)$$

establishes each generation of G by its triples $(nX, nX, 15A)$ because $\Delta_G^*(nX, nX, 15A) \geq \Theta_G(nX, nX, 15A)$ as it appears in Equation (1). Looking at Table 8, we see that $\Delta_G^*(nX, nX, 15A) > 0$. It follows that G is $(nX, nX, 15A)$ -generated, where $nX \in T_1$. This proves that $\text{rank}(G : nX) = 2$ for all $nX \in T_1$. \square

Table 8: Some information on the $nX \in T_1$

nX	$\Delta_G(nX, nX, 15A)$	h	$h \cdot \sum_{H_2} (nx, nx, 15a)$	$h \cdot \sum_{H_7} (nx, nx, 15a)$	$h \cdot \sum_{S_3 \times S_5} (nx, nx, 15a)$	Θ_G
4E	1290	1	270	60	45	1005
5A	645	1	45	0	0	600
6C	280	1	-	40	-	240
6D	845	1	155	125	20	585
6E	1260	1	510	0	-	750
6F	1180	1	35	55	5	1095
6G	8580	1	510	120	-	7950
7A	28605	1	1620	-	-	26985
8A	5100	1	-	-	-	5100
8B	5100	1	1140	-	-	3960
9A	15645	1	-	-	-	15645
10A	15864	1	789	159	24	14940
12A	1490	1	-	20	-	1470
12B	2450	1	540	20	15	1905
12C	10920	1	-	-	-	10920
15A	5933	1	308	53	8	5580

We conclude this paper by collecting all the results for the ranks of the non-trivial conjugacy classes of $Sp(6, 2)$ in the following theorem.

Theorem 6. *Let nX be a non-trivial conjugacy class of $G = Sp(6, 2)$. Then*

$$\text{rank}(G : nX) = \begin{cases} 7 & \text{if } nX = 2A, \\ 4 & \text{if } nX \in \{2B, 2C, 3A\}, \\ 3 & \text{if } nX \in \{2D, 3B, 4A, 4B, 4C, 6A\}, \\ 2 & \text{if } nX \notin \{1A, 2A, 2B, 2C, 2D, 3A, 3B, 4A, 4B, 6A\}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Established through Propositions 1 to 14. \square

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the referee for his/her corrections, comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] F. Ali and J. Moori, *On the ranks of Janko groups J_1, J_2, J_3 and J_4* , Quaest. Math., **31**, (2008), 37-44.
- [2] A. B. M. Basheer, *The ranks of the classes of A_{10}* , Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society, (7) **43** (2017), 2125 - 2135.
- [3] A. B. M. Basheer and J. Moori, *On the ranks of finite simple groups*, Khayyam J. of Math., (1) **2** (2016), 18-24.
- [4] A. B. M. Basheer and J. Moori, *On the ranks of the alternating group A_n* , Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society, **42** (2019), 1957-1973.
- [5] A. B. M. Basheer, M. J. Motalane, M. G. Sehoana and T. T. Seretlo, *The ranks of classes and nX -complementary generations of the Tits group ${}^2F_4(2)'$* , Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, **54** (2025), 1-17.
- [6] A. B. M. Basheer, M. J. Motalane, M. G. Sehoana and T. T. Seretlo, *The ranks of the conjugacy classes of the Chevalley group $G_2(3)$* , Palestine Journal of Mathematics, (2) **14** (2025), 115-126.
- [7] A. B. M. Basheer, M. J. Motalane, M. G. Sehoana and T. T. Seretlo, *The ranks of the conjugacy classes of the Chevalley group $G_2(4)$* , Algebraic Structures and Their Applications, (3) **12** (2025), 243-261.
- [8] A. B. M. Basheer, M. J. Motalane and T. T. Seretlo, *The conjugacy classes ranks of the alternating simple group A_{11}* , Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics, (6) **47** (2023), 743-753.
- [9] J. H. Conway et al., *Atlas of Finite Groups*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985.
- [10] H. Dietrich, M. Lee and T. Popiel, *The maximal subgroups of the Monster*, Advances in Mathematics, **469** (2025), Article No 110214, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2025.110214>
- [11] S. Ganief, *2-Generations of the Sporadic Simple Groups*, PhD thesis, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Pietermaritzburg, 1997.
- [12] The GAP Group, *GAP - Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.9.3*; 2018. (<http://www.gap-system.org>)
- [13] S. Ganief and J. Moori, *2-generations of the smallest Fischer group Fi_{22}* , Nova J. Math. Game Theory Algebra, (2-3) **6** (1997), 127-145.
- [14] S. Ganief and J. Moori, *(p,q,r) -generations of the smallest Conway group Co_3* , J. Algebra, (2) **188** (1997), 516-530.
- [15] S. Ganief and J. Moori, *2-generations of the fourth Janko group J_4* , J. Algebra, (1) **212** (1999), 305-322.

- [16] J. I. Hall, L. H Soicher, *Presentations of some 3-transposition groups*, Comm. Algebra, **23** (1995), 2517-2559.
- [17] J. Moori, *Generating sets for F_{22} and its automorphism group*, J. Algebra, **159** (1993) 488-499
- [18] J. Moori, *Subgroups of 3-transposition groups generated by four 3-transpositions*, Quaest. Math., **17** (1994), 483-494.
- [19] J. Moori, *On the ranks of the Fischer group F_{22}* , Math. Jpn, **43** (1996), 365-367.
- [20] Z. Mpono, *The conjugacy class ranks of M_{24}* , International J. Group Theory, (4) **6** (2017), 53-58.
- [21] R. Ree, *A theorem on permutations*, J. Comb. Theory A, **10** (1971), 174-175.
- [22] L. L. Scott, *Matrices and cohomology*, Ann. Math, (3) **105** (1977), 67-76.
- [23] R. A. Wilson et al., *Atlas of finite group representations*, (<http://brauer.maths.qmul.ac.uk/Atlas/v3/>)
- [24] I. Zisser, *The covering numbers of the Sporadic Simple Groups*, Israel J. Math., **67** (1989), 217-224.