

Super-biderivations and linear super-commuting maps on infinite-dimensional Lie superalgebras

Meher Abdaoui^{†*}

[†] *University of Kairouan, LR18ES45, Mathematical Physics, Quantum Modeling and Mechanical Design, Preparatory Institute for Engineering Studies of Kairouan, Kairouan, 3100, Tunisia*

Emails: abdaoui.meher@gmail.com

Abstract. Let \mathcal{G}_ϵ (resp. \mathcal{W}_ϵ) with $\epsilon = 0$ or $\frac{1}{2}$ be the complete spectrum-generating superalgebra (resp. the centerless super Virasoro algebra). In this paper, the super-skewsymmetric super-biderivations on \mathcal{G}_ϵ and \mathcal{W}_ϵ are completely determined. In particular, we show that every super-skewsymmetric super-biderivation φ (resp. ϕ) of \mathcal{G}_ϵ (resp. \mathcal{W}_ϵ) is inner. Based on the results of super-biderivations, we shall give the certain forms of all linear super-commuting maps on \mathcal{G}_ϵ and \mathcal{W}_ϵ .

Keywords: Complete spectrum-generating superalgebra, Centerless super Virasoro algebra, Super-biderivation, Super-skewsymmetric, Linear super-commuting map.

AMS Subject Classification 2010: 17B05, 17B10, 17B40, 17B50.

1 Introduction

Let (L, \circ) be an algebra (not necessarily be an associative algebra), where L is a vector space and \circ is a binary operation from $L \times L$ to L defined by

$$\circ : (x, y) \mapsto x \circ y$$

for all $x, y \in L$. A linear map $\phi : L \rightarrow L$ is called a derivation if it satisfies

$$\phi(x \circ y) = \phi(x) \circ y + x \circ \phi(y)$$

for all $x, y \in L$. Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra, then we denote by $x \circ y = [x, y]$ for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$. In this case, for $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, it is easy to see that $\sigma_x : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}, y \mapsto ad_x(y) = [x, y]$ for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$, is a

*Corresponding author

Received: 06 January 2025/ Revised: 12 August 2025/ Accepted: 08 September 2025

DOI: [10.22124/JART.2025.29499.1755](https://doi.org/10.22124/JART.2025.29499.1755)

derivation of \mathfrak{g} , which is called an inner derivation. Denote by $Der(\mathfrak{g})$ and by $Inn(\mathfrak{g})$ the space of derivations and the space of inner derivations of \mathfrak{g} , respectively.

Let \mathcal{A} be an associative algebra (or ring). A map $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is called a biderivation of \mathcal{A} if it is a derivation concerning both components, that is,

$$\varphi(ab, c) = a\varphi(b, c) + \varphi(a, c)b \quad (1)$$

and

$$\varphi(a, bc) = \varphi(a, b)c + b\varphi(a, c) \quad (2)$$

for all $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$. The problems of biderivations and their generalizations have been extensively studied in [3, 9, 11, 29, 34]. The concept of biderivation was transferred from associative algebras to Lie algebras in [15, 29].

For a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , a bilinear map $\varphi : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is called a biderivation of \mathfrak{g} if it is a derivation concerning both components, in other words, both linear maps ϕ_x and ψ_z from \mathfrak{g} into itself given by $\phi_x = \varphi(x, \cdot)$ and $\psi_z = \varphi(\cdot, z)$ are derivations of \mathfrak{g} , namely,

$$\varphi(x, [y, z]) = [\varphi(x, y), z] + [y, \varphi(x, z)], \quad (3)$$

and

$$\varphi([x, y], z) = [x, \varphi(y, z)] + [\varphi(x, z), y], \quad (4)$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$. The biderivations of Lie algebras have been studied extensively, such as [6, 7, 27, 29]. It was showed that all biderivations on commutative prime rings are inner biderivations and the biderivations on a perfect and centerless Lie algebra are inner biderivations in [4, 5]. Some biderivations of specific examples of Lie algebras have been presented by many authors in [8, 15, 21, 26, 28, 29].

For a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, it is easy to verify that the bilinear map $\varphi : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ given by $\varphi(x, y) = \lambda[x, y]$ for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ is a biderivation of \mathfrak{g} , which is called an inner biderivation.

As a generalization of the biderivation of Lie algebra, the concept of super-biderivation of Lie superalgebra was introduced in [10] and [33], respectively. Lie superalgebras as a generalization of Lie algebras came from supersymmetry in mathematical physics. The theory of Lie superalgebras over a field of characteristic zero have seen a remarkable evolution, both in mathematics and physics (see [19, 20]).

Let S be a Lie superalgebra with \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading $S = S_{\bar{0}} + S_{\bar{1}}$, where $S_{\bar{0}}$ and $S_{\bar{1}}$ are even and odd parts of S , respectively. We call a bilinear map $\varphi : S \times S \rightarrow S$ a super-biderivation of S if for every $x_{\bar{0}} \in S_{\bar{0}}$ the maps

$$x \mapsto \varphi(x_{\bar{0}}, x) \quad \text{and} \quad x \mapsto \varphi(x, x_{\bar{0}}),$$

are even superderivations, and for every $x_{\bar{1}} \in S_{\bar{1}}$ the maps

$$x \mapsto \varphi(x_{\bar{1}}, x) \quad \text{and} \quad x \mapsto \varphi(x, x_{\bar{1}})^{\sigma},$$

are even superderivations, where σ is defined by

$$(x_{\bar{0}} + x_{\bar{1}})^{\sigma} = x_{\bar{0}} - x_{\bar{1}}, \quad x_{\bar{0}} \in S_{\bar{0}}, \quad x_{\bar{1}} \in S_{\bar{1}}.$$

One can easily check that this is equivalent to

$$\varphi([x, y], z) = [x, \varphi(y, z)] + (-1)^{|y||z|} [\varphi(x, z), y], \quad (5)$$

and

$$\varphi(x, [y, z]) = [\varphi(x, y), z] + (-1)^{|x||y|} [y, \varphi(x, z)], \quad (6)$$

for all $x, y, z \in S$. Here, and in what follows, we use the notation $|x|$ ($\bar{0}$ or $\bar{1}$) to denote the \mathbb{Z}_2 -degree of a homogeneous element $x \in S$, and we always assume that x is homogeneous if $|x|$ appears in an expression. The map φ_λ with $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$\varphi_\lambda(x, y) = \lambda [x, y] \quad \text{for all } (x, y) \in S \times S,$$

is a super-biderivation of S obviously. We call φ_λ an inner super-biderivation of S .

The skew-symmetric biderivations on the conformal Galilei algebra, the Lie algebra $\mathcal{W}(a, b)$, Schrodinger-Virasoro Lie algebra and the Lie algebra \mathfrak{gca} have been studied in [1, 7, 15, 30], respectively. In [2, 18, 24, 33] the authors proved that each super-skewsymmetric super-biderivation on the simple modular Lie superalgebras of Witt type and special type, the $N = 1$ super Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra, the super-Virasoro algebra and the twisted $N = 1$ Schrödinger-Neveu-Schwarz algebra is inner. In [16] and [17] the authors characterized the super-skewsymmetric super-biderivations of the $2d$ supersymmetric Galilean conformal algebra and the super Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra, respectively.

In this paper, we will study the super-skewsymmetric superbiderivations on the complete spectrum-generating superalgebra \mathcal{G}_ϵ and on the centerless super Virasoro algebra \mathcal{W}_ϵ . We can find that every super-skewsymmetric super-biderivation of these Lie superalgebras is inner. As applications of these super-skewsymmetric super-biderivations, we give the form of each linear super-commuting maps on \mathcal{G}_ϵ and \mathcal{W}_ϵ .

Now we present the definition of complete spectrum-generating superalgebra \mathcal{G}_ϵ in [12, 25]. Let $\Gamma = \epsilon + \mathbb{Z}$, with $\epsilon = 0$ or $\frac{1}{2}$. For $\epsilon \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}\}$, the complete spectrum-generating superalgebra is an infinite-dimensional Lie superalgebra

$$\mathcal{G}_\epsilon = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} L_m \oplus \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} I_m \oplus \bigoplus_{r \in \Gamma} G_r \oplus \bigoplus_{r \in \Gamma} J_r$$

which satisfies the following super-brackets:

$$\begin{aligned} [L_m, L_n] &= (m - n) L_{m+n}, & [L_m, I_n] &= -n I_{m+n}, \\ [L_m, G_r] &= \left(\frac{m}{2} - r\right) G_{r+m}, & [L_m, J_r] &= -\left(\frac{m}{2} + r\right) J_{r+m}, \\ [I_m, G_r] &= m J_{r+m}, & [G_r, G_s] &= 2L_{r+s}, \\ [G_r, J_s] &= I_{r+s}, & [I_m, I_n] &= [I_m, J_r] = [J_r, J_s] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r, s \in \Gamma$. Obviously, \mathcal{G}_ϵ is \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded: $\mathcal{G}_\epsilon = \mathcal{G}_{\epsilon\bar{0}} \oplus \mathcal{G}_{\epsilon\bar{1}}$, with

$$\mathcal{G}_{\epsilon\bar{0}} = \text{span} \{L_m, I_m \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \quad (7)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{\epsilon\bar{1}} = \text{span} \{G_r, J_r \mid r \in \Gamma\}. \quad (8)$$

Then, based on the results of super-biderivations of the Lie superalgebras, we shall investigate the form of all linear super-commuting maps on the Lie superalgebras. The definition of linear commuting map on associative algebras (or Lie algebras) has been introduced in [15, 30, 33]. As a generalization of the concept of commuting maps on Lie algebras, one can easily give the concept of super-commuting maps on Lie superalgebras [10, 31–33]. For a Lie superalgebra S , a map $\psi : S \rightarrow S$ is called a super-commuting if it preserves the \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading of S and

$$[\psi(x), x] = 0 \text{ for all } (x, y) \in S. \quad (9)$$

A super-commuting map ψ on S is called standard if it maps the even part $S_{\bar{0}}$ of S to the center of S , and maps the odd part $S_{\bar{1}}$ of S to zero. All super-commuting maps of other forms are said to be non-standard. It was shown in [32, 33] that all linear super-commuting maps on the super-Galilean conformal algebras and the super-Virasoro algebras are standard. In [31], the authors showed that all linear super-commuting maps on the super-BMS₃ are non-standard.

Recall that a Lie superalgebra S is perfect if $[S, S] = S$. Note that the complete spectrum-generating superalgebra \mathcal{G}_ϵ is perfect which can be easily checked by above definition. The centre of this Lie superalgebra is

$$Z(\mathcal{G}_\epsilon) = \text{span}\{I_0\}.$$

Now, we outline our main results in this paper. In Section 2, we recall some general results on super-biderivations of Lie superalgebras. In Section 3, we characterize the super-skewsymmetric super-biderivations of the complete spectrum-generating superalgebra \mathcal{G}_ϵ . In Section 4, we investigate the super-skewsymmetric super-biderivations of the centerless super Virasoro algebra \mathcal{W}_ϵ . Based on these results of super-biderivations, finally, in Section 5, we study linear super-commuting maps on \mathcal{G}_ϵ and \mathcal{W}_ϵ .

Throughout this paper, we denote by \mathbb{C} , \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{Z}^* the sets of complex numbers, integers and nonzero integers, respectively.

2 Basic results on super-biderivations of Lie superalgebras

Let S be a Lie superalgebra with \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading $S = S_{\bar{0}} + S_{\bar{1}}$, where $S_{\bar{0}}$ and $S_{\bar{1}}$ are even and odd parts of S , respectively. A bilinear map $\varphi : S \times S \rightarrow S$ is called super-skewsymmetric (or super-antisymmetric) if

$$\varphi(x, y) = -(-1)^{|x||y|}\varphi(y, x) \quad (10)$$

for all $x, y \in S$. In order to avoid lengthy notations, we set

$$F(x, y, u, v) = (-1)^{|u||y|} ([\varphi(x, y), [u, v]] - [[x, y], \varphi(u, v)]) \quad (11)$$

for all $x, y \in S$.

The following result, which will be used later in our paper, is cited from Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 in [33].

Lemma 1. *Let φ be a super-biderivation on S . Then*

$$F(x, y, u, v) = (-1)^{|y||v|} F(x, v, u, y), \quad x, y, u, v \in S.$$

Lemma 2. *Let φ be a super-skewsymmetric super-biderivation on S .*

- (1) $F(x, y, u, v) = 0$ for all $x, y, u, v \in S$.
- (2) For $x, y \in S$, if $|x| + |y| = \bar{0}$, then $[\varphi(x, y), [x, y]] = 0$.
- (3) Suppose S is perfect. For $x, y \in S$, if $[x, y] = 0$, then $\varphi(x, y) \in Z(S)$.

Remark 1. *Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra with center $Z(\mathfrak{g})$. A bilinear map $\varphi : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is called skewsymmetric (or antisymmetric) if*

$$\varphi(x, y) = -\varphi(y, x) \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Let φ be a skewsymmetric biderivation on \mathfrak{g} . As direct corollaries of Lemmas 1 and 2, we have

- (1) $[\varphi(x, y), [u, v]] = [[x, y], \varphi(u, v)]$ for all $x, y, u, v \in \mathfrak{g}$. In particular,

$$[\varphi(x, y), [x, y]] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

- (2) Suppose \mathfrak{g} is perfect. For $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$, if $[x, y] = 0$, then $\varphi(x, y) \in Z(\mathfrak{g})$.

Similar statements with \mathfrak{g} being some special Lie algebras were given in [29, 30] but with a gap (without the assumption of skewsymmetry of φ), which was first filled in [6].

Remark 2. *The superalgebra \mathcal{G}_ϵ was independently introduced as a supersymmetric extension of the Beltrami algebra [14]. The superalgebra \mathcal{G}_ϵ was studied by Marcel, Roger and Ovsienko in their investigation of the generalized Sturm-Liouville operators [22, 23]. Recently, \mathcal{G}_ϵ also appeared in the Guha's interesting work [13] on the integrable geodesic flows on the superextension of the Bott-Virasoro group.*

3 Super-skewsymmetric super-biderivations of the complete spectrum-generating superalgebra \mathcal{G}_ϵ

In this section, we present a description of the super-skewsymmetric super-biderivations of the complete spectrum-generating superalgebra \mathcal{G}_ϵ .

Definition 1. *For $b_{m,n,0}, d_{m,n,0}, q_{r,s,0}, v_{r,s,0}, \kappa_{m,n,1}, \kappa_{r,s,2} \in \mathbb{C}$, $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r, s \in \Gamma$, the bilinear map $\varphi_0 : \mathcal{G}_\epsilon \times \mathcal{G}_\epsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{C}I_0$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_0(L_m, L_n) &= b_{m,n,0}I_0, \\ \varphi_0(L_m, I_n) &= d_{m,n,0}I_0, \\ \varphi_0(I_m, I_n) &= \kappa_{m,n,1}I_0, \\ \varphi_0(G_r, G_s) &= q_{r,s,0}I_0, \\ \varphi_0(G_r, J_s) &= v_{r,s,0}I_0, \\ \varphi_0(J_r, J_s) &= \kappa_{r,s,2}I_0, \\ \varphi_0(L_m, G_r) &= \varphi_0(L_m, J_r) = \varphi_0(I_m, G_r) = \varphi_0(I_m, J_r) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

Note that φ_0 is super-skewsymmetric. Now we present our main result in this section.

Theorem 1. *Every super-skewsymmetric super-biderivation φ of \mathcal{G}_ϵ is inner.*

Proof. First, we claim that $|\varphi(x, y)| = |x| + |y|$ for any homogeneous elements $x, y \in \mathcal{G}_\epsilon$. This fact will remarkably simplify our discussion. If $|x| = \bar{0}$ and y is homogeneous, from the original definition of super-biderivations above, we know that the map $z \mapsto \varphi(x, z)$ is an even superderivation, and hence if z is homogeneous then $\varphi(x, z)$ is also homogeneous and $|\varphi(x, z)| = |z|$. In particular, by taking $z = y$, we have $|\varphi(x, y)| = |y|$. Namely, $|\varphi(x, y)| = |x| + |y|$ since $|x| = \bar{0}$. Similarly, if $|x| = \bar{1}$ and y is homogeneous, then the map $z \mapsto \varphi(x, z)$ is an odd superderivation, and hence if z is homogeneous then $\varphi(x, z)$ is also homogeneous, and $|\varphi(x, z)| = |z| + \bar{1}$. In particular, by taking $z = y$, we have $|\varphi(x, y)| = |y| + \bar{1}$. Namely, $|\varphi(x, y)| = |x| + |y|$ since $|x| = \bar{1}$.

Next, we give the proof of the theorem by the following several claims.

Claim 1: There exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\varphi(L_m, L_n) = \lambda [L_m, L_n] + \varphi_0(L_m, L_n) \text{ for all } m, n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Note that $|\varphi(L_m, L_n)| = |L_m| + |L_n| = \bar{0}$. For any $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we can suppose that

$$\varphi(L_m, L_n) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{m,n,\alpha} L_\alpha + \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} b_{m,n,\beta} I_\beta,$$

where $a_{m,n,\alpha}, b_{m,n,\beta} \in \mathbb{C}$.

If $m = n$, then $[L_m, L_n] = 0$, by Lemma 2(3), $\varphi(L_m, L_n) \in Z(\mathcal{G}_\epsilon) = \mathbb{C}I_0$. That is, this claim holds.

Next, we assume that $m \neq n$. By Lemma 2(2), we have

$$[\varphi(L_m, L_n), [L_m, L_n]] = 0,$$

then, we get

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}} (m + n - \alpha) a_{m,n,\alpha} L_{m+n+\alpha} - \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} \beta b_{m,n,\beta} I_{m+n+\beta} = 0,$$

it follows that

$$(m + n - \alpha) a_{m,n,\alpha} = 0; \quad \beta b_{m,n,\beta} = 0.$$

So we have $a_{m,n,\alpha} = 0$ if $\alpha \neq m + n$; $b_{m,n,\beta} = 0$ if $\beta \neq 0$. We conclude that

$$\varphi(L_m, L_n) = a_{m,n,m+n} L_{m+n} + b_{m,n,0} I_0.$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 2(1), we get

$$[\varphi(L_m, L_n), [L_1, L_0]] = [[L_m, L_n], \varphi(L_1, L_0)],$$

and then we have

$$(m + n - 1) a_{m,n,m+n} L_{m+n+1} = (m - n)(m + n - 1) a_{1,0,1} L_{m+n+1},$$

then we get $a_{m,n,m+n} = (m-n)a_{1,0,1}$ if $m+n \neq 1$.

Using

$$[\varphi(L_m, L_n), [L_2, L_0]] = [[L_m, L_n], \varphi(L_2, L_0)],$$

we get the identity

$$(m+n-2)a_{m,n,m+n}L_{m+n+2} = (m-n)(m+n-2)a_{1,0,1}L_{m+n+2},$$

then we get $a_{m,n,m+n} = (m-n)a_{1,0,1}$ if $m+n \neq 2$. Thus, for any $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $a_{m,n,m+n} = (m-n)a_{1,0,1}$. Now, taking $\lambda = a_{1,0,1}$, we have

$$\varphi(L_m, L_n) = \lambda [L_m, L_n] + b_{m,n,0}I_0 \quad \text{for all } m, n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Because that $\varphi_0(L_m, L_n) = b_{m,n,0}I_0$, then we have

$$\varphi(L_m, L_n) = \lambda [L_m, L_n] + \varphi_0(L_m, L_n) \quad \text{for all } m, n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Hence, this claim holds.

Claim 2: $\varphi(L_m, I_n) = (1 - \delta_{m+n,0})\lambda [L_m, I_n] + \varphi_0(L_m, I_n)$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Note that $|\varphi(L_m, I_n)| = |L_m| + |I_n| = \bar{0}$. For any $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we can suppose that

$$\varphi(L_m, I_n) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{m,n,\alpha} L_\alpha + \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} d_{m,n,\beta} I_\beta,$$

where $c_{m,n,\alpha}, d_{m,n,\beta} \in \mathbb{C}$.

By Lemma 2(1), we have

$$[\varphi(L_m, I_n), [L_1, L_0]] = [[L_m, I_n], \varphi(L_1, L_0)],$$

we have that

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}} (\alpha - 1)c_{m,n,\alpha} L_{\alpha+1} + \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} \beta d_{m,n,\beta} I_{\beta+1} = n(m+n)a_{1,0,1}I_{m+n+1},$$

then we get $c_{m,n,\alpha} = 0$ if $\alpha \neq 1$; $d_{m,n,\beta} = 0$ if $\beta \neq m+n$ and $\beta \neq 0$; $d_{m,n,m+n} = -na_{1,0,1}$ if $\beta = m+n \neq 0$.

From

$$[\varphi(L_m, I_n), [L_2, L_0]] = [[L_m, I_n], \varphi(L_2, L_0)],$$

we have that

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}} (\alpha - 2)c_{m,n,\alpha} L_{\alpha+2} + \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} \beta d_{m,n,\beta} I_{\beta+2} = n(m+n)a_{1,0,1}I_{m+n+2},$$

then, $c_{m,n,\alpha} = 0$ if $\alpha \neq 2$; $d_{m,n,\beta} = 0$ if $\beta \neq m+n$ and $\beta \neq 0$; $d_{m,n,m+n} = -na_{1,0,1}$ if $\beta = m+n \neq 0$.

Hence, we obtain that $c_{m,n,\alpha} = 0$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(L_m, I_n) &= d_{m,n,m+n}I_{m+n} + d_{m,n,0}I_0 \quad \text{if } m+n \neq 0, \\ \varphi(L_m, I_n) &= d_{m,n,0}I_0 \quad \text{if } m+n = 0,\end{aligned}$$

then we get that

$$\varphi(L_m, I_n) = (1 - \delta_{m+n,0})\lambda[L_m, I_n] + d_{m,n,0}I_0 \quad \text{for all } m, n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Because that $\varphi_0(L_m, I_n) = d_{m,n,0}I_0$, then we have

$$\varphi(L_m, I_n) = (1 - \delta_{m+n,0})\lambda[L_m, I_n] + \varphi_0(L_m, I_n) \quad \text{for all } m, n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Hence, this claim holds.

Claim 3: $\varphi(L_m, G_r) = \lambda[L_m, G_r]$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r \in \Gamma$.

Note that $|\varphi(L_m, G_r)| = |L_m| + |G_r| = \bar{1}$. For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r \in \Gamma$, we can suppose that

$$\varphi(L_m, G_r) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} g_{m,r,\alpha}G_\alpha + \sum_{\beta \in \Gamma} h_{m,r,\beta}J_\beta,$$

where $g_{m,r,\alpha}, h_{m,r,\beta} \in \mathbb{C}$.

For the case $\epsilon = 0$, by Lemma 2(1), we have

$$[\varphi(L_m, G_r), [L_2, L_0]] = [[L_m, G_r], \varphi(L_2, L_0)],$$

we have that

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}} (\alpha - 1)g_{m,r,\alpha}G_{\alpha+2} + \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} (\beta + 1)h_{m,r,\beta}J_{\beta+2} = -(\frac{m}{2} - r)(m + r - 1)a_{1,0,1}G_{m+r+2},$$

then we get $h_{m,r,\beta} = 0$ if $\beta \neq -1$; $g_{m,r,\alpha} = 0$ if $\alpha \neq m + r$ and $\alpha \neq 1$; $g_{m,r,m+r} = -(\frac{m}{2} - r)a_{1,0,1}$ if $\alpha = m + r \neq 1$.

From

$$[\varphi(L_m, G_r), [L_4, L_0]] = [[L_m, G_r], \varphi(L_4, L_0)],$$

we have that

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}} (\alpha - 2)g_{m,r,\alpha}G_{\alpha+4} + \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} (\beta + 2)h_{m,r,\beta}J_{\beta+4} = -(\frac{m}{2} - r)(m + r - 2)a_{1,0,1}G_{m+r+4},$$

then, $h_{m,r,\beta} = 0$ if $\beta \neq -2$; $g_{m,r,\alpha} = 0$ if $\alpha \neq m + r$ and $\alpha \neq 2$; $g_{m,r,m+r} = -(\frac{m}{2} - r)a_{1,0,1}$ if $\alpha = m + r \neq 2$.

Hence, we obtain that $h_{m,r,\beta} = 0$ for any $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $g_{m,r,m+r} = -(\frac{m}{2} - r)a_{1,0,1}$ if $m + r = \alpha$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\varphi(L_m, G_r) = g_{m,r,m+r}G_{m+r}$ for all $m, r \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For the case $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$, by Lemma 2(1), we have

$$[\varphi(L_m, G_r), [L_1, L_0]] = [[L_m, G_r], \varphi(L_1, L_0)],$$

we have that

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}} (\alpha - \frac{1}{2}) g_{m,r,\alpha} G_{\alpha+1} + \sum_{\beta \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}} (\beta + \frac{1}{2}) h_{m,r,\beta} J_{\beta+1} = -(\frac{m}{2} - r)(m + r - \frac{1}{2}) a_{1,0,1} G_{m+r+1},$$

then we get $h_{m,r,\beta} = 0$ if $\beta \neq -\frac{1}{2}$; $g_{m,r,\alpha} = 0$ if $\alpha \neq m + r$ and $\alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}$; $g_{m,r,m+r} = -(\frac{m}{2} - r) a_{1,0,1}$ if $\alpha = m + r \neq \frac{1}{2}$.

From

$$[\varphi(L_m, G_r), [L_3, L_0]] = [[L_m, G_r], \varphi(L_3, L_0)],$$

we have that

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}} (\alpha - \frac{3}{2}) g_{m,r,\alpha} G_{\alpha+3} + \sum_{\beta \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}} (\beta + \frac{3}{2}) h_{m,r,\beta} J_{\beta+3} = -(\frac{m}{2} - r)(m + r - \frac{3}{2}) a_{1,0,1} G_{m+r+3},$$

then, $h_{m,r,\beta} = 0$ if $\beta \neq -\frac{3}{2}$; $g_{m,r,\alpha} = 0$ if $\alpha \neq m + r$ and $\alpha \neq \frac{3}{2}$; $g_{m,r,m+r} = -(\frac{m}{2} - r) a_{1,0,1}$ if $\alpha = m + r \neq \frac{3}{2}$.

Hence, we obtain that $h_{m,r,\beta} = 0$ for any $\beta \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$ and $g_{m,r,m+r} = -(\frac{m}{2} - r) a_{1,0,1}$ if $m + r = \alpha$ with $\alpha \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$, then $\varphi(L_m, G_r) = g_{m,r,m+r} G_{m+r}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$. Then we get that

$$\varphi(L_m, G_r) = \lambda [L_m, G_r] \quad \text{for all } m \in \mathbb{Z}, r \in \Gamma.$$

Hence, this claim holds.

Claim 4: $\varphi(L_m, J_r) = \lambda [L_m, J_r]$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r \in \Gamma$.

Note that $|\varphi(L_m, J_r)| = |L_m| + |J_r| = \bar{1}$. For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r \in \Gamma$, we can suppose that

$$\varphi(L_m, J_r) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} k_{m,r,\alpha} G_\alpha + \sum_{\beta \in \Gamma} l_{m,r,\beta} J_\beta,$$

where $k_{m,r,\alpha}, l_{m,r,\beta} \in \mathbb{C}$.

For the case $\epsilon = 0$, by Lemma 2(1), we have

$$[\varphi(L_m, J_r), [L_2, L_0]] = [[L_m, J_r], \varphi(L_2, L_0)],$$

we have that

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}} (\alpha - 1) k_{m,r,\alpha} G_{\alpha+2} + \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} (\beta + 1) l_{m,r,\beta} J_{\beta+2} = (\frac{m}{2} + r)(m + r + 1) a_{1,0,1} J_{m+r+2},$$

then we get $k_{m,r,\alpha} = 0$ if $\alpha \neq 1$; $l_{m,r,\beta} = 0$ if $\beta \neq m + r$ and $\beta \neq -1$; $l_{m,r,m+r} = (\frac{m}{2} + r) a_{1,0,1}$ if $\beta = m + r \neq -1$.

From

$$[\varphi(L_m, J_r), [L_4, L_0]] = [[L_m, J_r], \varphi(L_4, L_0)],$$

we have that

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}} (\alpha - 2) k_{m,r,\alpha} G_{\alpha+4} + \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} (\beta + 2) l_{m,r,\beta} J_{\beta+4} = (\frac{m}{2} + r)(m + r + 2) a_{1,0,1} J_{m+r+4},$$

then, $k_{m,r,\alpha} = 0$ if $\alpha \neq 2$; $l_{m,r,\beta} = 0$ if $\beta \neq m+r$ and $\beta \neq -2$; $l_{m,r,m+r} = (\frac{m}{2} + r)a_{1,0,1}$ if $\beta = m+r \neq -2$.

Hence, we obtain that $k_{m,r,\alpha} = 0$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $l_{m,r,m+r} = (\frac{m}{2} + r)a_{1,0,1}$ if $m+r = \beta$ with $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\varphi(L_m, J_r) = l_{m,r,m+r}J_{m+r}$ for all $m, r \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For the case $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$, by Lemma 2(1), we have

$$[\varphi(L_m, J_r), [L_1, L_0]] = [[L_m, J_r], \varphi(L_1, L_0)],$$

we have that

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}} (\alpha - \frac{1}{2})k_{m,r,\alpha}G_{\alpha+1} + \sum_{\beta \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}} (\beta + \frac{1}{2})l_{m,r,\beta}J_{\beta+1} = (\frac{m}{2} + r)(m + r + \frac{1}{2})a_{1,0,1}J_{m+r+1},$$

then we get $k_{m,r,\alpha} = 0$ if $\alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}$; $l_{m,r,\beta} = 0$ if $\beta \neq m+r$ and $\beta \neq -\frac{1}{2}$; $l_{m,r,m+r} = (\frac{m}{2} + r)a_{1,0,1}$ if $\alpha = m+r \neq -\frac{1}{2}$.

From

$$[\varphi(L_m, J_r), [L_3, L_0]] = [[L_m, J_r], \varphi(L_3, L_0)],$$

we have that

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}} (\alpha - \frac{3}{2})k_{m,r,\alpha}G_{\alpha+3} + \sum_{\beta \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}} (\beta + \frac{3}{2})l_{m,r,\beta}J_{\beta+3} = (\frac{m}{2} + r)(m + r + \frac{3}{2})a_{1,0,1}J_{m+r+3},$$

then we get $k_{m,r,\alpha} = 0$ if $\alpha \neq \frac{3}{2}$; $l_{m,r,\beta} = 0$ if $\beta \neq m+r$ and $\beta \neq -\frac{3}{2}$; $l_{m,r,m+r} = (\frac{m}{2} + r)a_{1,0,1}$ if $\beta = m+r \neq -\frac{3}{2}$.

Hence, we obtain that $k_{m,r,\alpha} = 0$ for any $\alpha \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$ and $l_{m,r,m+r} = (\frac{m}{2} + r)a_{1,0,1}$ if $m+r = \beta$ with $\beta \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$, then $\varphi(L_m, J_r) = l_{m,r,m+r}J_{m+r}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$. Then we get that

$$\varphi(L_m, J_r) = \lambda [L_m, J_r] \quad \text{for all } m \in \mathbb{Z}, r \in \Gamma.$$

Hence, this claim holds.

Claim 5:

$$\varphi(G_r, G_s) = \lambda [G_r, G_s] + \varphi_0(G_r, G_s) \quad \text{for all } r, s \in \Gamma.$$

Note that $|\varphi(G_r, G_s)| = |G_r| + |G_s| = \bar{0}$. For any $r, s \in \Gamma$, we can suppose that

$$\varphi(G_r, G_s) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}} p_{r,s,\alpha}L_\alpha + \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} q_{r,s,\beta}I_\beta,$$

where $p_{r,s,\alpha}, q_{r,s,\beta} \in \mathbb{C}$.

For the case $r + s \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$, by Lemma 2(1), we have

$$[\varphi(G_r, G_s), [L_1, L_0]] = [[G_r, G_s], \varphi(L_1, L_0)],$$

we have that

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}} (\alpha - 1)p_{r,s,\alpha}L_{\alpha+1} + \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} \beta q_{r,s,\beta}I_{\beta+1} = -2(r + s - 1)a_{1,0,1}L_{r+s+1},$$

then we get $q_{r,s,\beta} = 0$ if $\beta \neq 0$; $p_{r,s,\alpha} = 0$ if $\alpha \neq 1$.

From

$$[\varphi(G_r, G_s), [L_2, L_0]] = [[G_r, G_s], \varphi(L_2, L_0)],$$

we have that

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}} (\alpha - 2) p_{r,s,\alpha} L_{\alpha+2} + \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} \beta q_{r,s,\beta} I_{\beta+2} = -2(r+s-1) a_{1,0,1} L_{r+s+2},$$

then we get $q_{r,s,\beta} = 0$ if $\beta \neq 0$; $p_{r,s,\alpha} = 0$ if $\alpha \neq 2$.

Hence, we obtain that $q_{r,s,\beta} = 0$ for any $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ and $p_{r,s,\alpha} = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\varphi(G_r, G_s) = q_{r,s,0} I_0$ for all $r, s \in \epsilon + \mathbb{Z}$ with $r+s \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$. Then we get that

$$\varphi(G_r, G_s) = q_{r,s,0} I_0 \text{ for all } r, s \in \epsilon + \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } r+s \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}.$$

Because that $\varphi_0(G_r, G_s) = q_{r,s,0} I_0$, then we have

$$\varphi(G_r, G_s) = \varphi_0(G_r, G_s) \text{ for all } r, s \in \epsilon + \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } r+s \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}.$$

For the case $r+s \in \mathbb{Z}$, by Lemma 2(1), we have

$$[\varphi(G_r, G_s), [L_1, L_0]] = [[G_r, G_s], \varphi(L_1, L_0)],$$

we have that

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}} (\alpha - 1) p_{r,s,\alpha} L_{\alpha+1} + \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} \beta q_{r,s,\beta} I_{\beta+1} = -2(r+s-1) a_{1,0,1} L_{r+s+1},$$

then we get $q_{r,s,\beta} = 0$ if $\beta \neq 0$; $p_{r,s,\alpha} = 0$ if $\alpha \neq r+s$ and $\alpha \neq 1$; $p_{r,s,r+s} = -2a_{1,0,1}$ if $\alpha = r+s \neq 1$.

From

$$[\varphi(G_r, G_s), [L_2, L_0]] = [[G_r, G_s], \varphi(L_2, L_0)],$$

we have that

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}} (\alpha - 2) p_{r,s,\alpha} L_{\alpha+2} + \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} \beta q_{r,s,\beta} I_{\beta+2} = -2(r+s-1) a_{1,0,1} L_{r+s+2},$$

then we get $q_{r,s,\beta} = 0$ if $\beta \neq 0$; $p_{r,s,\alpha} = 0$ if $\alpha \neq r+s$ and $\alpha \neq 2$; $p_{r,s,r+s} = -2a_{1,0,1}$ if $\alpha = r+s \neq 2$.

Hence, we obtain that $q_{r,s,\beta} = 0$ for any $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ and $p_{r,s,r+s} = -2a_{1,0,1}$ if $r+s = \alpha$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\varphi(G_r, G_s) = p_{r,s,r+s} L_{r+s} + q_{r,s,0} I_0$ for all $r, s \in \epsilon + \mathbb{Z}$ with $r+s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we get that

$$\varphi(G_r, G_s) = \lambda [G_r, G_s] + q_{r,s,0} I_0 \text{ for all } r, s \in \epsilon + \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } r+s \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Because that $\varphi_0(G_r, G_s) = q_{r,s,0} I_0$, then we have

$$\varphi(G_r, G_s) = \lambda [G_r, G_s] + \varphi_0(G_r, G_s) \text{ for all } r, s \in \epsilon + \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } r+s \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Hence, we have

$$\varphi(G_r, G_s) = \lambda [G_r, G_s] + \varphi_0(G_r, G_s) \quad \text{for all } r, s \in \Gamma.$$

The claim holds.

Claim 6:

$$\varphi(G_r, J_s) = (1 - \delta_{r+s,0})\lambda [G_r, J_s] + \varphi_0(G_r, J_s) \quad \text{for all } r, s \in \Gamma.$$

Note that $|\varphi(G_r, J_s)| = |G_r| + |J_s| = \bar{0}$. For any $r, s \in \Gamma$, we can suppose that

$$\varphi(G_r, J_s) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}} u_{r,s,\alpha} L_\alpha + \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} v_{r,s,\beta} I_\beta,$$

where $u_{r,s,\alpha}, v_{r,s,\beta} \in \mathbb{C}$.

For the case $r + s \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$, by Lemma 2(1), we have

$$[\varphi(G_r, J_s), [L_1, L_0]] = [[G_r, J_s], \varphi(L_1, L_0)],$$

we have that

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}} (\alpha - 1) u_{r,s,\alpha} L_{\alpha+1} + \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} \beta v_{r,s,\beta} I_{\beta+1} = -(r + s) a_{1,0,1} I_{r+s+1},$$

then we get $u_{r,s,\alpha} = 0$ if $\alpha \neq 1$; $v_{r,s,\beta} = 0$ if $\beta \neq 0$.

From

$$[\varphi(G_r, J_s), [L_2, L_0]] = [[G_r, J_s], \varphi(L_2, L_0)],$$

we have that

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}} (\alpha - 2) u_{r,s,\alpha} L_{\alpha+2} + \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} \beta v_{r,s,\beta} I_{\beta+2} = -(r + s) a_{1,0,1} I_{r+s+2},$$

then we get $u_{r,s,\alpha} = 0$ if $\alpha \neq 2$; $v_{r,s,\beta} = 0$ if $\beta \neq 0$.

Hence, we obtain that $u_{r,s,\alpha} = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $v_{r,s,\beta} = 0$ for any $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^*$, then $\varphi(G_r, J_s) = v_{r,s,0} I_0$ for all $r, s \in \Gamma$ with $r + s \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$. Then we get that

$$\varphi(G_r, J_s) = v_{r,s,0} I_0 \quad \text{for all } r, s \in \Gamma \quad \text{with } r + s \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}.$$

Because that $\varphi_0(G_r, J_s) = v_{r,s,0} I_0$, then we have

$$\varphi(G_r, J_s) = \varphi_0(G_r, J_s) \quad \text{for all } r, s \in \Gamma \quad \text{with } r + s \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}.$$

For the case $r + s \in \mathbb{Z}$, by Lemma 2(1), we have

$$[\varphi(G_r, J_s), [L_1, L_0]] = [[G_r, J_s], \varphi(L_1, L_0)],$$

we have that

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}} (\alpha - 1) u_{r,s,\alpha} L_{\alpha+1} + \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} \beta v_{r,s,\beta} I_{\beta+1} = -(r + s) a_{1,0,1} I_{r+s+1},$$

then we get $u_{r,s,\alpha} = 0$ if $\alpha \neq 1$; $v_{r,s,\beta} = 0$ if $\beta \neq r+s$ and $\beta \neq 0$; $v_{r,s,r+s} = -a_{1,0,1}$ if $\beta = r+s \neq 0$.

From

$$[\varphi(G_r, J_s), [L_2, L_0]] = [[G_r, J_s], \varphi(L_2, L_0)],$$

we have that

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}} (\alpha - 2) u_{r,s,\alpha} L_{\alpha+2} + \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} \beta u_{r,s,\beta} I_{\beta+2} = -2(r+s-1) a_{1,0,1} I_{r+s+2},$$

then we get $u_{r,s,\alpha} = 0$ if $\alpha \neq 2$; $v_{r,s,\beta} = 0$ if $\beta \neq r+s$ and $\beta \neq 0$; $v_{r,s,r+s} = -a_{1,0,1}$ if $\beta = r+s \neq 0$.

Hence, we obtain that $u_{r,s,\alpha} = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $v_{r,s,r+s} = -a_{1,0,1}$ if $r+s = \beta$ with $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^*$, then $\varphi(G_r, J_s) = v_{r,s,r+s} I_{r+s} + v_{r,s,0} I_0$ if $r+s \in \mathbb{Z}^*$; $\varphi(G_r, J_s) = v_{r,s,0} I_0$ if $r+s = 0$. Then we get that

$$\varphi(G_r, J_s) = (1 - \delta_{r+s,0}) \lambda [G_r, J_s] + v_{r,s,0} I_0 \quad \text{for all } r, s \in \Gamma \text{ with } r+s \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Because that $\varphi_0(G_r, J_s) = v_{r,s,0} I_0$, then we have

$$\varphi(G_r, J_s) = (1 - \delta_{r+s,0}) \lambda [G_r, J_s] + \varphi_0(G_r, J_s) \quad \text{for all } r, s \in \Gamma \text{ with } r+s \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Hence, we have

$$\varphi(G_r, J_s) = (1 - \delta_{r+s,0}) \lambda [G_r, J_s] + \varphi_0(G_r, J_s) \quad \text{for all } r, s \in \Gamma.$$

The claim holds.

Claim 7: $\varphi(G_r, I_m) = \lambda [G_r, I_m]$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r \in \Gamma$.

Note that $|\varphi(G_r, I_m)| = |G_r| + |I_m| = \bar{1}$. For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r \in \Gamma$, we can suppose that

$$\varphi(G_r, I_m) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} t_{m,r,\alpha} G_\alpha + \sum_{\beta \in \Gamma} w_{m,r,\beta} J_\beta,$$

where $t_{m,r,\alpha}, w_{m,r,\beta} \in \mathbb{C}$.

For the case $\epsilon = 0$, by Lemma 2(1), we have

$$[\varphi(G_r, I_m), [L_2, L_0]] = [[G_r, I_m], \varphi(L_2, L_0)],$$

we have that

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}} (\alpha - 1) t_{m,r,\alpha} G_{\alpha+2} + \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} (\beta + 1) w_{m,r,\beta} J_{\beta+2} = m(m+r+1) a_{1,0,1} J_{m+r+2},$$

then we get $t_{m,r,\alpha} = 0$ if $\alpha \neq 1$; $w_{m,r,\beta} = 0$ if $\beta \neq m+r$ and $\beta \neq -1$; $w_{m,r,m+r} = m a_{1,0,1}$ if $\beta = m+r \neq -1$.

From

$$[\varphi(G_r, I_m), [L_4, L_0]] = [[G_r, I_m], \varphi(L_4, L_0)],$$

we have that

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}} (\alpha - 2) t_{m,r,\alpha} G_{\alpha+4} + \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}} (\beta + 2) w_{m,r,\beta} J_{\beta+4} = m(m+r+2) a_{1,0,1} J_{m+r+4},$$

then we get $t_{m,r,\alpha} = 0$ if $\alpha \neq 2$; $w_{m,r,\beta} = 0$ if $\beta \neq m+r$ and $\beta \neq -2$; $w_{m,r,m+r} = ma_{1,0,1}$ if $\beta = m+r \neq -2$.

Hence, we obtain that $t_{m,r,\alpha} = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $w_{m,r,m+r} = ma_{1,0,1}$ if $m+r = \alpha$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\varphi(G_r, I_m) = w_{m,r,m+r}G_{m+r}$ for all $m, r \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For the case $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$, by Lemma 2(1), we have

$$[\varphi(G_r, I_m), [L_1, L_0]] = [[G_r, I_m], \varphi(L_1, L_0)],$$

we have that

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}} (\alpha - \frac{1}{2}) t_{m,r,\alpha} G_{\alpha+1} + \sum_{\beta \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}} (\beta + \frac{1}{2}) w_{m,r,\beta} J_{\beta+1} = m(m+r + \frac{1}{2}) a_{1,0,1} J_{m+r+1},$$

then we get $t_{m,r,\alpha} = 0$ if $\alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}$; $w_{m,r,\beta} = 0$ if $\beta \neq m+r$ and $\beta \neq -\frac{1}{2}$; $w_{m,r,m+r} = ma_{1,0,1}$ if $\beta = m+r \neq -\frac{1}{2}$.

From

$$[\varphi(G_r, I_m), [L_3, L_0]] = [[G_r, I_m], \varphi(L_3, L_0)],$$

we have that

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}} (\alpha - \frac{3}{2}) t_{m,r,\alpha} G_{\alpha+3} + \sum_{\beta \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}} (\beta + \frac{3}{2}) w_{m,r,\beta} J_{\beta+3} = m(m+r + \frac{3}{2}) a_{1,0,1} J_{m+r+3},$$

then we get $t_{m,r,\alpha} = 0$ if $\alpha \neq \frac{3}{2}$; $w_{m,r,\beta} = 0$ if $\beta \neq m+r$ and $\beta \neq -\frac{3}{2}$; $w_{m,r,m+r} = ma_{1,0,1}$ if $\beta = m+r \neq -\frac{3}{2}$.

Hence, we obtain that $t_{m,r,\alpha} = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$ and $w_{m,r,m+r} = ma_{1,0,1}$ if $m+r = \alpha$ with $\alpha \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$, then $\varphi(G_r, I_m) = w_{m,r,m+r}G_{m+r}$ for all $m, r \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$. Then we get that

$$\varphi(G_r, I_m) = \lambda [G_r, I_m] \quad \text{for all } m \in \mathbb{Z}, r \in \Gamma.$$

The claim holds.

Claim 8: $\varphi(I_m, I_n) = \kappa_{m,n,1} I_0$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For fixed $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, since $[I_m, I_n] = 0$, by Lemma 2(1), $\varphi(I_m, I_n) \in Z([\mathcal{G}_\epsilon, \mathcal{G}_\epsilon])$. Since $[\mathcal{G}_\epsilon, \mathcal{G}_\epsilon] = \mathcal{G}_\epsilon$, then $\varphi(I_m, I_n) \in Z(\mathcal{G}_\epsilon) = \mathbb{C}I_0$. Then this claim holds.

Claim 9: $\varphi(I_m, J_r) = 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r \in \Gamma$.

For fixed $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r \in \Gamma$, since $[I_m, J_r] = 0$, by Lemma 2(1), $\varphi(I_m, J_r) \in Z([\mathcal{G}_\epsilon, \mathcal{G}_\epsilon])$. Since $[\mathcal{G}_\epsilon, \mathcal{G}_\epsilon] = \mathcal{G}_\epsilon$, then $\varphi(I_m, J_r) \in Z(\mathcal{G}_\epsilon) = \mathbb{C}I_0$. Meanwhile $|\varphi(I_m, J_r)| = |I_m| + |J_r| = \bar{1}$, that is, $\varphi(I_m, J_r) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \nu_{m,r,\alpha} G_\alpha + \sum_{\beta \in \Gamma} \nu_{m,r,\beta} J_\beta$, so $\varphi(I_m, J_r) = \mathbb{C}I_0 = 0$. Then this claim holds.

Claim 10: $\varphi(J_r, J_s) = \kappa_{r,s,2} I_0$ for all $r, s \in \Gamma$.

For fixed $r, s \in \Gamma$, since $[J_r, J_s] = 0$, by Lemma 2(1), $\varphi(J_r, J_s) \in Z([\mathcal{G}_\epsilon, \mathcal{G}_\epsilon])$. Since $[\mathcal{G}_\epsilon, \mathcal{G}_\epsilon] = \mathcal{G}_\epsilon$, then $\varphi(J_r, J_s) \in Z(\mathcal{G}_\epsilon) = \mathbb{C}I_0$. Then this claim holds.

Claim 11: There exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi : \mathcal{G}_\epsilon \times \mathcal{G}_\epsilon &\rightarrow \mathcal{G}_\epsilon \\
(L_m, L_n) &\mapsto \lambda [L_m, L_n] + \varphi_0(L_m, L_n), \\
(L_m, I_n) &\mapsto (1 - \delta_{m+n,0})\lambda [L_m, I_n] + \varphi_0(L_m, I_n), \\
(L_m, G_r) &\mapsto \lambda [L_m, G_r], \\
(L_m, J_r) &\mapsto \lambda [L_m, J_r], \\
(G_r, G_s) &\mapsto \lambda [G_r, G_s] + \varphi_0(G_r, G_s), \\
(G_r, J_s) &\mapsto (1 - \delta_{r+s,0})\lambda [G_r, J_s] + \varphi_0(G_r, J_s), \\
(G_r, I_m) &\mapsto \lambda [G_r, I_m], \\
(I_m, I_n) &\mapsto \varphi_0(I_m, I_n), \\
(I_m, J_r) &\mapsto 0, \\
(J_r, J_s) &\mapsto \varphi_0(J_r, J_s),
\end{aligned}$$

where $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r, s \in \Gamma$, and φ_0 is given by Definition 1.

It is straightforward by Claims 1-10.

According to Claim 1, we consider the case $\varphi(L_m, L_n) = \lambda [L_m, L_n] + \varphi_0(L_m, L_n)$. Replacing L_m, L_n by x, y and $b_{m,n,0}$ by the bilinear function $f : \mathcal{G}_\epsilon \times \mathcal{G}_\epsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $(x, y) \mapsto f(x, y)$, we note that

$$\varphi(x, y) = \lambda [x, y] + f(x, y)I_0,$$

which together with

$$\varphi([x, y], z) = [x, \varphi(y, z)] + (-1)^{|y||z|} [\varphi(x, z), y],$$

gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi([x, y], z) &= \lambda [[x, y], z] + f([x, y], z)I_0 \\
&= [x, \lambda [y, z] + f(y, z)I_0] + (-1)^{|y||z|} [\lambda [x, z] + f(x, z)I_0, y] \\
&= \lambda ([x, [y, z]] + (-1)^{|y||z|} [[x, z], y]) + f(y, z) [x, I_0] + (-1)^{|y||z|} f(x, z) [I_0, y] \\
&= \lambda [[x, y], z].
\end{aligned}$$

Then we claim $f([x, y], z) = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{G}_\epsilon$. Since the complete spectrum-generating superalgebra coincides with its derived subalgebra, one sees that f is exactly the zero function. Finally, we have that $\varphi(x, y) = \lambda [x, y]$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{G}_\epsilon$. Thus, $\varphi(L_m, L_n) = \lambda [L_m, L_n]$. The proof of other occasions are similar with that of (L_m, L_n) , so we omit them. This completes the proof. \square

4 Super-skewsymmetric super-biderivations of the centerless super Virasoro algebra \mathcal{W}_ϵ

In this section, we present the main result concerning super-skewsymmetric super-biderivation on the centerless super Virasoro algebra \mathcal{W}_ϵ .

The definition of the centerless super Virasoro algebra \mathcal{W}_ϵ is given in the following.

Definition 2. [25] For $\epsilon \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}\}$, the centerless super Virasoro algebra \mathcal{W}_ϵ is an infinite-dimensional Lie superalgebra over the complex field \mathbb{C} with the basis $\{L_m, G_r \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}, r \in \Gamma\}$, admitting the following super-brackets:

$$\begin{aligned} [L_m, L_n] &= (m - n)L_{m+n}, \\ [L_m, G_r] &= \left(\frac{m}{2} - r\right) G_{r+m}, \\ [G_r, G_s] &= 2L_{r+s}, \end{aligned}$$

for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r, s \in \Gamma$. Obviously, \mathcal{W}_ϵ is \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded: $\mathcal{W}_\epsilon = \mathcal{W}_{\epsilon\bar{0}} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{\epsilon\bar{1}}$, with

$$\mathcal{W}_{\epsilon\bar{0}} = \text{span}\{L_m \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \quad (12)$$

$$\mathcal{W}_{\epsilon\bar{1}} = \text{span}\{G_r \mid r \in \Gamma\}. \quad (13)$$

By the above definition we can easily check that the centerless super Virasoro algebra \mathcal{W}_ϵ is perfect. Moreover, the centre of this Lie superalgebra is

$$Z(\mathcal{W}_\epsilon) = \text{span}\{0\}.$$

The main result in this section is as follows.

Theorem 2. Every super-skewsymmetric super-biderivation ϕ of \mathcal{W}_ϵ is inner.

Proof. Suppose ϕ is a super-biderivation of the centerless super Virasoro algebra \mathcal{W}_ϵ . Assume that $\phi(L_0, L_n) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} a_m^n L_m + \sum_{r \in \Gamma} b_r^n G_r$ and $\phi(L_0, G_s) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} c_m^s L_m + \sum_{r \in \Gamma} d_r^s G_r$, where $a_m^n, b_r^n, c_m^s, d_r^s \in \mathbb{C}$ for any $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r, s \in \Gamma$.

If $n = s = 0$, then $[L_0, L_0] = [L_0, G_0] = 0$, by Lemma 2(3), $\phi(L_0, L_0), \phi(L_0, G_0) \in Z(\mathcal{W}_\epsilon) = \{0\}$. Hence, $\phi(L_0, L_0) = \phi(L_0, G_0) = 0$.

Next, assume that $n \neq 0$ and $s \neq 0$. Due to $L_m \in \mathcal{W}_{\epsilon\bar{0}}$, then $|L_m| + |L_n| = \bar{0}$ for any $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. By Lemma 2(2), we have

$$[[L_0, L_n], \phi(L_0, L_n)] = 0.$$

Then, we get

$$n \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (m - n) a_m^n L_{m+n} + \sum_{r \in \Gamma} \left(r - \frac{n}{2}\right) b_r^n G_{n+r} = 0.$$

One has

$$(m - n) a_m^n = \left(r - \frac{n}{2}\right) b_r^n = 0.$$

Thus, $a_m^n = 0$ for $m \neq n$ and $b_r^n = 0$ for $r \neq \frac{n}{2}$. So, for the case $\epsilon = 0$, we get

$$\phi(L_0, L_n) = \begin{cases} a_n^n L_n + b_{\frac{n}{2}}^n G_{\frac{n}{2}} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ a_n^n L_n & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, for the case when n is even, by Lemma 2(1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= [\phi(L_0, L_n), [L_0, L_1]] - [[L_0, L_n], \phi(L_0, L_1)] \\
&= [a_n^n L_n + b_{\frac{n}{2}}^n G_{\frac{n}{2}}, L_1] - [nL_n, a_1^n L_1] \\
&= (n-1)a_n^n L_{n+1} + \frac{1}{2}(n-1)b_{\frac{n}{2}}^n G_{\frac{n}{2}+1} - n(n-1)a_1^n L_{n+1} \\
&= (n-1)(a_n^n - na_1^n)L_{n+1} + \frac{1}{2}(n-1)b_{\frac{n}{2}}^n G_{\frac{n}{2}+1},
\end{aligned}$$

hence, we deduce that $a_n^n = na_1^n$ and $b_{\frac{n}{2}}^n = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Taking $\lambda = a_1^n$, then we have

$$\phi(L_0, L_n) = \lambda n L_n.$$

By Lemma 2(1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= [\phi(L_0, G_s), [L_0, G_s]] - [[L_0, G_s], \phi(L_0, G_s)] \\
&= \left[\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} c_m^s L_m + \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} d_r^s G_r, -sG_s \right] - \left[-sG_s, \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} c_m^s L_m + \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} d_r^s G_r \right] \\
&= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} s \left(s - \frac{m}{2} \right) c_m^s G_{m+s},
\end{aligned}$$

hence, we deduce that

$$c_m^s = 0 \text{ if } m \neq 2s. \quad (14)$$

So we get

$$\phi(L_0, G_s) = c_{2s}^s L_{2s} + \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} d_r^s G_r.$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 2(1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= [\phi(L_0, G_s), [L_0, L_1]] - [[L_0, G_s], \phi(L_0, L_1)] \\
&= \left[c_{2s}^s L_{2s} + \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} d_r^s G_r, -L_1 \right] - [-sG_s, \lambda L_1] \\
&= (1-2s)c_{2s}^s L_{2s+1} + \lambda s \left(s - \frac{1}{2} \right) G_{s+1} - \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(r - \frac{1}{2} \right) d_r^s G_{r+1},
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$c_{2s}^s = 0, \quad d_r^s = 0 \text{ if } r \neq s \text{ and } d_s^s = \lambda s. \quad (15)$$

By Eqs. (14) and (15), we obtain

$$\phi(L_0, G_s) = \lambda s G_s.$$

For the case $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$, we get

$$\phi(L_0, L_n) = \begin{cases} a_n^n L_n + b_{\frac{n}{2}}^n G_{\frac{n}{2}} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ a_n^n L_n & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, for the case when n is odd, by Lemma 2(1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= [\phi(L_0, L_n), [L_0, L_2]] - [[L_0, L_n], \phi(L_0, L_2)] \\
&= \left[a_n^n L_n + b_{\frac{n}{2}}^n G_{\frac{n}{2}}, 2L_2 \right] - [nL_n, a_2^n L_2] \\
&= 2(n-2)a_n^n L_{n+2} + \frac{1}{2}(n-2)b_{\frac{n}{2}}^n G_{\frac{n}{2}+2} - n(n-2)a_2^n L_{n+2} \\
&= (n-2)(2a_n^n - na_2^n)L_{n+2} + \frac{1}{2}(n-2)b_{\frac{n}{2}}^n G_{\frac{n}{2}+2},
\end{aligned}$$

hence, we deduce that $a_n^n = \frac{n}{2}a_2^n$ and $b_{\frac{n}{2}}^n = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Taking $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}a_2^n$, then we have

$$\phi(L_0, L_n) = \lambda n L_n.$$

Similar to Eq. (14), we still have

$$c_m^s = 0 \text{ if } m \neq 2s, \quad (16)$$

while Eq. (15) should be modified as

$$c_{2s}^s = 0 \text{ if } s \neq \frac{1}{2}, \quad d_r^s = 0 \text{ if } r \neq s \text{ and } d_s^s = \lambda s. \quad (17)$$

So by Eqs. (16) and (17) we get

$$\phi(L_0, G_s) = c_1^1 L_1 + \lambda s G_s.$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 2(1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= [\phi(L_0, G_s), [L_0, L_2]] - [[L_0, G_s], \phi(L_0, L_2)] \\
&= [c_1^1 L_1 + \lambda s G_s, -2L_2] - [-sG_s, 2\lambda L_2] \\
&= -2c_1^1 L_3,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $c_1^1 = 0$. Hence, we have

$$\phi(L_0, G_s) = \lambda s G_s.$$

Finally, we have proved the following equations

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(L_0, L_n) &= \lambda [L_0, L_n] \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}, \\
\phi(L_0, G_s) &= \lambda [L_0, G_s] \text{ for all } s \in \Gamma.
\end{aligned}$$

For any $w \in \mathcal{W}_\epsilon$, we get

$$\phi(L_0, w) = \lambda [L_0, w].$$

By Lemma 2(1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= [\phi(x, y), [L_0, w]] - [[x, y], \phi(L_0, w)] \\
&= [\phi(x, y), [L_0, w]] - [[x, y], \lambda [L_0, w]] \\
&= [\phi(x, y) - \lambda [x, y], [L_0, w]].
\end{aligned}$$

According to the arbitrariness of w , then $\phi(x, y) - \lambda [x, y] = 0$. Thus, $\phi(x, y) = \lambda [x, y]$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{W}_\epsilon$. This completes the proof. \square

5 Linear super-commuting maps on \mathcal{G}_ϵ and \mathcal{W}_ϵ

In this section, we shall describe the linear super-commuting maps on \mathcal{G}_ϵ (resp. \mathcal{W}_ϵ) based on Theorem 1 (resp. Theorem 2). We have the following theorems.

Theorem 3. *All linear super-commuting maps on the complete spectrum-generating superalgebra \mathcal{G}_ϵ are standard. Namely, each linear super-commuting map ψ on \mathcal{G}_ϵ has the following form*

$$\psi(x) = f(x)I_0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{G}_\epsilon,$$

where f is a linear function from \mathcal{G}_ϵ to \mathbb{C} mapping the odd part $\mathcal{W}_{\epsilon\bar{1}}$ of \mathcal{G}_ϵ to zero.

Proof. Let ψ be a linear super-commuting map on \mathcal{G}_ϵ . Define

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : \mathcal{G}_\epsilon \times \mathcal{G}_\epsilon &\rightarrow \mathcal{G}_\epsilon \\ (x, y) &\mapsto [\psi(x), y] \end{aligned}$$

for $x, y \in \mathcal{G}_\epsilon$. Note that ψ preserves the \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading of \mathcal{G}_ϵ . By the definition of φ , one can easily verify that

$$\varphi(x, [y, z]) = [\varphi(x, y), z] + (-1)^{|x||y|} [y, \varphi(x, z)] \text{ for } x, y, z \in \mathcal{G}_\epsilon.$$

Namely, φ satisfies the equation (6). Recalling $[\psi(x), y] = (-1)^{|x||y|} [x, \psi(y)]$ (ψ is a linear super-commuting map), one can easily check the other equation (5). In addition, φ is super-skewsymmetric by its definition. Thus, φ is a super-skewsymmetric super-biderivation of \mathcal{G}_ϵ . By Theorem 1, there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\varphi(x, y) = \lambda[x, y] \text{ for } x, y \in \mathcal{G}_\epsilon.$$

Considering the definition of φ , we have

$$[\psi(x) - \lambda x, y] \equiv 0 \text{ for } x, y \in \mathcal{G}_\epsilon. \quad (18)$$

This implies that

$$\psi(x) - \lambda x \in Z(\mathcal{G}_\epsilon) = \{I_0\} \text{ for } x \in \mathcal{G}_\epsilon.$$

Thus, we may assume that

$$\psi(x) - \lambda x = f(x)I_0 \text{ for } x \in \mathcal{G}_\epsilon,$$

where f is a linear function from \mathcal{G}_ϵ to \mathbb{C} . Furthermore, by choosing $x = G_r$ ($r \in \Gamma$) in the above formula and using the relation $[\psi(G_r), G_r] = 0$, one can immediately obtain that $\lambda = 0$, and f maps the odd part of \mathcal{G}_ϵ to zero. Hence, $\psi(x) = f(x)I_0$. Conversely, if ψ is of such form, it is indeed a linear super-commuting map. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 4. *Every linear super-commuting map on the centerless super Virasoro algebra \mathcal{W}_ϵ is the zero mapping. Namely, each linear super-commuting map Ψ on \mathcal{W}_ϵ has the following form*

$$\Psi(x) \equiv 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{W}_\epsilon.$$

Proof. Let Ψ be a linear super-commuting map on \mathcal{W}_ϵ . Similarly to the proof of Theorem 3, we have

$$\Psi(x) - \lambda x = 0 \text{ for } x \in \mathcal{W}_\epsilon.$$

Furthermore, by choosing $x = G_r$ ($r \in \Gamma$) in the above formula and using the relation $[\Psi(G_r), G_r] = 0$, one can immediately obtain that $\lambda = 0$. Hence, $\Psi(x) \equiv 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{W}_\epsilon$. This completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgments

I extend my heartfelt thanks to the referees for their thoughtful comments and efforts in improving the manuscript

References

- [1] M. Abdaoui, *Skew-symmetric biderivations and linear commuting maps on the conformal Galilei algebra*, Comm. Algebra, (4) **51** (2023), 1663-1672.
- [2] W. Bai and W. Liu, *Superbiderivations of Simple Modular Lie Superalgebras of Witt Type and Special Type*, Algebra Colloq., (2)**30** (2023), 181-192.
- [3] D. Benkovic, *Biderivations of triangular algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl., **431** (2009), 1587-1602.
- [4] M. Bresar, *Centralizing mappings on von Neumann algebras*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc., **111** (1991), 501-510.
- [5] M. Bresar, *Commuting maps: A survey*, Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics, **8** (2004), 361-397.
- [6] Z-X. Chen, *Biderivations and linear commuting maps on simple generalized Witt algebras over a field of characteristic zero*, Electron J. Linear Algebra, **31** (2016), 1-12.
- [7] X. Cheng, M. Wang, J. Sun and Z. Honglian, *Biderivations and linear commuting maps on the Lie algebra gca* , Linear and Multilinear Algebra, **65** (2017), 2483-2493.
- [8] L. Chi, J. Sun and H. Yang, *Biderivations and linear commuting maps on the planar Galilean conformal algebra*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, **66** (2018), 1606-1618.
- [9] Y. Du and Y. Wang, *Biderivations of generalized matrix algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl., **438** (2013), 4483-4499.
- [10] G. Fan and X. Dai, *Super-biderivations of Lie superalgebras*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, **65** (2017), 58-66.
- [11] NM. Ghosseiri, *On biderivations of upper-triangular matrix rings*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, **438** (2013), 250-260.
- [12] M. Green, J. Schwarz and E. Witten, *Superstring Theory*, New York: Cambridge University Press, (1987).
- [13] P. Guha, *Integrable geodesic flows on the (super)extension of the Bott-Virasoro group*, Lett. Math. Phys., **52** (2000), 311-328.
- [14] H. Guo, J. Shen, S. Wang and K. Xu, *Beltrami algebra and symmetry of the Beltrami equation on Riemann surfaces*, J. Math. Phys., **31** (1990), 2543-2547.

- [15] X. Han, D. Wang and C. Xia, *Linear commuting maps and biderivations on the Lie algebra $\mathcal{W}(a, b)$* , J. Lie Theory, **26** (2016), 777-786.
- [16] X. Hanhan and S. Jiancai, *Super-biderivation on the 2d supersymmetric Galilean conformal algebra*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin, **27** (2020), 431-447.
- [17] L. Jinlu and S. Jiancai, *Super-biderivation on the super Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, (21) **70** (2022), 6500-6510.
- [18] L. Jinlu and S. Jiancai, *Super-skewsymmetric super-biderivations on the $N = 1$ super-Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra*, Quaestiones Math., (5) **47** (2024), 933-947.
- [19] V. Kac, *Lie superalgebras*, Adv. Math., **26** (1977), 8-96.
- [20] V. Kac, *Classification of infinite-dimensional simple linearly compact Lie superalgebras*, Adv. Math., **139** (1998), 1-55.
- [21] X. Liu, X. Guo and K. Zhao, *Biderivations of the block Lie algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl., **538** (2018), 43-55.
- [22] P. Marcel, *Extensions of the Neveu-Schwarz Lie superalgebra*, Comm. Math. Phys., (2) **207** (1999), 291-306.
- [23] P. Marcel, C. Roger and V. Ovsienko, *Extension of the Virasoro and Neveu-Schwarz algebras and generalized Sturm-Liouville operators*, Lett. Math. Phys., (1) **40** (1997), 31-39.
- [24] Z. Ni and J. Sun, *Super-biderivation on twisted $N = 1$ Schrodinger-Neveu-Schwarz algebra*, Front. Math. China, (5) **14** (2019), 907-922.
- [25] G. Shoulan, M. Qingle and P. Yufeng, *The first cohomology of $N = 1$ super Virasoro algebras*, Comm. Algebra, (10) **47** (2019), 4230-4246.
- [26] X. Tang, *Biderivations, linear commuting maps and commutative post-Lie algebra structures on \mathcal{W} -algebras*, Comm Algebra, **45** (2017), 5252-5261.
- [27] X. Tang, *Biderivations of finite-dimensional complex simple Lie algebras*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, **66** (2018), 250-259.
- [28] X. Tang, *Biderivations and commutative post-Lie algebra structures on the Lie algebra $\mathcal{W}(a, b)$* , Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics, **22** (2018), 1347-1366.
- [29] D. Wang, X. Yu and Z. Chen, *Biderivations of the parabolic subalgebras of simple Lie algebras*, Comm. Algebra, **39** (2011), 4097-4104.
- [30] D. Wang and X. Yu, *Biderivation and linear commuting maps on the Schrödinger-Virasoro Lie algebra*, Comm. Algebra, **41** (2013), 2166-2173.
- [31] C. Xiao and S. Jiancai, *Super-biderivations and linear super-commuting maps on the super- BMS_3 algebra*, Sa. Pau. J. of Math. Sci., **13** (2019), 615-627.

- [32] C. Xiao, S Jiancai. and X. Chunguang, *Super-biderivations and super-commuting maps on the super Galilean conformal algebras*, Comm. Algebra, (6) **46** (2018), 2648-2658.
- [33] C. Xiao, D. Wang and X. Han, *Linear super-commuting maps and super-biderivations on the Super-Viarasoro algebras*, Comm. Algebra, **44** (2016), 5342-5350.
- [34] J. Zhang, S. Feng, H. Li and R. Wu , *Generalized biderivations of nest algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl., **418** (2006), 225-233.