

Remarks on the zero-set intersection graph

Pynshngain Dhar^{†*}, John Paul Jala Kharbhih[‡]

 † $^{\ddagger}Department$ of Mathematics, North-Eastern Hill University, Shillong, Meghalaya-793022, India

Emails: pynshngaindhar 85@gmail.com, jpkharbhih@gmail.com

Abstract. In this paper, we study the zero-set intersection graph $(\Gamma(C(X)))$ and its line graph $(L(\Gamma(C(X))))$. We showed that 0 is a cut vertex of $\Gamma(C(X))$ iff |X|=2, and for a first countable space X, $\Gamma(C(X))$ is chordal iff |X|=2 or |X|=3. We stated some conditions for a maximal clique to be a maximal ideal. We obtained that two (first countable/real compact) topological spaces X and Y are homeomorphic iff $L(\Gamma(C(X)))$ is graph isomorphic to $L(\Gamma(C(Y)))$ iff C(X) is isomorphic to C(Y). We showed that $\{f,g\}$ is a dominating set of $\Gamma(C(X))$ iff fg=0.

Keywords: Line graph, Triangulated, Chordal, Complemented, Ring of real-valued continuous functions. AMS Subject Classification 2010: 05C38, 05C25, 54C40.

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

Let C(X) be the ring of real-valued continuous functions on a completely regular Hausdorff space X. In 2005, Azarpanah et. al [2] studied the zero divisor graph of C(X) on when this graph will be triangulated, hypertriangulated, complemented, etc. In 2013, Alafifi et. al [1] studied the line graph of the zero divisor graph of C(X). In 2020, B. Bose and A. Das [3] introduce a graph structure called zero-set intersection graph $\Gamma(C(X))$ on C(X), where the set of vertices is the set $\mathcal{N}(X)$ of all non-units of the ring C(X) and two distinct vertices f and g are adjacent if $Z(f) \cap Z(g) \neq \phi$, where $Z(f) = \{x \in X : f(x) = 0\}$. In this paper, we studied some other properties of $\Gamma(C(X))$ like hypertriangulated, chordal, complemented, etc. We showed that 0 is a cut vertex of $\Gamma(C(X))$ iff |X| = 2, and for a first countable space X, $\Gamma(C(X))$ is chordal iff |X| = 2 or |X| = 3. We give a bound for the clique number of $\Gamma(C(X))$. We also studied the line graph of $\Gamma(C(X))$, denoted by $L(\Gamma(C(X)))$. We showed that $L(\Gamma(C(X)))$ is connected with diameter 3. The eccentricity of any vertex of $L(\Gamma(C(X)))$ is either 2 or 3. We obtained that two (first countable/real compact) topological spaces X and Y are homeomorphic iff $L(\Gamma(C(X)))$ is graph isomorphic to $L(\Gamma(C(Y)))$ iff C(X) is isomorphic to C(Y). Finally, in section 4, we

Received: $\overline{17}$ March $\overline{2024}$ Revised: $\overline{07}$ October $\overline{2025}$ Accepted: $\overline{13}$ October $\overline{2025}$

DOI: 10.22124/JART.2025.27038.1652

^{*}Corresponding author

studied the dominating set of $\Gamma(C(X))$ and $L(\Gamma(C(X)))$, and the relationship between the zero divisor graph of C(X) and the zero-set intersection graph.

For any two vertices x and y of a simple graph G, the distance d(x,y) is the length of the shortest path between x and y. The diameter of G is $Diam(G) = \sup\{d(x,y) : x,y \in V(G)\}.$ c(x,y) is the length of the shortest cycle containing both x and y. The eccentricity of a vertex x denoted by e(x), is defined as $e(x) = \sup\{d(x,y) : x \neq y, y \in V(G)\}$. The radius of G is defined as $\rho(G) = \inf\{e(x) : x \in V(G)\}$. A vertex x is a central vertex of G if $e(x) = \rho(G)$. The center of a graph G denoted by C(G), is the set of all central vertices of G. A vertex x is said to be a cut vertex of G if $G\setminus\{x\}$ is not connected. A separating set of a graph G is a set $S \subseteq V(G)$ such that $G \setminus S$ is not connected. A graph in which all vertices are pairwise adjacent is called a complete graph. A complete subgraph of a graph G is called a clique. A maximal clique is a clique that is maximal with respect to inclusion. The clique number of G is given by $\omega(G) = \sup\{|V(H)| : H \text{ is a complete subgraph of } G\}.$ A graph G is said to be triangulated (hypertriangulated) if each vertex (edge) is a vertex (an edge) of a triangle. A graph is chordal if each of its cycles of length at least 4 has a chord, which is an edge joining two vertices of a cycle but is not itself an edge of the cycle. The line graph of a graph G denoted by L(G) is a graph such that each vertex of L(G) represents an edge in G and two vertices of L(G) are adjacent if and only if their corresponding edges share a common vertex in G.

For undefined terms concerning C(X) and graph theory, the reader is referred to [6] and [5]. If |X| = 1, then $\mathcal{N}(X)$ is a singleton. In this paper, we assume that |X| > 1 and X is a completely regular Hausdorff space.

2 Other properties of the zero-set intersection graph

In this section, we study other properties of the zero-set intersection graph $\Gamma(C(X))$.

Theorem 1. Let f and g be two distinct vertices of $\Gamma(C(X))$. Then,

(i)
$$d(f,g) = 1$$
 iff $Z(f) \cap Z(g) \neq \phi$.

(ii)
$$d(f,g) = 2$$
 iff $Z(f) \cap Z(g) = \phi$.

Proof. (i) Trivial.

(ii) Suppose
$$d(f,g) = 2$$
, then by (i) we must have $Z(f) \cap Z(g) = \phi$. Conversely, suppose $Z(f) \cap Z(g) = \phi$, then $f - fg - g$ is a path of length 2. So, $d(f,g) = 2$.

Definition 1 ([2]). A graph G is said to be complemented if for each vertex x there is a vertex y of G such that x and y are adjacent and there is no vertex w of G which is adjacent to both x and y.

Remark 1. It is easy to see that if f and g are two distinct vertices of $\Gamma(C(X))$. Then, there exists $h \in \mathcal{N}(X)$ such that $Z(h) \cap Z(f) \neq \phi$ and $Z(h) \cap Z(g) \neq \phi$. Hence, it follows that the zero-set intersection graph is never complemented. It was shown in [3] that $\Gamma(C(X))$ is triangulated. It is also easy to see that $\Gamma(C(X))$ is hypertriangulated.

Theorem 2. Let $f \in \mathcal{N}(X)$. Then,

$$e(f) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } f = 0\\ 2, & \text{if } f \neq 0. \end{cases}$$
 (1)

Proof. If f = 0, then f is adjacent to every non-unit. So, e(f) = 1. If $f \neq 0$, then there exists $p \in X$ such that $p \notin Z(f)$. As X is completely regular, there exists $g \in C(X)$ such that g(p) = 0 and g(Z(f)) = 1. This implies that $Z(f) \cap Z(g) = \phi$ and so by Theorem 1, we have e(f) = 2. \square

Remark 2. $\rho(\Gamma(C(X))) = 1$ and f = 0 is the central vertex of $\Gamma(C(X))$. Hence, the center of $\Gamma(C(X))$ is $C(\Gamma(C(X))) = \{0\}$.

Theorem 3. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{N}(X)$ be two distinct vertices. Then, (i) c(f,g) = 3 iff $Z(f) \cap Z(g) \neq \phi$. (ii) If $|X| \geq 3$, then c(f,g) = 4 iff $Z(f) \cap Z(g) = \phi$.

Proof. (i) Trivial.

(ii) Suppose c(f,g)=4, then the result follows from (i). Conversely, suppose $Z(f)\cap Z(g)=\phi$, then by (i) no cycle of length 3 contains both f and g. So, c(f,g)>3. As $|X|\geq 3$, there exist $x,y,z\in X$ such that $x\in Z(f),\ y\in Z(g)$, and $z\notin \{x,y\}$. By the complete regularity of X, there exists $h\in C(X)$ such that h(z)=1 and $h(\{x,y\})=0$. For $0\neq r\in \mathbb{R},\ f-h-g-rh-f$ is a cycle of length 4. So, c(f,g)=4.

Remark 3. If |X| = 2, say $X = \{x, y\}$. Then, it is easy to see that there is no cycle containing both f and g, where $Z(f) = \{x\}$ and $Z(g) = \{y\}$.

Theorem 4. 0 is a cut vertex of $\Gamma(C(X))$ iff |X|=2.

Proof. Suppose $|X| \geq 3$. Let $f, g \in \Gamma(C(X)) \setminus \{0\}$. As $f, g \in \mathcal{N}(X)$, there exist $a, b \in X$ such that $a \in Z(f)$ and $b \in Z(g)$. As $|X| \geq 3$, there exist $c \in X$ such that $c \notin \{a, b\}$. By the complete regularity of X, there exists $h \in C(X)$ such that h(c) = 1 and $h(\{a, b\}) = 0$. This implies that f - h - g is a path that joins f and g. This shows that $\Gamma(C(X)) \setminus \{0\}$ is connected, which contradicts that 0 is a cut vertex. Conversely, if |X| = 2, say $X = \{a, b\}$. Then, it is easy to see that $\Gamma(C(X)) \setminus \{0\}$ is not connected and vertex set of $\Gamma(C(X)) \setminus \{0\}$ is $N_a \bigcup N_b$, where $N_a = \{f \in C(X) : f(a) = 0 \text{ and } f(b) \neq 0\}$, $N_b = \{f \in C(X) : f(b) = 0 \text{ and } f(a) \neq 0\}$. \square

Theorem 5. Let X be a first countable space. Then, the zero-set intersection graph is chordal iff |X| = 2 or |X| = 3.

Proof. If $|X| \geq 4$, then there exist $a, b, c, d \in X$. As X is first countable T_2 space, so every point is G_{δ} -point. From [6, 3.11] there exist functions $f_x \in C(X)$ such that $Z(f_x) = \{x\}$, where $x \in \{a, b, c, d\}$. Consider the function $g_{x,y} = f_x f_y$, where $x, y \in \{a, b, c, d\}$. Then, $g_{a,b} - g_{b,c} - g_{c,d} - g_{a,d} - g_{a,b}$ is a cycle of length 4 with no chord. Conversely, if |X| = 2 say $X = \{a, b\}$, then $\mathcal{N}(X) = N_a \bigcup N_b \bigcup \{0\}$ where $N_a = \{f \in C(X) : f(a) = 0 \text{ and } f(b) \neq 0\}$, $N_b = \{f \in C(X) : f(b) = 0 \text{ and } f(a) \neq 0\}$. Let C be a cycle of length greater than 3. If 0 is on the cycle, then C has a chord. Suppose 0 is not on the cycle, then we see that all vertices on the cycle C are either in C and C are a chord. If C are a chord. If C and C are C and C are C are a chord. Suppose 0 is not on the cycle, then C has a chord. Suppose 0 is not on the cycle, let C and C are C and C and C and C and C and C and C are a chord. Suppose 0 is not on the cycle, let C and C are C and C are a chord. Suppose C and C and C are a chord. Suppose C and C are chord. Suppose C and C and C and C are chord. Suppose C and C and C are chord. Suppose C and C and C are chord. Suppose C and C are chord. Suppose C and C are chord. Suppose C and C and C are chord. Suppose C and C are chord. Suppose C and C and C are chord. Suppose C and C and C are chord. Suppose C and C are chord. Suppose C and C are chord. Suppose C and C and C are chord. Suppose C are chord. Suppose C and C are

adjacent, then the cycle $g_1 - g_2 - g_3 - g_4 - g_1$ must have a chord. Suppose g_1 and g_4 are not adjacent, then there is a vertex h which is adjacent to g_4 . If Z(h) is a singleton, then there is a chord joining h and g_3 . Similarly, if Z(h) is not a singleton, there is a chord in this cycle C. Hence, $\Gamma(C(X))$ is chordal.

Let βX be the Stone-Čech Compactification of X [6, 6.6]. For each $p \in \beta X$, $M^p = \{f \in C(X) : p \in cl_{\beta X}Z(f)\}$ is a maximal ideal of C(X) [6, 7.3]. The density d(X) of a topological space X is the least cardinality of a dense subset of X. It was shown in [3] that every maximal ideal is a maximal clique, but the converse is not true. The following theorem gives some conditions under which a maximal clique is a maximal ideal.

Theorem 6. Let M be a maximal clique in $\Gamma(C(X))$. If $\bigcap_{f \in M} Z(f) \neq \phi$, then M is a maximal ideal in C(X).

Proof. Let $S = \bigcap_{f \in M} Z(f) \neq \phi$. Then, for any $f \in M$, $S \subseteq Z(f)$. It is easy to show that M is an ideal of C(X). As M is an ideal so $M \subseteq M^p$ for some maximal ideal M^p . But M^p being a maximal ideal is also a maximal clique. As M is a maximal clique. So, $M = M^p$. Hence, M is a maximal ideal of C(X).

Theorem 7. Let X be an infinite space. Then, for each $p \in \beta X$, $|M^p| \le \omega(\Gamma(C(X))) < 2^{d(X)}$, where d(X) is the density of X.

Proof. As maximal ideals are maximal cliques in $\Gamma(C(X))$ [3, Theorem 3.2]. So, $|M^p| \leq \omega(\Gamma(C(X)))$. Also, $\omega(\Gamma(C(X))) < |C(X)|$ and $|C(X)| \leq 2^{d(X)}$ [4, Theorem 2.4]. Hence, $|M^p| \leq \omega(\Gamma(C(X))) < 2^{d(X)}$.

Example 1. If $X = \beta \mathbb{N}$, then it is easy to see that $|M^p| \ge \aleph_1$ and $2^{d(X)} = 2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_1$, \aleph_1 denote the first infinite uncountable cardinal number. So, $\omega(\Gamma(C(X))) = \aleph_1$

3 Line graph of the zero-set intersection graph $L(\Gamma(C(X)))$

Let $f, g \in \mathcal{N}(X)$. Then, [f, g] is a vertex of $L(\Gamma(C(X)))$ iff $Z(f) \cap Z(g) \neq \phi$. In this section, we study the connectedness, diameter, and radius of $L(\Gamma(C(X)))$. The main objective of this section is to study the relationships between topological properties of X, algebraic properties of C(X), and graph properties of $L(\Gamma(C(X)))$.

Theorem 8. $L(\Gamma(C(X)))$ is connected and $Diam(L(\Gamma(C(X)))) = 3$.

Proof. Let $[f_1, f_2]$ and $[g_1, g_2]$ be two distinct vertices of $L(\Gamma(C(X)))$, where $f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{N}(X)$. If $f_i = g_j$ for some $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$, then $[f_1, f_2]$ and $[g_1, g_2]$ are adjacent. If $f_i \neq g_j$ for every $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$, then either $Z(f_i) \cap Z(g_j) \neq \phi$ for some $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ or $Z(f_i) \cap Z(g_j) = \phi$ for every $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$. If $Z(f_i) \cap Z(g_j) \neq \phi$ for some $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$, then $[f_1, f_2] - [f_i, g_j] - [g_1, g_2]$ is a path of length 2. If $Z(f_i) \cap Z(g_j) = \phi$ for every $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$, then $[f_1, f_2] - [f_i, 0] - [0, g_j] - [g_1, g_2]$ for some $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$, is a path of length 3; hence, $L(\Gamma(C(X)))$ is connected and $Diam(L(\Gamma(C(X)))) = 3$.

Corollary 1. Let $[f_1, f_2]$ and $[g_1, g_2]$ be two distinct vertices of $L(\Gamma(C(X)))$. Then, the following conditions hold:

(i) $d([f_1, f_2], [g_1, g_2]) = 1$ iff $f_i = g_j$ for some $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$.

(ii) $d([f_1, f_2], [g_1, g_2]) = 2$ iff $f_i \neq g_j$ for every $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ and $Z(f_i) \cap Z(g_j) \neq \phi$ for some $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$.

 $(iii)d([f_1, f_2], [g_1, g_2]) = 3$ iff $f_i \neq g_j$ for every $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ and $Z(f_i) \cap Z(g_j) = \phi$ for every $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$.

Theorem 9. Let I be a proper ideal of C(X). Then, $d([f_1, f_2], [g_1, g_2]) \leq 2$, where $f_i, g_j \in I$ for all $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$.

Proof. Let $[f_1, f_2]$ and $[g_1, g_2]$ be two vertices of $L(\Gamma(C(X)))$ where $f_i, g_j \in I$ for all $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$. If $f_i = g_j$ for some $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$, then by Corollary 1(i), we have $d([f_1, f_2], [g_1, g_2]) = 1 \le 2$. If $f_i \ne g_j$ for every $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$, by [3, 3.1] I is a clique in $\Gamma(C(X))$ and as $f_i, g_j \in I$ for every $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$, this implies that $Z(f_i) \cap Z(g_j) \ne \phi$ for every $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ and so by Corollary 1(ii), $d([f_1, f_2], [g_1, g_2]) = 2$.

Theorem 10. Let $[f_1, f_2]$ be a vertex in $L(\Gamma(C(X)))$. Then,

$$e([f_1, f_2]) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } Z(f_1) \bigcup Z(f_2) = X \\ 3, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
 (2)

Proof. Let $[g_1, g_2]$ be a vertex of $L(\Gamma(C(X)))$. If $[g_1, g_2]$ is adjacent to $[f_1, f_2]$, then $d([f_1, f_2], [g_1, g_2]) = 1$. Suppose $[g_1, g_2]$ is not adjacent to $[f_1, f_2]$.

Case 1: When $Z(f_1) \cup Z(f_2) = X$. Since g_1, g_2 are adjacent in $\Gamma(C(X))$, so $Z(g_1) \cap Z(g_2) \neq \phi$. This suggested that there exists some element x of X such that $x \in Z(g_1) \cap Z(g_2) \subseteq X = Z(f_1) \cup Z(f_2)$. This shows that $x \in Z(f_i)$ for some $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and $Z(f_i)$ intersect both $Z(g_1)$ and $Z(g_2)$. By Corollary 1(ii), $d([f_1, f_2], [g_1, g_2]) = 2$. So, $e([f_1, f_2]) = 2$.

Case 2: When $Z(f_1) \cup Z(f_2) \neq X$. Let $p \in X$ such that $p \notin Z(f_1) \cup Z(f_2)$. As X is completely regular, there exists $g_1 \in C(X)$ such that $g_1(p) = 0$ and $g_1(Z(f_1) \cup Z(f_2)) = 1$. Consider $g_2 = rg_1$ for $0 \neq r \in \mathbb{R}$, then $Z(f_i) \cap Z(g_j) = \phi$ for all $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$. By Corollary 1(iii), $d([f_1, f_2], [g_1, g_2]) = 3$. So, $e([f_1, f_2]) = 3$. Hence, we have,

$$e([f_1, f_2]) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } Z(f_1) \bigcup Z(f_2) = X\\ 3, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
 (3)

Corollary 2. (i) $\rho(L(\Gamma(C(X)))) = 2$.

(ii) $[f_1, f_2]$ is a central vertex of $L(\Gamma(C(X)))$ iff $Z(f_1) \bigcup Z(f_2) = X$.

(iii) The center of $L(\Gamma(C(X)))$ is given by $C(L(\Gamma(C(X)))) = \{[f_1, f_2] \in V(L(\Gamma(C(X)))) : Z(f_1) \cup Z(f_2) = X\}.$

Theorem 11. The graph $L(\Gamma(C(X)))$ is never complemented.

Proof. Let $[f_1, f_2]$ and $[g_1, g_2]$ be an arbitrary vertex of $L(\Gamma(C(X)))$. If $[f_1, f_2]$ and $[g_1, g_2]$ are not adjacent, then we are through. If $[f_1, f_2]$ and $[g_1, g_2]$ are adjacent, then $f_i = g_j$ for some $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$. In particular, $f_1 = g_1$. If $f_1 = g_1 \neq 0$, then we can always get another vertex $h = rg_1$ for some $0 \neq r \in \mathbb{R}$ and we see that $[h, g_1]$ is adjacent to both $[f_1, f_2]$ and $[g_1, g_2]$ (since $f_1 = g_1$). Similarly, if $f_1 = g_1 = 0$, then it is easy to get another vertex h distinct from $0, f_2$ and g_2 such that $[h, g_1]$ is adjacent to both $[f_1, f_2]$ and $[g_1, g_2]$. This shows that $L(\Gamma(C(X)))$ is not complemented.

Theorem 12. The graph $L(\Gamma(C(X)))$ is both triangulated and hypertriangulated, hence its girth is 3.

Proof. Let $[f_1, f_2]$ be any vertex in $L(\Gamma(C(X)))$. If $f_1 \neq 0$ and $f_2 \neq 0$, then $[f_1, h] - [f_1, f_2] - [h, f_2] - [f_1, h]$ is a triangle, where $h = f_1 f_2$. If $f_1 = 0$, then $[f_1, f_2] - [f_1, 2f_2] - [f_1, 3f_2] - [f_1, f_2]$ is a triangle. Similarly, if $f_2 = 0$. Next, let [f, h] - [h, g] be an edge of $L(\Gamma(C(X)))$. If $h \neq 0$, then for $r \neq 0$, [f, h] - [h, rh] - [h, g] - [f, h] is a triangle. If h = 0, then [f, h] - [h, rf] - [h, g] - [f, h] is a triangle. So, $L(\Gamma(C(X)))$ is both triangulated and hypertriangulated.

Remark 4. It is also easy to see that just like in [1, Theorem 10], $L(\Gamma(C(X)))$ is never chordal.

The next theorem is for finding the length of the shortest cycle in $L(\Gamma(C(X)))$ that contains distinct vertices $[f_1, f_2]$ and $[g_1, g_2]$. The proof is parallel to the proof of Theorem 9 of [1], hence it is omitted.

Theorem 13. Let $[f_1, f_2]$ and $[g_1, g_2]$ be two distinct vertices of $L(\Gamma(C(X)))$. Then, the following conditions hold:

 $(i) \ c([f_1,f_2],[g_1,g_2]) = 3 \ \textit{iff} \ f_i = g_j \ \textit{for some} \ i,j \in \{1,2\}.$

(ii) $c([f_1, f_2], [g_1, g_2]) = 4$ iff $f_i \neq g_j$ for every $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ and for some $i \in \{1, 2\}$, $Z(f_i) \cap Z(g_j) \neq \phi$ for every $j \in \{1, 2\}$ or $Z(f_1) \cap Z(g_i) \neq \phi$ and $Z(f_2) \cap Z(g_j) \neq \phi$ where $\{i, j\} = \{1, 2\}$.

(iii) $c([f_1, f_2], [g_1, g_2]) = 5$ iff $f_i \neq g_j$ for every $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ and for only one $i \in \{1, 2\}, Z(f_i) \cap Z(g_j) \neq \phi$ for only one $j \in \{1, 2\}$.

(iv) $c([f_1, f_2], [g_1, g_2]) = 6$ iff $f_i \neq g_j$ for every $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ and $Z(f_i) \cap Z(g_j) = \phi$ for every $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$.

For $f \in \mathcal{N}(X)$, $N[f] = \{g \in C(X) : Z(f) \cap Z(g) \neq \phi\} \bigcup \{f\}$ is a closed neighbourhood of f and $N(f) = N[f] \setminus \{f\}$ is an open neighbourhood of f. Let L'(N[f]) be a subgraph of $L(\Gamma(C(X)))$, where $V(L'(N[f])) = \{[f,h] \in V(L(\Gamma(C(X)))) : h \in N(f)\}$. Then, every vertex of L'(N[f]) is adjacent since all vertex of L'(N[f]) share a common vertex f in $\Gamma(C(X))$. Hence, L'(N[f]) is a clique in $L(\Gamma(C(X)))$.

Theorem 14. V(L'(N[0])) is a separating set iff |X| = 2.

Proof. If $|X| \geq 3$. Let $[f_1, f_2], [g_1, g_2]$ be two distinct vertices of $L(\Gamma(C(X))) \setminus V(L'(N[0]))$. Let $a \in Z(f_i)$ and $b \in Z(g_j)$ for some $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$. As $|X| \geq 3$, there exists $c \in X$. By the complete regularity of X, there exists $k \in C(X)$ such that k(c) = 1 and $k(\{a, b\}) = 1$. So, $[f_1, f_2] - [f_i, k] - [k, g_j] - [g_1, g_2]$ is a path joining $[f_1, f_2]$ and $[g_1, g_2]$. This shows that $L(\Gamma(C(X))) \setminus V(L'(N[0]))$ is connected, which is a contradiction. Conversely, suppose |X| = 2. It is easy to see that $L(\Gamma(C(X))) \setminus V(L'(N[0]))$ is disconnected, as it is the line graph of $\Gamma(C(X)) \setminus \{0\}$ that is not connected by Theorem 4.

```
Theorem 15. Let f, g \in \mathcal{N}(X) such that f \neq g. Then,

(i) V(L'(N[f])) \cap V(L'(N[g])) = \phi iff Z(f) \cap Z(g) = \phi.

(ii) V(L'(N[f])) \cap V(L'(N[g])) = \{[f,g]\} iff Z(f) \cap Z(g) \neq \phi.
```

Proof. The proof is straightforward.

Theorem 16. For each $f \in \mathcal{N}(X)$, L'(N[f]) is a maximal clique in $L(\Gamma(C(X)))$.

Proof. Suppose L'(N[f]) is not a maximal clique, then there exists $[h_1, h_2] \notin V(L'(N[f]))$ such that $[h_1, h_2]$ is adjacent to every vertex of L'(N[f]).

Claim: $h_i = f$ for some $i \in \{1,2\}$. Suppose $h_i \neq f$ for all $i \in \{1,2\}$. Let $[f,g_1]$ be vertex of L'(N[f]) for some $g_1 \in N[f]$, but $[h_1,h_2]$ is adjacent to every vertex of L'(N[f]) so $[h_1,h_2]$ is adjacent to $[f,g_1]$. Since $h_i \neq f$ for all $i \in \{1,2\}$, so we must have $h_i = g_1$ for some $i \in \{1,2\}$. In particular, $h_1 = g_1$. Again, $[h_1,h_2]$ is adjacent to $[f,g_2]$ for some $g_2 \in N[f]$. We must have $h_2 = g_2$ since $h_i \neq f$ for all $i \in \{1,2\}$ and $g_1 \neq g_2$. Again, $[h_1,h_2]$ is adjacent to $[f,g_3]$ for some $g_3 \in N[f]$ where g_1,g_2,g_3 are all distinct. But this will force $h_i = f$ for some $i \in \{1,2\}$ since $h_1 = g_1$ and $h_2 = g_2$, which contradicts our assumption. Hence, $h_i = f$ for some $i \in \{1,2\}$, which shows that $[h_1,h_2] \in V(L'(N[f]))$. This shows that L'(N[f]) is a maximal clique in $L(\Gamma(C(X)))$.

Theorem 17. $N[[f,g]] = V(L'(N[f])) \bigcup V(L'(N[g]))$, i.e., the close neighbourhood of [f,g] is a union of two maximal cliques.

Proof. Clearly, $V(L'(N[f])) \cup V(L'(N[g])) \subseteq N[[f,g]]$. If $[h_1,h_2] \in N[[f,g]]$, then $[h_1,h_2]$ is adjacent to [f,g]. This shows that $h_i = f$ or $h_i = g$ for some $i \in \{1,2\}$ and $[h_1,h_2] \in V(L'(N[f])) \cup V(L'(N[g]))$. As $[h_1,h_2]$ is an arbitrary vertex, we have, $N[[f,g]] \subseteq V(L'(N[f])) \cup V(L'(N[g]))$. Hence, $N[[f,g]] = V(L'(N[f])) \cup V(L'(N[g]))$.

Using Theorem 3.10.1 and 3.10.3 of [8] and Theorem 5.7, 5.8, 5.9, 5.10, 5.11 of [3], we have the following theorem:

Theorem 18. Let X and Y be (First countable/Real Compact) topological spaces. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) X is homeomorphic to Y.
- (ii) $L(\Gamma(C(X)))$ is graph isomorphic to $L(\Gamma(C(Y)))$.
- (iii) $\Gamma(C(X))$ is graph isomorphic to $\Gamma(C(Y))$.
- (iv) C(X) is isomorphic to C(Y) as a ring.

4 Dominating set

In a graph G, a dominating set is a set D of vertices such that every vertex not in D is adjacent to at least one member of D. The dominating number of a graph G is defined as $dt(G)) = \inf\{|D| : D \text{ is a dominating set of } G\}$. Clearly, 0 is adjacent to every $f \in \mathcal{N}(X)$. So, $\{0\}$ is a dominating set and hence $dt((\Gamma(C(X)))) = 1$.

Theorem 19. Let f and g be two non-zero distinct vertices of $\Gamma(C(X))$. Then, $\{f,g\}$ is a dominating set of $\Gamma(C(X))$ iff fg = 0.

Proof. Suppose $\{f,g\}$ is a dominating set of $\Gamma(C(X))$. If $fg \neq 0$, then there exists some $p \notin Z(fg)$. By the complete regularity of X, there exists $h \in C(X)$ such that h(p) = 0 and h(Z(fg)) = 1. This implies that h is not adjacent to both f and g, which contradicts that $\{f,g\}$ is a dominating set. Conversely, for any vertex $h \in \mathcal{N}(X)$, h is adjacent to 0 = fg. This implies that $Z(h) \cap Z(f) \neq \phi$ or $Z(h) \cap Z(f) \neq \phi$. This shows that $\{f,g\}$ dominates $\Gamma(C(X))$.

Remark 5. In the zero-divisor graph of C(X) [2], two vertices f, g are adjacent if and only if fg = 0. Thus, we conclude that two vertices f, g in the zero-divisor graph are adjacent if and only if the set $\{f,g\}$ dominates the zero-set intersection graph.

Theorem 20. Suppose $\{f,g\}$, $f,g \in \mathcal{N}(X)\setminus\{0\}$ dominates $\Gamma(C(X))$. Then, there exists $0 \neq h \in C(X)$ such that both $\{f,h\}$ and $\{h,g\}$ dominates $\Gamma(C(X))$ iff $int(Z(f)\cap Z(g))\neq \phi$, where $int(Z(f)\cap Z(g))$ is the set of all interior points of $(Z(f)\cap Z(g))$.

Proof. Suppose there exists $0 \neq h \in C(X)$ such that both $\{f, h\}$ and $\{h, g\}$ dominate $\Gamma(C(X))$. Then, fh = 0 and gh = 0. This implies that $\phi \neq X \setminus Z(h) \subseteq int(Z(f) \cap Z(g))$. Conversely, suppose $int(Z(f) \cap Z(g)) \neq \phi$, say $p \in int(Z(f) \cap Z(g))$. So, $p \notin (X \setminus int(Z(f) \cap Z(g)))$. Therefore, by the complete regularity of X, there exists $h \in C(X)$ such that h(p) = 1 and $h(X \setminus int(Z(f) \cap Z(g))) = 0$. This implies that fh = 0 and gh = 0.

A zero set Z in X is called a middle zero set if there exist two proper zero sets Z_1 and Z_2 such that $Z = Z_1 \cap Z_2$ and $X = Z_1 \cup Z_2$ [2]. If every non-empty middle zero set in X has a non-empty interior, then X is called a middle P-space. Using Remark 5 and Theorem 20 in Proposition 2.1 of [2], we have the following remarks:

Remark 6. (i) For every zero divisor $f \neq 0$, there exist $h, g \in \mathcal{N}(X) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\{f, g\}$, $\{g, h\}$ and $\{h, f\}$ dominates the zero-set intersection graph iff X has no isolated points. (ii) For every dominating set $\{f, g\}$, $(f, g \in \mathcal{N}(X) \setminus \{0\})$ of zero-set intersection graph $int(Z(f) \cap Z(g)) \neq \phi$ iff X is a connected middle P-space.

Remark 7. From [6, 7.2 (b)] we have for any $f \in \mathcal{N}(X)$, $f \in O^p$ iff there exist $g \notin M^p$ such that $\{f,g\}$ dominate $\Gamma(C(X))$, where $O^p = \{f \in C(X) : cl_{\beta X}Z(f) \text{ is a neighbourhood of } p\}$

For any $f \in C(X)$, the hull of f is given by $H(f) = \{P \in Min(C(X)) : f \in P\}$, where Min(C(X)) is the space of minimal prime ideal of C(X) [7]. Lemma 5.4 (a) and Corollary 5.5 of [7] can be rewritten as:

Lemma 1. For any $f, g \in \mathcal{N}(X)$, $H(Ann(g)) \subseteq H(f)$ or $H(Ann(f)) \subseteq H(g)$ iff $\{f, g\}$ dominate $\Gamma(C(X))$.

Corollary 3. The space Min(C(X)) is compact iff for any $f \in \mathcal{N}(X)$ there exist $g \in \mathcal{N}(X)$ such that $\{f,g\}$ dominate $\Gamma(C(X))$ and $int(Z(f)) \cap int(Z(g)) = \phi$.

Theorem 21. Let $f \in \mathcal{N}(X)$. The set $D_f = \{[f,g] \in V(L(\Gamma(C(X)))) : g \in \mathcal{N}(X)\}$ is a dominating set of $L(\Gamma(C(X)))$ iff f = 0.

Proof. Suppose D_f is a dominating set of $L(\Gamma(C(X)))$. To show that f=0. If possible, let $f \neq 0$. Then, there exists $p \in X$ and $p \notin Z(f)$. By the complete regularity of X, there exists $h \in C(X)$ such that h(p) = 0 and h(Z(f)) = 1, that is, h and f are not adjacent. Taking k = 2h, then [h, k] is a vertex in $L(\Gamma(C(X)))$, but since D_f is a dominating set. This implies that [h, k] is adjacent to [f, g] for some $g \in \mathcal{N}(X)$. This shows that g = h or g = k as $f \neq h$ and $f \neq k$. But if g = h, we get a contradiction, as h is not adjacent to f. Similarly, if g = k, then we get a contradiction. Hence, we must have f = 0. Conversely, suppose f = 0, then $D_0 = \{[0, g] \in L(\Gamma(C(X))) : g \in \mathcal{N}(X)\}$. Here we see that for any vertex [h, k] in $L(\Gamma(C(X)))$, [h, k] is adjacent to [0, k] and [h, 0]. But [0, k] and [h, 0] are elements of D_0 . This shows that D_0 is a dominating set of $L(\Gamma(C(X)))$.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the referee for thoroughly checking the manuscript and for many valuable comments and suggestions. The first author is also thankful to the NFST Ph.D Research Grant for financial assistance.

References

- [1] G. AlAfifi and E.Abu Osba, On the line graph for zero-divisors of C(X), Hindawi Publishing Corporation, International journal of combinatorics, **2013** (2013), 1-6.
- [2] F. Azarpanah and M. Motamedi, Zero-divisor graph of C(X), Acta Math. Hungar., 108 (2005), 25-36.
- [3] B. Bose and A. Das, Graph theoretic representation of rings of continuous functions, Filomat, (10) **34** (2020), 3417-3428.
- [4] W. W. Comfort and A. W. Hager, Estimates for the number of real-valued continuous functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **150** (1970), 619-631.
- [5] R. Diestel, *Graph Theory*, 3rd edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 173, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005.
- [6] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, Rings of Continuous Functions, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978.

- [7] M. Henriksen and M. Jerison, *The space of minimal prime ideals of a commutative ring*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **115** (1965), 110-130.
- [8] M. Venkatachalapathy, K. Kokila and B. Abarna, *Some trends in line graphs*, Advances in Theoretical and Applied Mathematics, (2) **11** (2016), 171-178.