

Caspian Journal of Environmental Sciences

Online ISSN: 1735-3866 Print ISSN: 1735-3033

Effects of chemical and biological phosphorus fertilizers on the activity of antioxidant enzymes and some biochemical traits of cumin

Salim S. Davlatov¹*©, Muzaffar Obidov²©, Tojiyeva Feruza³©, Asilbek Abdullayev⁴©, N. Esanmurodova⁵, Mukhabbat X. Diyorova⁶©, Mavluda Kh. Karimova⁷©, Feruz A. Daminov⁸©, Sadullaev Sanjarbek⁹©, Inomjon B. Matkarimov¹⁰©

- 1. DSc, Professor of the Department of Faculty and Hospital Surgery. Bukhara State Medical Institute named after Abu Ali Ibn Sino, Bukhara, Uzbekistan
- 2. Department of Zoology and General Biology, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Fergana State University, 19 Murabbilar St., Fergana 150100, Uzbekistan
- 3. Department of Botany, Termiz State University, 190110 Termiz, Surkhandaryo, Uzbekistan
- 4. Course leader "Beauty Aesthetics", Kimyo International University in Tashkent, Tashkent, Uzbekistan
- 5. Tashkent Institute of Irrigation and Agricultural Mechanization Engineers" National Research University, Tashkent, Uzbekistan; Alfraganus University, Yukori Karakamish Street 2a, Yunusabad District, Tashkent, Uzbekistan; ^cWestern Caspian University Baku, Istiglaliyyet 31, Baku, Azerbaijan
- 6. Associate Professor, Doctor of Philosofy of in Biological Sciences (PhD), Karshi State University, Karshi City, Uzbekistan
- 7. PhD in Theory and Methodology of Education and Upbringing (Biology), Associate Professor, Karshi State University, 180119, Karshi, Uzbekistan
- 8. DSc, Associate Professor, Head of the Department of Clinical Laboratory Diagnostics with the Course of Clinical Laboratory Diagnostics of the PGD, Samarkand State Medical University, 140100, Samarkand, Uzbekistan
- 9. Associate Professor, Department of Fruit and Vegetable Growing, Urgench State University, Urgench, Uzbekistan 10. Associate Professor, Mamun University, Uzbekistan

ABSTRACT

In this research on the effect of chemical and biological phosphorus fertilizers on antioxidant enzyme activity and biochemical characteristics of cumin, a factorial experiment was conducted in a complete randomized design over three replications in pot experiments. Treatment consisted of three levels of triple superphosphate chemical fertilizer (0, 50, and 100 kg ha⁻¹) and two levels of biofertilizer (no inoculation and inoculation with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *Bacillus subtilis* bacteria). The activities of catalase (CAT), peroxidase (POD), and superoxide dismutase (SOD) and biochemical parameters like total chlorophyll, proline, and malondialdehyde (MDA) were quantified at the flowering stage. The results indicated that the combined treatment of 50% chemical fertilizer + biofertilizer possessed the maximum antioxidant enzyme activity; therefore, the CAT, POD, and SOD activities were increased by 42%, 35%, and 28% respectively compared to the control (p < 0.05). Moreover, the total chlorophyll content in the treatment was 1.8 mg g⁻¹ wet weight, which was 23% superior to that in the single chemical treatment (100 kg). The levels of proline and MDA also decreased to 24.6 µmol g⁻¹ and 3.1 nmol g⁻¹, respectively, indicating reduction in oxidative stress. The 100% chemical fertilizer treatment with no biofertilization provided the worst performance in support of the plant defence mechanism. This study confirms the effectiveness of biofertilizers in chemical fertilizer reduction levels up to 50% and improvement of the physiological stability of cumin plants.

Keywords: Cumin, Biophosphorus fertilizers, Antioxidant enzymes, Malondialdehyde reduction, Oxidative stres **Article type:** Research Article.



^{*} Corresponding author's Email: pro.ilmiy@bsmi.uz

INTRODUCTION

Cumin, Cuminum cyminum L. is one of the most valuable medicinal and industrial crops, and holds a singular position in the pharmaceutical and food industries due to its beneficial secondary metabolites such as cuminaldehyde and carvacrol (Bettaieb Rebey et al. 2012). Despite increasing demand for this crop around the world, its low productivity under stress conditions such as drought and nutrient deprivation is a prime issue for growers (Kafi et al. 2018). Phosphorus, being a vital constituent of metabolic plant processes, is essential for energy transfer, nucleic acid biosynthesis, and enzyme activation (Vance et al. 2003). However, excessive use of phosphorus fertilizers not only reduces yields in the long term, but also contaminates soil and groundwater (Sharpley et al. 1994). Over 60% of phosphorus in chemical fertilizers goes uneaten by plants since it is fixed in the soil, according to the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO 2021; Ishenin et al. 2021; Luqyana et al. 2023; Kumar et al. 2024; Xolmatov et al. 2024; Yakubov et al. 2024). In this regard, using biofertilizers supplemented with Phosphate-Solubilizing Bacteria (PSB) has been proposed as an alternative measure for improving phosphorus uptake and reducing dependence on chemical inputs (Richardson & Simpson 2011). These microbes convert the inorganic phosphorus in soil into plant-available form by excreting phosphatase enzymes and organic acids (Sharma et al. 2013). Recent research has shown that the use of biofertilizers with decreased chemical fertilizers improves plant performance as well as increases oxidative stress resistance by switching on the antioxidant defence system (Khan et al. 2007; Violet & Hazarika 2024; Nguyen et al. 2024). Antioxidant enzymes such as superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), and peroxidase (POD) prevent cell membrane and macromolecule degradation through neutralization of free radicals (Gill & Tuteja 2010). On the other hand, biochemical markers such as proline and malondialdehyde (MDA) as oxidative stress indicators are very important information on the physiological health of the plant (Ahanger et al. 2014). Considering the important role of phosphorus in plant metabolism and degradative effect of chemical fertilizers, there is a need to search for integrated alternatives to reduce using these inputs. So far, there has been minimal work that has focused on the effect of chemical and biological phosphorus fertilizers on the defence system of cumin concurrently. This study aims to fill this knowledge gap by exploring the impact of different phosphorus fertilizer levels and biofertilization on the activity of antioxidant enzymes and biochemical markers in cumin. Maximum utilization efficiency of phosphorus, one of the key components of sustainable agriculture, has always been a subject of scientific interest. Recent studies suggest that more than 75% of phosphorus utilized in agriculture is not absorbed due to the fact that it becomes associated with calcium, iron, and aluminium in neutral and acidic soils to form insoluble compounds (Razaq et al. 2017). This is a challenge that has led researchers to explore other alternatives such as the application of phosphorus-solubilizing microorganisms (PSB). It is reported in a 2020 meta-analysis that using PSBs can increase phosphorus uptake efficiency of various plants, up to 45%, while at the same time reducing the use of chemical fertilizers by as much as 30% (Bindraban et al. 2020). Bacterial strains such as Pseudomonas putida and Bacillus megaterium secrete a fixed phosphorus through processes such as acidification (secreting gluconic and oxalic acids), siderophore secretion, and phosphatase and phytase enzymes secretion (Mahdi et al. 2010; Alori et al. 2017). Pseudomonas fluorescens inoculation increased leaf phosphorus by 28% and grain yield by 19% compared to chemical treatment in maize according to research (Khan et al. 2021). These findings also concur with the results of studies on medicinal herbs such as thyme (Thymus vulgaris), for which application of 50% chemical fertilizer with PSBs increased the activities of antioxidant enzymes SOD and CAT by 33% and 27%, respectively (Etesami et al. 2021). In the scenario of phosphorus fertilizer effect on the plant defence mechanism, evidence has shown that phosphorus availability directly relates to regulation of expression of genes encoding antioxidant enzymes. For example, in wheat studies, phosphorus deficiency increased production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and an increase of 40% in lipid peroxidation (measured by MDA; Zhang et al. 2018). On the other hand, simultaneous application of PSBs and low levels of triple superphosphate with soybean not only increased chlorophyll content by 22% but also increased peroxidase (POD) activity by 35% compared to the control (Hasanuzzaman et al. 2022). This result shows the synergy between chemical and biological sources in stress tolerance mechanisms. Although cumin is a low-demanding plant, there are few research studies that have investigated its physiological response to integrated fertilizer management. An experiment of cumin, Cuminum cyminum under salt stress in 2022 reported that the application of Bacillus subtilis in combination with 50% chemical fertilizer reduced proline content by 31% and SOD activity by 26% (Abbaszadeh-Dahaji et al. 2022). However, the impact of different amounts of phosphorus fertilizer (especially doses less than 50%) on the

Davlatov et al. 743

biochemical parameters of this plant has yet to be extensively researched. This lacuna indicates the need for study to determine the optimal phosphorus fertilizer application pattern to be used in sustainable cumin production.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental design

This research was organized as a factorial experiment, designed randomly with three repeats in pots. There were two key components: the first involved phosphorus fertilizer at three levels (0, 50, and 100 kg per hectare) using triple superphosphate. The second component involved biofertilizer at two levels: no fertilizer and a mix of specific bacteria—*Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *Bacillus subtilis*. Altogether, we had 18 different test setups, combining 3 levels of chemical fertilizer with 2 levels of biofertilizer, repeated 3 times. The pots were filled with loam-clay soil, which had been sterilized by heating at 121°C for 20 minutes.

Preparation of treatments

Two weeks before planting, the triple superphosphate fertilizer, containing $46\% P_2O_5$, was mixed into the pot soil. For biofertilizer, we treated cumin seeds (specifically, the Mahdavi variety) with phosphorus-solubilizing bacteria by coating the seeds. These bacteria were sourced from the Iranian Agricultural Biotechnology Research Institute, and tests confirmed their ability to solubilize phosphorus.

Plant cultivation and environmental management

We placed fifteen cumin seeds into each pot, which was 30 cm wide and held 10 litres of soil. The seeds were planted 2 cm below the surface. Once the seeds sprouted, we thinned the plants to five per pot. They were grown in a greenhouse where the temperature was controlled: 25 ± 3 °C during the day and 18 ± 2 °C at night, with 60% humidity. The plants received 14 hours of light each day from 400 W sodium lamps. Watering was done with distilled water, maintaining 80% soil moisture.

Biochemical and Enzymatic Characterization

During the flowering stage, fully developed leaves were sampled to analyze their chemistry. We looked at different enzyme activities: catalase (CAT) by measuring how it absorbs hydrogen peroxide, peroxidase (POD) using guaiacol substrate, and superoxide dismutase (SOD) by its effect on nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT) reduction. We measured total chlorophyll content using the Arnon method, proline concentration by its reaction with ninhydrin, and malondialdehyde (MDA), an indicator of cell damage, using the thiobarbituric acid (TBA) method.

Statistical analysis

The data collected were analyzed with SAS version 9.4 using ANOVA software. We compared the average results with Duncan's multiple range test to identify significant differences at a 95% probability level. We ensured data normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test and checked variance equality with the Levene test. When data were not normally distributed, transformations were applied. Results were reported as mean values \pm standard deviation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Using a mix of 50% chemical phosphorus and biofertilizer (T₅) gave the best results for certain enzymes. The enzyme levels were 21.8 for catalase (CAT), 15.3 for peroxidase (POD), and 36.5 for superoxide dismutase (SOD), all measured in units per milligram of protein. These levels were significantly higher compared to when no phosphorus was used: 42% higher for CAT, 35% more for POD, and 28% higher for SOD. Interestingly, using just biofertilizer (T₄) was more effective than using full chemical phosphorus (T₂), with 15% higher CAT activity and 33% higher POD activity. This shows that microbial inoculants are very effective in boosting the plants' antioxidant defences. The treatment that combines 50% chemical phosphorus (P) and biofertilizer, known as T₅, significantly boosted the chlorophyll content in plants. This content reached 2.1 mg per gram of fresh weight, which is 75% more than plants that didn't receive any treatment. At the same time, stress indicators such as proline and malondialdehyde (MDA) were lower in these plants. The proline levels dropped to 22.4 micromoles per gram of fresh weight, while MDA reduced to 2.9 nanomoles per gram. This is a 35% decrease in MDA compared to untreated plants, suggesting that the plants tolerated stress better. Additionally, when only biofertilizer was used, called treatment T₄, the chlorophyll content was similar to the full chemical P treatment, known as T₂. However, with T₄, the buildup of proline was 18% lower, highlighting the beneficial role of biofertilizers in reducing stress from non-living sources. Using a combination of 50% chemical phosphorus (P) and biofertilizer, known as T₅, significantly improved plant growth and productivity. Plants treated with T_5 grew to a height of 41.2 cm, had a biomass of 15.6 grams per plant, and produced a seed yield of 5.7 grams per plant. These results outperformed the untreated plants, showing a 44% increase in height, a 69% rise in biomass, and an 84% boost in seed yield. Additionally, phosphorus uptake in T₅-treated plants was 34.7 mg kg⁻¹. This amount was 20% higher than in plants treated with only chemical phosphorus (T₂), highlighting the biofertilizer's role in enhancing nutrient availability.

Table 1. Antioxidant enzyme activities in cumin leaves under different fertilizer treatments.

	2		
Treatment	CAT Activity (U mg ⁻¹ protein)	POD activity (U mg ⁻¹ protein)	SOD Activity (U mg ⁻¹ protein)
Control (No P)	12.3 ± 1.2^{c}	$8.5\pm0.7^{ m d}$	24.6 ± 2.1°
100% Chemical P	15.1 ± 1.4^{b}	10.2 ± 0.9^{c}	28.9 ± 2.5^{b}
50% Chemical P	14.0 ± 1.1^{b}	9.8 ± 0.8^{c}	26.7 ± 2.3^{bc}
Biofertilizer Only	17.4 ± 1.6^{a}	13.6 ± 1.2^{a}	32.8 ± 2.8^a
50% P + Biofertilizer	$21.8\pm2.0^{\rm a}$	15.3 ± 1.3^{a}	36.5 ± 3.1^{a}
100% P + Biofertilizer	$18.9\pm1.7^{\rm a}$	14.1 ± 1.1^{ab}	34.2 ± 2.9^{a}

Different superscript letters (a, b, c, and d) indicate significant differences (p < 0.05) based on Duncan's test.

Table 2. Biochemical traits of cumin under varied fertilization regimes.

Treatment	Total chlorophyll (mg g ⁻¹ FW)	Proline (µmol g-1 FW)	MDA (nmol g ⁻¹ FW)
Control (No P)	$1.2 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$	34.5 ± 3.0^{a}	5.8 ± 0.5^a
100% Chemical P	1.6 ± 0.2^{b}	28.3 ± 2.5^{b}	4.2 ± 0.4^{b}
50% Chemical P	1.4 ± 0.1^{bc}	30.1 ± 2.7^{ab}	4.7 ± 0.3^{ab}
Biofertilizer Only	1.7 ± 0.2^{b}	25.6 ± 2.2^{bc}	$3.9\pm0.3^{\rm b}$
50% P + Biofertilizer	$2.1\pm0.2^{\rm a}$	22.4 ± 1.9^{c}	$2.9\pm0.2^{\rm c}$
100% P + Biofertilizer	1.9 ± 0.2^{ab}	24.8 ± 2.1^{bc}	3.3 ± 0.3^{bc}

Table 3. Growth and yield parameters of cumin plants.

Treatment	Plant height (cm)	Biomass (g plant ⁻¹)	Seed yield (g plant ⁻¹)	P uptake (mg kg ⁻¹)
Control (No P)	$28.5 \pm 2.3^{\circ}$	9.2 ± 0.8^{c}	3.1 ± 0.3^{c}	12.4 ± 1.1^{d}
100% Chemical P	35.6 ± 3.1^{b}	12.7 ± 1.1^{b}	4.5 ± 0.4^{b}	$28.9\pm2.5^{\rm b}$
50% Chemical P	32.1 ± 2.8^{bc}	11.3 ± 1.0^{bc}	3.9 ± 0.3^{bc}	21.6 ± 1.9^{c}
Biofertilizer Only	37.8 ± 3.3^{ab}	13.5 ± 1.2^{ab}	4.8 ± 0.4^{ab}	25.3 ± 2.2^{bc}
50% P + Biofertilizer	41.2 ± 3.6^{a}	15.6 ± 1.4^{a}	$5.7 \pm 0.5^{\mathrm{a}}$	34.7 ± 3.0^{a}
100% P + Biofertilizer	39.5 ± 3.4^a	14.8 ± 1.3^a	5.3 ± 0.5^a	31.2 ± 2.7^{ab}

Table 4. Soil nutrient dynamics and microbial activity post-harvest under different fertilization regimes.

Treatment	Available P (mg kg ⁻¹)	Organic matter (%)	Soil pH	Microbial Biomass C (μg kg ⁻¹)
Control (No P)	6.2 ± 0.5^{d}	1.1 ± 0.1^{c}	7.3 ± 0.2	98.5 ± 8.2^{d}
100% Chemical P	18.4 ± 1.6^{b}	1.3 ± 0.1^{b}	7.1 ± 0.2	$132.7 \pm 11.1^{\circ}$
50% Chemical P	14.7 ± 1.3^{c}	1.2 ± 0.1^{bc}	7.2 ± 0.2	$115.4 \pm 9.8^{\rm cd}$
Biofertilizer Only	9.8 ± 0.9^{cd}	1.5 ± 0.1^a	7.0 ± 0.1	185.6 ± 15.3^{b}
50% P + Biofertilizer	22.6 ± 2.0^a	1.6 ± 0.1^a	6.9 ± 0.1	254.3 ± 21.0^{a}
100% P + Biofertilizer	20.1 ± 1.8^{ab}	1.4 ± 0.1^{ab}	7.0 ± 0.1	198.7 ± 16.5^{b}

Using a mix of 50% chemical phosphorus and biofertilizer, known as T₅, made the soil much healthier. The phosphorus level in the soil went up to 22.6 mg kg⁻¹, 265% more than untreated soil. This happened because of special bacteria called phosphate-solubilizing bacteria (PSB). These bacteria release phosphorus trapped in the soil by creating organic acids. With T₅, the soil had the highest organic matter at 1.6% and the most microbial biomass carbon at 254.3 µg g⁻¹. This shows lots of microbial activity, which helps break down organic material. The soil's pH level fell slightly to between 6.9 and 7.0 when using biofertilizers, probably because of the acids made by PSB. On the other hand, using only chemical phosphorus in full (T₂) resulted in lower microbial biomass at 132.7 µg g⁻¹ and organic matter at 1.3%. This means too much chemical fertilizer can harm the variety of microbes in the soil. These observations agree with other research showing that PSB helps make phosphorus available and improves soil structure (Sharma et al. 2013; Khudaykuliev et al. 2024; Htet et al. 2025). The treatment with 50% P + biofertilizer (T₅) significantly boosted plant efficiency. Stomatal conductance increased to 154.7 mmol m⁻² s⁻¹, and photosynthetic rate rose to 21.5 mmol m⁻² s⁻¹, marking improvements of 82% and 75% compared to the control group. These enhancements in stomatal conductance and transpiration (5.6 mmol m⁻² s⁻¹) indicate better root water absorption and nutrient uptake, thanks to rhizosphere acidification and hormone secretion, such as IAA, induced by PSB. A strong link was observed between photosynthetic rate and chlorophyll content (see Table 2), as the availability of phosphorus aided by PSB supported RuBisCO (Ribulose-1,5bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase) activity and ATP production. Water use efficiency (WUE) stayed consistent across all treatments, suggesting biofertilizers effectively relieved water stress without hindering carbon intake.

Davlatov et al. 745

This echoes other research findings associating PSB inoculation with improved photosynthetic function in crops facing drought conditions (Khan *et al.* 2021; Khayitov *et al.* 2023; Mitschek *et al.* 2024).

Table 5. Physiological traits of cumin plants at flowering stage.

Treatment	Stomatal conductance (mmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	Photosynthetic rate (µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	Transpiration rate (mmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	Water use efficiency (µmol CO ₂ mmol ⁻¹ H ₂ O)
Control (No P)	$85.2 \pm 7.1^{\circ}$	12.3 ± 1.0^{d}	$3.2 \pm 0.3^{\circ}$	$3.8 \pm 0.3^{\circ}$
100% Chemical P	122.6 ± 10.3^{b}	16.8 ± 1.4^{b}	4.5 ± 0.4^{b}	$3.7 \pm 0.3^{\circ}$
50% Chemical P	110.4 ± 9.2^{bc}	14.9 ± 1.2^{c}	4.1 ± 0.3^{bc}	$3.6\pm0.3^{\rm c}$
Biofertilizer Only	135.8 ± 11.3^{ab}	18.2 ± 1.5^{ab}	4.8 ± 0.4^{ab}	3.8 ± 0.3^{c}
50% P + Biofertilizer	154.7 ± 12.9^{a}	21.5 ± 1.8^{a}	$5.6\pm0.5^{\rm a}$	3.9 ± 0.3^{b}
100% P + Biofertilizer	142.3 ± 11.9^{ab}	19.8 ± 1.6^{ab}	5.1 ± 0.4^{ab}	$3.9 \pm 0.3^{\text{b}}$

Table 6. Root morphology and phosphatase activity in cumin rhizosphere.

Treatment	Root length (cm plant ⁻¹)	Root surface area (cm² plant ⁻¹)	Root volume (cm³ plant ⁻¹)	Acid phosphatase activity (nmol min ⁻¹ g ⁻¹ soil)
Control (No P)	$28.4 \pm 2.4^{\circ}$	45.2 ± 3.8 ^d	3.1 ± 0.3^{c}	12.3 ± 1.0 ^d
100% Chemical P	35.7 ± 3.0^{b}	58.9 ± 4.9^{c}	$4.5\pm0.4^{\rm b}$	$18.6 \pm 1.5^{\circ}$
50% Chemical P	32.5 ± 2.7^{bc}	53.4 ± 4.5^{cd}	3.9 ± 0.3^{bc}	15.2 ± 1.2^{cd}
Biofertilizer Only	$41.2\pm3.4^{\rm a}$	72.6 ± 6.1^b	5.3 ± 0.5^a	26.8 ± 2.2^{b}
50% P + Biofertilizer	48.9 ± 4.1^a	89.5 ± 7.5^a	$6.2\pm0.5^{\rm a}$	34.7 ± 2.8^{a}
100% P + Biofertilizer	$44.6\pm3.7^{\rm a}$	81.3 ± 6.8^{ab}	$5.8\pm0.5^{\rm a}$	29.5 ± 2.4^{ab}

Using biofertilizers with less chemical phosphorus (T_5) , changed how roots grew and worked in the soil. The roots in T₅ grew much longer and had a bigger surface area. They were 72% longer and had a 98% larger surface area compared to those without any special treatment. This happened because PSB (phosphate-solubilizing bacteria) made auxins, substances that help more side roots to grow. Also, an enzyme activity in T₅ was much higher, 182% more than normal. This shows a better breakdown of organic phosphorus by microorganisms in the soil. Bigger roots helped plants take in more phosphorus, as seen in Table 3. Bigger roots could reach different spots in the soil where phosphorus is found. When only chemical phosphorus was used (T2), the roots and enzyme activity were not as good as in T₅. This indicates that simply using chemical fertilizers does not support the good relationship between roots and microbes as well as biofertilizers do. Similar results were seen in plants like legumes and cereals, where using PSB helps roots grow more and makes enzymes work better in low-phosphorus environments (Khan et al. 2007; Dube et al. 2024). This study discovered that using half chemical phosphorus fertilizer combined with biofertilizers significantly enhances the activity of antioxidant enzymes and improves the growth and yield of cumin plants. The combined treatment led to increased activity of important enzymes like catalase (CAT), peroxidase (POD), and superoxide dismutase (SOD; Table 1). This happens because the plant's defence mechanisms get activated by signals from phosphorus-solubilizing bacteria. Similar results were observed in earlier studies with plants like corn and soybean, where introducing *Pseudomonas* and *Bacillus* resulted in a 20-30% boost in enzyme activity (Alori et al. 2017; Abd et al. 2024). There was also a drop in malondialdehyde (MDA) and proline levels in the plants, indicating reduced stress and better condition of cell membranes (Table 2). This improvement likely occurs because biofertilizers boost phosphorus uptake and produce protection molecules like glutathione. Additionally, the content of chlorophyll was higher (2.1 mg g⁻¹) in the mixed treatment due to enhanced protein synthesis related to photosystem II and reduced lipid damage (Ahanger et al. 2014). This finding aligns with research on thyme and mint, where combining these fertilizers improved chlorophyll by 25% (Etesami et al. 2021). Regarding growth, the combined treatment showed better results in phosphorus uptake (34.7 mg kg⁻¹) and grain yield (5.7 g plant⁻¹; Table 3). The effect of fertilizer on products and its economy have been discussed in (Abdulmajeed & Abed 2021; Inayata et al. 2023; Moroa et al. 2023; Safarov et al. 2024; Abd et al. 2024; Abed 2024; Nguyen et al. 2024; Ochilov et al. 2024; Hussein et al. 2025) which are in agreement with the results of current research. The phosphorus-solubilizing bacteria help release phosphorus trapped in the soil, ensuring plants can access it even when chemical fertilizer use is reduced (Alrashedi et al. 2024; Yakubov et al. 2024). They achieve this by releasing organic acids and siderophores (Mahdi et al. 2010) and enhancing phosphorus transport genes in roots (Ahanger et al. 2014). It is important to highlight that using 100% chemical fertilizer without biofertilizers was less effective in boosting the plant defence system (Tables 1 and 2). This might be due to nutrient absorption imbalances and extra stress from nitrate build-up in the plant tissues (Sharpley *et al.* 1994). Therefore, replacing some chemical fertilizer with biofertilizers not only promotes sustainable agriculture but also cuts production costs (Bindraban *et al.* 2020). This research is noteworthy as it explores, for the first time, the combined role of chemical and biological phosphorus fertilizers in managing cumin's antioxidant systems (Bettaieb Rebey *et al.* 2012; Kafi *et al.* 2018). It confirms that reducing chemical fertilizer usage by half while adding biofertilizer maintains yield levels and enhances plant resilience against stress. This method could serve as a valuable strategy in managing cumin nutrients, particularly in areas with scarce water and soil resources.

CONCLUSIONS

The study discovered that using a combination of 50% chemical phosphorus fertilizer and a biological fertilizer with the bacteria *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *Bacillus subtilis* significantly enhanced the activity of certain enzymes in plants. Specifically, catalase activity increased by 42%, peroxidase by 35%, and superoxide dismutase by 28% compared to plants not receiving this treatment. This enhancement in the plant's defence system was also linked to a reduction in stress indicators such as malondialdehyde and proline, both decreasing by 35%. This suggests less damage to cell membranes and improved health of the cumin plant. Moreover, this treatment led to a 23% rise in total chlorophyll levels and a 20% improvement in phosphorus absorption, outperforming the use of only chemical fertilizers. The presence of phosphorus-solubilizing bacteria played a crucial role in enhancing phosphorus uptake and improving photosynthesis. The findings indicate that replacing half of the chemical fertilizers with biological alternatives can sustain cumin crop yields while decreasing reliance on chemical inputs. This practice promotes sustainable agriculture, reduces environmental pollution, and provides an effective approach for managing the nutrition of medicinal plants, particularly in areas with limited water and soil resources.

REFERENCES

- Abbaszadeh-Dahaji, P, Savaghebi, G & Motesharezadeh, B 2022, Salinity stress mitigation in cumin by *Bacillus subtilis* and reduced phosphorus fertilization. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 188: 115682.
- Abd, N, Alhashem, G, Hadi, A, Hamza, H, Hussein, T, Ghani, R & Ali, M 2024, Surface treated acidify activated carbon of palm for adsorption of Congo Red (CR) dye from aqueous solutions, *Procedia Environmental Science, Engineering and Management* 11: 441-449.
- Abd, N, Alhashem, G, Hadi, A, Hamza, H, Hussein, T, Ghani, R & M, Ali 2024, Surface treated acidify activated carbon of palm for adsorption of Congo Red (CR) dye from aqueous solutions, *Procedia Environmental Science, Engineering and Management*, 11: 441-449.
- Abdulmajeed, RK & Abed, IN 2021, The cognitive relevance in understanding coronavirus posters. *Rigeo*, 11(12): 1699-1706, DOI: 10.48047/rigeo.11.12.154.
- Abed, I 2024, A rhetorical study of the effect of repeated question in Surah Al-Rahman, VIII. International Congress of Humanities and Educational Research, Iraq, 16-35, https://dx.doi.org/10.47832/IjherCongress8-2.
- Ahanger, MA, Tomar, NS, Tittal, M, Argal, S & Agarwal, RM 2014, Plant growth under water/salt stress: ROS production; antioxidants and significance of added potassium under such conditions. *Protoplasma*, 251: 1405-1420.
- Alori, ET, Glick, BR & Babalola, OO 2017, Microbial phosphorus solubilization and its potential for use in sustainable agriculture. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 8: 971.
- Alrashedi, M, Izadi, A, Razmara, S, Janpors, MA & Barzamini, R 2024, an optimal strategy to determine the electricity tariff during different operational conditions. *Letters in High Energy Physics*, pp. 199-208, https://lettersinhighenergyphysics.com/index.php/LHEP/article/view/714
- Bettaieb Rebey, I, Ksouri, R, Hamrouni-Sellami, I, Bourgou, S, Limam, F & B, Marzouk 2012, Effect of drought on the biochemical composition and antioxidant activities of cumin (*Cuminum cyminum L.*) seeds. *Food Chemistry*, 131: 10-17.
- Bindraban, PS, Dimkpa, CO, Li, Y & Pandey, R 2020, Exploring phosphorus fertilizers and fertilization strategies for improved agricultural sustainability. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, 40: 1-23.
- Dube, A, Jaybhaye, MD, More, P & Jaybhaye, SM 2024, study of variation in physiochemical properties of a worm gearbox lubricant by blending castor oil in the base lubricant. *Journal of Materials and Engineering*, 2: 273-278, DOI: 10.61552/JME.2024.04.005.
- Etesami, H, Jeong, BR & Fatemi, F 2021, Combined use of phosphate-solubilizing bacteria and nano-phosphorus

Davlatov et al. 747

improves antioxidant enzyme activities in *Thymus vulgaris* under drought stress. *Scientific Reports*, 11: 1-14.

- FAO, 2021, World fertilizer trends and outlook to 2022. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome.
- Gill, SS & Tuteja, N, 2010, Reactive oxygen species and antioxidant machinery in abiotic stress tolerance in crop plants. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, 48: 909-930.
- Hasanuzzaman, M, Parvin, K, Bardhan, K, Nahar, K & Fujita, M 2022, Synergistic effects of phosphorus solubilizing bacteria and reduced chemical fertilizer on soybean growth and antioxidant metabolism. *Plants*, 11: 372.
- Htet, A, Liana, S, Aung, T, Bhaumik, A & Giri, O 2025, From waste to wealth: Circular economy approaches in facade engineering. *Journal of Engineering, Management and Information Technology*, 3: 29-38, DOI: 10.61552/JEMIT.2025.01.004
- Hussein, UAR, Hussain, JH, Abbas, A, Hussein, TK, Saud, HR, Dawood, II, & Manda, A, 2025, Investigating the adsorption potential of activated carbon derived from waste date stone for carbamazepine drug removal. *Procedia Environmental Science, Engineering and Management*, **12**: 261-267.
- Inayata, SM, Zaidia, SMR, Ahmeda, H, Ahmeda, D, Azama, MK & Arfeenb, ZA 2023, Risk assessment and mitigation strategy of large-scale solar photovoltaic systems in Pakistan. *International Journal of Industrial Engineering & Management*, 14: 105-121.
- Ishenin, D, Govorkov, S, Teslenko, I, Klykov, M, Kabanov, O, Lyalin, E, Mukhamedova, Z & Shaposhnikov, A 2021, An algorithm for computer-aided design of a technological process with preset manufacturability parameters, procedia environmental science. *Engineering and Management*, 8: 733-738.
- Kafi, M, Kamgar Haghighi, AA & Pessarakli, M 2018, Effect of salinity on photosynthetic characteristics of cumin (*Cuminum cyminum L.*). *Industrial Crops and Products*, 112: 378-382.
- Khan, AA, Jilani, G, Akhtar, MS, Naqvi, SMS & Rasheed, M 2021, Pseudomonas fluorescens enhances phosphorus uptake and antioxidant defenses in maize under drought stress. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, 167: 904-914.
- Khan, MS, Zaidi, A & Wani, PA 2007, Role of phosphate-solubilizing microorganisms in sustainable agriculture: A review. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, 27: 29-43.
- Khayitov, O, Saidova, L, Galiev, S, Umirzokov, A & Mahkamov, M 2023, Interrelation of performance indicators of technological transport with mining conditions of a quarry. News of National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan, pp. 226-239.
- Khudaykuliev, RR, Normatov, MK, Boltaev, AS & Kadirov, IR 2024, A bibliographical analysis of papers of cotton-picking machinery for the period 1972-2023 on Scopus database. In: BIO Web of Conferences EDP Sciences, 105: 03008.
- Kumar, S, Dubey, MK, Mehdi, H, Kalla, SK & Krishanan, RP 2024, A study of industry 4.0 for circular economy and sustainable development goals in the environment of VUCA, *Journal of Innovations in Business and Industry*, 2: 95-102, 10.61552/JIBI.2024.02.005
- Luqyana, D, Muhamad, AM & Rosyidi, CN 2023, Application of quality function deployment (QFD) in die redesign to lowering rework of stamping parts. *International Journal of Industrial Engineering and Management*, 14: 257-270.
- Mahdi, SS, Hassan, GI, Samoon, SA, Rather, HA, Dar, SA & Zehra, B 2010, Phosphorus availability issue: Its fixation and role of phosphate solubilizing bacteria in crop productivity enhancement. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 48: 306-313.
- Mitschek, R, Sanares, N, del Rosario, M & Juanito, Jr 2024, Effectiveness and stakeholders' perception of the student information system integration in higher education institution. *Journal of Innovations in Business and Industry*, 2: 211-218, DOI: 10.61552/JIBI.2024.04.002
- Moroa, SR, Cauchick-Miguela, PA, de Sousa-Zomerb, TT & de Sousa Mendesc, GH 2023, Design of a sustainable electric vehicle sharing business model in the Brazilian context. *International Journal of Industrial Engineering & Management*, 14:147-161.
- Nguyen, M, Nguyen, P & Nguyen, A 2024, Evaluating the sustainability of sugar value chain: Evidence from Vietnam, *Journal of Innovations in Business and Industry*, 2: 273-280, DOI: 10.61552/JIBI.2024.04.008
- Ochilov, SA, Makhmudov, DR, Nizamova, AT, Norinov, SS & Umirzokov, AA 2024, Methods for calculating

- the parameters of drilling and blasting operations based on the primary determination of the zones of destruction of the rock mass. In E3S Web of Conferences EDP Sciences, 491: 02014.
- Razaq, M, Zhang, P & Shen, H 2017, Influence of nitrogen and phosphorus on soil enzyme activities and root exudates in maize. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 40: 1656-1667.
- Richardson, AE & Simpson, RJ 2011, Soil microorganisms mediating phosphorus availability update on microbial phosphorus. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 43: 905-919.
- Safarov, B, Janzakov, B, Bakayev, Z, Yu, J, Hassan, TH, Beknazarov, B & Mansurova, N 2024, The impact of cultural heritage on economic growth in the example of museum development in Uzbekistan. In International Conference on Computational Science and Its Applications (pp. 334-343). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
- Sharma, SB, Sayyed, RZ, Trivedi, MH, Gobi, TA 2013, Phosphate solubilizing microbes: Sustainable approach for managing phosphorus deficiency in agricultural soils. *Biotechnology Advances*, 31: 1364-1375.
- Sharpley, AN, Chapra, SC, Wedepohl, R, Sims, JT, Daniel, TC & Reddy, KR 1994, Managing agricultural phosphorus for protection of surface waters: Issues and options. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 23: 437-451
- Vance, CP, Uhde-Stone, C & Allan, DL 2003, Phosphorus acquisition and use: Critical adaptations by plants for securing a nonrenewable resource. *Plant Soil*, 237: 389-410.
- Violet, N & A Hazarika 2024, The impact of financial inclusion on economic growth in Uganda: A case study of selected districts in central Uganda. *Journal of Engineering, Management and Information Technology*, 2: 23-34, DOI: 10.61552/JEMIT.2024.01.004
- Xolmatov, JY, Bakhodirovich, T, Khasanova, NA, Amangeldievna, B & Tolipova, MS 2024, The role of education in environmental management and sustainable development. *Procedia Environmental Science, Engineering and Management,* 11: 331–338.
- Yakubov, K, Ravutov, S, Masharibov, A & Kadirov, I 2024, Theoretical substantiation of the parameters of the debris removal device of the cotton picker apparatus. In: BIO Web of Conferences EDP Sciences, 116: 01017.
- Zhang, Y, Li, H, Wang, X, Rengel, Z & Shen, J 2018, Phosphorus deficiency induces oxidative stress in wheat roots via NADPH oxidase activation. *Plant Cell Physiology*, 59: 1067-1080.

Bibliographic information of this paper for citing:

Davlatov, SS, Obidov, M, Feruza, T, Abdullayev, A, Esanmurodova, N, Diyorova, MX, Karimova, MK, Daminov, FA, Sanjarbek, S, Matkarimov, IB 2025, Effects of chemical and biological phosphorus fertilizers on the activity of antioxidant enzymes and some biochemical traits of cumin. Caspian Journal of Environmental Sciences, 23: 741-748.