

# The domination uniform subdivision number of $G^{++-}$

T. Berjin Magizha<sup>†</sup>, M. K. Angel Jebitha<sup>‡\*</sup>, S. Sujitha<sup>§</sup>

†St. Xaviers Catholic College of Engineering (Autonomous), Nagercoil, Tamilnadu, India † §Department of Mathematics, Holy Cross College (Autonomous) Nagercoil, Tamilnadu, India

 $Emails: \ berjin@sxcce.edu.in, \ angeljebitha@holycrossngl.edu.in, \ sujitha.s@holycrossngl.edu.in$ 

**Abstract.** Theory of domination plays a vital role in network communications. Different domination parameters have been studied by various mathematicians. In this paper, the exact value of domination uniform subdivision number of transformation graphs  $G^{++-}$  of some standard graphs are obtained. Furthermore, the bounds of  $usd_{\gamma}(G^{++-})$  for any graph G are obtained. Finally,  $sd_{\gamma}$ -critical graph on  $G^{++-}$  are characterized.

Keywords: Domination, Domination uniform subdivision, Transformation graphs. AMS Subject Classification 2010: 05C69, 05C76.

#### 1 Introduction

Let G = (V, E) be a simple undirected graph of order n and size m. If  $v \in V(G)$ , then the neighborhood of v is the set  $N_G(v)$  (orN(v)) consisting of all vertices u which are adjacent to v. The closed neighborhood is  $N_G[v] = N_G(v) \cup \{v\}$ . The degree of v in G is |N(v)| and is denoted by deg(v). The minimum degree of G is  $min\{deg_G(v):v \in V(G)\}$  and is denoted by  $\delta(G)$ . A vertex v is said to be pendant vertex if deg(v) = 1. A path, a cycle and a complete graph on n vertices are denoted by  $P_n$ ,  $C_n$  and  $K_n$  respectively. A complete bipartite graph is denoted by  $K_{m,n}$ . A graph is said to be connected if there exists a path between any pair of vertices. Otherwise it is said to be disconnected. The distance d(u,v) between two vertices u and v of a connected graph G is defined to be the length of any shortest path joining u and v. A shortest u-v path is often called as geodesic. The diameter of a connected graph G is the length of any longest geodesic and is denoted by diam(G). Two graphs G and G are disjoint if they have no vertex in common, and their union is denoted by G + H. The disjoint union of G is written as G.

Received: 23 January 2024/ Revised: 04 November 2024/ Accepted: 24 November 2024

DOI: 10.22124/JART.2024.26561.1624

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author

A subset D of V(G) is said to be dominating set if every vertex of V(G)-D is adjacent to at least one vertex in D. The minimum cardinality taken over all minimal dominating sets of G is the domination number of G and is denoted by  $\gamma(G)$ . The domination subdivision number was introduced by Arumugam, Velammal in [10]. Its bound was obtained in [10] and several authors characterized trees according to their domination subdivision number. Also, many results have been reached on the parameters  $sd_{dd}$ ,  $sd_{\gamma c}$  and  $sd_{\gamma t}$ . An edge e = uv is said to be subdivided when it is deleted and replaced by a u - v path of length two with a new internal vertex w (subdividing vertex).  $G \wedge \{e\}$  is the graph obtained by subdividing the edge e. The domination subdivision number of a graph G is the minimum number of edges whose subdivision increases the domination number. It can also be defined as  $sd_{\gamma}(G) = min\{|E'| : \gamma(G \wedge E') > \gamma(G)\}$ .

A domination uniform subdivision number of G is the least positive integer k such that the subdivision of any k edges from G results in a graph having domination number greater than that of G and is denoted by  $usd_{\gamma}(G)$ . If it does not exist, then  $usd_{\gamma}(G) = 0$ . This number was introduced and studied in [4]. Any graph G is called  $sd_{\gamma}$ -critical if  $usd_{\gamma}(G) = 1$ .

A subset  $S \subseteq E(G)$  is said to be domination subdivision stable set if  $\gamma(G \wedge S) = \gamma(G)$ . A domination subdivision stable set S is said to be maximum domination subdivision stable set if there is no domination subdivision stable set S' such as |S'| > |S|. For any graph  $usd\gamma(G) = |S| + 1$ , S is the maximum domination subdivision stable set of G.

Wu and Meng [13] generalized the concept of total graphs to a total transformation graph  $G^{xyz}$  with  $x, y, z \in \{+, -\}$  where  $G^{+++}$  is the complement of  $G^{---}$ . Each of these eight kinds of transformation graph  $G^{xyz}$  appears to have some nice properties. For instance, their diameters are small in most cases [13], and their edge connectives are equal to their minimum degree etc. [5]. Several authors have discussed various concepts on transformation graphs [1, 2, 6, 9–11, 13].

The transformation graph  $G^{++-}$  of G is a simple graph with vertex set  $V(G) \cup E(G)$  in which adjacency is defined as follows: (a) two elements in V(G) are adjacent if and only if they are adjacent in G, (b) two elements in E(G) are adjacent if and only if they are adjacent in G and (c) an element of V(G) and an element of E(G) are adjacent if and only if they are not incident in G. The domination subdivision number of the transformation graph  $G^{-+-}$  was studied in [3]. In [2], the domination uniform subdivision number of  $G^{---}$  has been investigated. In this paper, we investigate the domination uniform subdivision number of  $G^{++-}$  and we provide some bounds for  $usd_{\gamma}(G^{++-})$ . Further we characterize  $sd_{\gamma}$ -critical graphs. Terms not defined here are used in the sense of [8].

### 2 Basic results on $G^{++-}$

Let *G* be a graph of order *n* and size *m*. A graph  $G^{++-}$  is a derived graph. The order of  $G^{++-}$  is  $m+n, d_{G^{++-}}(x) = m$  for  $x \in V(G)$  and  $d_{G^{++-}}(e) = n-4+d_G(u)+d_G(v)$  for any  $e = uv \in E(G)$ . So,  $\delta(G^{++-}) = min\{m, n-4+min_{uv \in E(G)}\{d(u)+d(v)\}\}$ .

**Remark 1** ([9]). Let G be a r-regular graph with n vertices and m edges. Let  $u \in V(G)$  and  $e \in E(G)$ , then  $d_{G^{++-}}(u) = m$  and  $d_{G^{++-}}(e) = 2r + n - 4$ .

**Remark 2** ([12]). Let G be a graph of order  $n \ge 6$  and size m. If  $m \ge n$ ,  $G^{++-}$  is Hamiltonian.

By the definition of  $G^{++-}$ , any vertex v of G is adjacent to all the adjacent vertex of v in G and all the non incident edges of v in G. Also any incident edge of v in G is adjacent all the remaining non-adjacent vertex of v and incident edge v. Hence we have the following observation.

**Remark 3.** For any graph G,  $\gamma(G^{++-}) = 2$ .

**Remark 4** ([14]). For two graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ ,  $G_1^{++-} \cong G_2^{++-}$  if and only if  $G_1 \cong G_2$ .

Wu and Meng [12] determined the independence number of  $G^{++-}$  and obtained a lower bound for the connectivity of  $G^{++-}$ . Also they provided a simple sufficient condition for  $G^{++-}$  to be hamiltonian. Furthermore in [11], b-chromatic number of  $G^{++-}$  was studied. In [7], clique covering of  $G^{++-}$  was discussed. The object of this paper is to study the domination uniform subdivision number of  $G^{++-}$  and also its bounds.

#### 3 Results on standard graphs

**Theorem 1.** For  $n \geq 8$ ,  $usd_{\gamma}(P_n^{++-}) = 3$ .

Proof. Let  $V(P_n) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  and  $E(P_n) = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n-1}\}$ . Then  $V(P_n^{++-}) = V(P_n) \cup E(P_n)$ . Also  $d_{P_n^{++-}}(e_i) = n$ ,  $(2 \le i \le n-2)$ ,  $d_{P_n^{++-}}(e_1) = d_{P_n^{++-}}(e_{n-1}) = n-1$  and  $d_{P_n^{++-}}(v_i) = n-1$ ,  $(1 \le i \le n)$ . Let D be a minimum dominating set of  $P_n^{++-}$ . Then  $D = \{v_i, e_i\}$  where  $v_i$  is incident with  $e_i$  in  $P_n$ . The vertex  $e_i(2 \le i \le n-2)$  is of maximum degree in  $P_n^{++-}$  and is adjacent to  $e_{i-1}$ ,  $e_{i+1}$ ,  $v_1$ ,  $v_2$ , ...,  $v_{i-1}$ ,  $v_{i+1}$ ,  $v_{i+2}$ , ...,  $v_n$ . But  $v_i$  is adjacent only two vertices  $e_{i-1}$ ,  $e_{i+1}$  among those vertices. Hence, any subdivision stable set has at most two edges of  $P_n^{++-}S_1 = \{e_iv_{i-1}, e_ie_{i+1}\}$ . Therefore,  $S_2 = \{e_iv_{i+2}, e_ie_{i-1}\}$  are maximum domination subdivision stable sets of  $P_n^{++-}$ . Also  $|S_1| = |S_2| = 2$ . Therefore  $usd_\gamma(P_n^{++-}) = 3$ . □

**Theorem 2.** For any cycle  $C_n (n \ge 4)$ ,  $usd_{\gamma}(C_n^{++-}) = 3$ .

Proof. Let  $V(C_n) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  and  $E(C_n) = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ . Then  $V(C_n^{++-}) = V(C_n) \cup E(C_n)$  and  $\gamma(C_n^{++-}) = 2$ . All the vertices of  $C_n^{++-}$  are of same degree. Let D be a minimum dominating set of  $C_n^{++-}$ . Then  $D = \{v_i, e_j\}$ , where  $v_i$  is incident with  $e_j$  in  $C_n$ . Therefore, there are two vertices of  $C_n^{++-}$  which are common adjacent vertices of  $v_i$  and  $e_j$ . Hence any maximum domination subdivision stable set consists of only two edges. Therefore  $usd_{\gamma}(C_n^{++-}) = 3$ .  $\square$ 

**Theorem 3.** For any  $r \geq 3$ ,  $usd_{\gamma}(K_{1,r}^{++-}) = r$ .

Proof. Let  $V(K_{1,r}) = \{v, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r\}$ ,  $E(K_{1,r}) = \{e_1 = vv_1, e_2 = vv_2, \dots, e_r = vv_r\}$  and  $V(K_{1,r}^{++-}) = V(K_{1,r}) \cup E(K_{1,r})$ . In a graph  $K_{1,r}^{++-}$ ,  $d_{K_{1,r}}^{++-}(e) = 2r - 1$ ,  $e \in E(G)$  and  $d_{K_{1,r}}^{++-}(v) = r, v \in V(G)$ . We have  $\gamma(K_{1,r}^{++-}) = 2$  and the minimum dominating set  $D = \{e_i, v\}$  or  $D = \{e_i, v_i\}$  of  $K_{1,r}^{++-}$ . Now  $N[v_i] = \{v, e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{i-1}, e_{i+1}, \dots, v_r\}$  and  $N[e_i] = \{v, e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{i-1}, e_{i+1}, \dots, v_r\}$ 

 $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{i-1}, e_{i+1}, \dots, e_r, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{i-1}, \dots, v_r\} \text{ Thus, } N[v_i] \cap N[e_i] = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{i-1}, e_{i+1}, \dots, e_r\}.$  Hence the maximum domination subdivision stable sets are  $S_1 = \{v_i e_1, v_i e_2, \dots, v_i e_{i-1}, v_i e_{i+1}, \dots, v_i e_r\} \text{ and } S_2 = \{e_i e_1, e_i e_2, \dots, e_{i-1}, e_i e_{i+1}, \dots, e_i e_r\}.$  Therefore,  $|S_1| = |S_2| = r-1. \text{ Now } N[v] = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r, e_1, e_2, \dots, e_r\}, \ N[v] \cap N[e_i] = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{i-1}, e_{i+1}, \dots, e_r, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{i-1}, \dots, v_r\}.$  Hence maximum domination subdivision stable sets are  $S_3 = \{vv_1, vv_2, \dots, vv_{i-1}, vv_{i+1}, \dots, vv_r\} \text{ and } S_4 = \{e_i e_1, e_i e_2, \dots, e_i e_{i-1}, e_i e_{i+1}, \dots, e_i e_r\}$  Therefore  $|S_3| = |S_4| = r-1.$  Since these four are the only maximum domination subdivision stable sets,  $usd_{\gamma}(K_{1,r}^{++-}) = r-1+1 = r.$ 

**Theorem 4.** For all  $r, s \ge 2$ ,  $usd_{\gamma}(K_{r,s}^{++-}) = r + s - 1$ .

Proof. Let the vertex set of  $K_{r,s}^{++-}$  is partitioned into two sets  $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r\}$  and  $U = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s\}$ . Thus  $V(K_{r,s}^{++-}) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s\}$ . Take  $e_{ij} = v_i u_j$  and  $E(K_{r,s}^{++-}) = \{e_{11}, e_{12}, \dots, e_{1s}, e_{21}, e_{22}, \dots, e_{2s}, \dots, e_{r1}, e_{r2}, \dots, e_{rs}\}$ . Since  $\gamma(K_{r,s}^{++-}) = 2$ , a minimum dominating set of  $K_{r,s}^{++-}$  is either  $D = \{v_i, e_{ij}\}$  or  $D = \{u_i, e_{ij}\}$ . Case (i):  $D = \{v_i, e_{ij}\}$ .

If  $D = \{v_i, e_{ij}\}$ , the maximum domination subdivision stable sets are

 $S_1 = \{v_i u_1, v_i u_2, \dots, v_i u_{j-1}, v_i u_{j+1}, \dots, v_i u_s\} \cup \{v_1 e_{1j}, v_2 e_{2j}, \dots, v_{j-1} e_{(j-1)j}, v_{j+1} e_{(j+1)}j, \dots, v_r e_{rj}\}$  and  $S_2 = \{e_{ij} u_1, e_{ij} u_2, \dots, e_{ij} u_{j-1}, e_{ij} u_{j+1}, \dots, e_{ij} u_s\} \cup \{e_{ij} e_{1j}, e_{ij} e_{2j}, \dots, e_{ij} e_{(j+1)j}, \dots, e_{ij} e_{rj}\}.$  Then  $|S_1| = |S_2| = r + s - 2.$ 

Case (ii):  $D = \{u_i, e_i j\}.$ 

Similar to case(i), there are r+s-2 vertices are common to  $u_i$  and  $e_{ij}$  in  $K_{r,s}^{++-}$  and hence any maximum domination subdivision stable set has r+s-2 edges of  $K_{r,s}^{++-}$ . Therefore,  $usd_{\gamma}(K_{r,s}^{++-}) = r+s-2+1 = r+s-1$ .

**Theorem 5.** For all  $n \ge 4$ ,  $usd_{\gamma}(K_n^{++-}) = 2n - 3$ .

Proof. Let  $V(K_n)=\{v_1,v_2,\ldots,v_n\}$ . Thus  $V(K_n^{++-})=V(K_n)\cup E(K_n)$ . In  $K_n^{++-}$ ,  $d_{K_n^{++-}}(v_i)=\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$  and  $d_{K_n^{++-}}(e)=3(n-2), e\in E(K_n)$ . We have  $\gamma(K_n^{-+-})=2$ . Let D be a minimum dominating set of  $K_n^{++-}$ . Then D consists of two vertices  $D=\{v_i,e_j\}$ , where  $e_j$  is an edge that is incident with  $v_i$  in  $K_n$ . Let  $e_j=v_iv_j$ . Then  $S=\{v_iv_1,v_iv_2,\ldots,v_iv_{j-1},v_iv_{j+1},\ldots,v_iv_n\}\cup\{v_if|f$  is incident with  $v_j$  in  $K_n\}$  is a maximum domination subdivision stable set of  $K_n^{++-}$  since  $v_i$  and  $e_j$  are adjacent to  $\{v_1,v_2,\ldots,v_{j-1},v_{j+1},\ldots,v_n\}\cup\{f|f$  is incident with  $v_j$  in  $K_n\}$ . Therefore |S|=n-2+n-2=2n-4. Hence  $usd_{\gamma}(K_n^{++-})=2n-4+1=2n-3$ .

# 4 Lower and upper bounds of $usd_{\gamma}(G^{++-})$

In this section, we obtained the bounds for  $usd_{\gamma}(G^{++-})$  for connected graphs and derived some results on disconnected graphs.

**Theorem 6.** If  $G \cong K_n \cup K_{1,r}$  then  $usd_{\gamma}(G^{++-}) = \frac{(n+1)(n-4)}{2}$  for all  $n \geq 4$  and  $r \geq 3$ .

*Proof.* Let  $V(K_{1,r}) = \bigcup [u, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_r] V(K_n) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}, E(K_{1,r}) = \{e_i = uu_i | 1 \le i \le n\}$  and  $V(K_n) = \{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k\}$  where  $k = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ . Therefore  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, u, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_r \in V(G^{++-})$ . Since  $K_{1,r}$  is a star, there is a vertex u which is adjacent to all other vertices

 $u_j(1 \leq j \leq r)$  of  $K_{1,r}$  and all the edges  $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_k$  of  $K_n$  in  $G^{++-}$ . Moreover since  $K_n$  is a complete graph, any vertex  $v_i \in K_n$  is adjacent to all other vertices  $v_i$  of  $K_n$ , all the edges  $e_i(1 \leq i \leq r)$  of  $K_{1,r}$  and some edges  $f_j(1 \leq j \leq k)$  of  $K_n$  also. Therefore  $\gamma(G^{++-}) = 2$  and  $\{u, v_i\}, 1 \leq i \leq n$  is a dominating set of  $G^{++-}$ . In  $G^{++-}$ , any vertex  $v_i(\in K_n)$  is adjacent to  $\frac{n(n-1)}{2} - d_{K_n}(v_i) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - (n-1) = \frac{(n^2 - n - 2n + 2)}{2} = \frac{(n^2 - 3n + 2)}{2}$  edges of G. Also these  $\frac{(n^2 - 3n + 2)}{2}$  edges of G are common adjacent vertices of G and G and G and G and G are subject to G and G are G and G are G and G are G and G and G are G and G are G and G are G and G are G are G and G are G and G are G are G and G are G and G are G are G and G are G and G are G are G and G are G are G and G are G and G are G are G and G and G are G and G are G are G and G are G are G and G are G and G are G are G and G are G are G and G are G are G and G are G are G and G are G

**Theorem 7.** If  $G \cong K_1 \cup K_n$ , then  $usd_{\gamma}(G^{++-}) = \frac{(n-1)(n+4)}{2}$  for all  $n \geq 4$ .

Proof. Let  $V(K_1) = \{v\}$  and  $V(K_n) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ . Then v is adjacent to all the edges of G. Further,  $v_i \in V(K_n)$  is adjacent to all other vertices  $v_j$  of  $K_n$  and hence  $\{v, v_i\}$  is a minimum dominating set of  $G^{++-}$ . Further,  $v_i$  is adjacent to  $\frac{n(n-1)}{2} - (n-1)$  edges of  $K_n$  in  $G^{++-}$ . Hence any domination subdivision stable set S has at most  $\frac{n(n-1)}{2} - (n-1)$  edges. Therefore any maximum domination subdivision stable set S has at most  $\frac{n(n-1)}{2} - (n-1)$  edges. That is  $|S| = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - (n-1) = \frac{n^2 - 3n + 2}{2}$  and hence  $usd_{\gamma}(G^{++-}) = \frac{n^2 - 3n + 2}{2} + 1 = \frac{(n-1)(n+4)}{2}$ .

**Theorem 8.** For any connected graph G with  $n \geq 3$ ,  $usd_{\gamma}(G^{++-}) > 1$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $usd_{\gamma}(G^{++-}) = 1$ .

Then there is no maximum domination subdivision stable set. Since  $\gamma(G^{++-})=2$ , let  $D=\{x,y\}$  be a minimum dominating set of  $G^{++-}$ . Then  $N_{G^{++-}}[x]\cap N_{G^{++-}}[y]=\emptyset$ . Case(i):  $x,y\in V(G)$ .

Then x and y have no common adjacent vertices of  $G^{++-}$ . That is x and y have no common adjacent vertices of G and have no common non-incident edges of G in  $G^{++-}$ . Since D is a minimum dominating set of  $G^{++-}$ , there is no edge between x and y. Hence G is disconnected. We get a contradiction.

Case(ii):  $x, y \in E(G)$ .

Then x and y are non-adjacent edges of G. In  $G^{++-}$ , each edge of G is adjacent to all the vertices except end vertices of e. Since  $N_{G^{++-}}[x] \cap N_{G^{++-}}[y] = \emptyset$ ,  $G \cong K_2 \cup K_2$  which is disconnected. Hence we get contradiction that G is connected.

Case(iii):  $x \in V(G)$  and  $y \in E(G)$ .

Subcase(i): x is incident with y.

Then  $y=xz, z\in V(G)$ . If  $d_G(x)=1$ , then the edges incident with z in G are common adjacent vertices of x and y in  $G^{++-}$ . If  $d_G(z)=1$ , then the edges incident with x in G are common adjacent vertices of x and y in  $G^{++-}$ . Hence we get a contradiction to  $N_{G^{++-}}[x]\cap N_{G^{++-}}[y]=\emptyset$ . Subcase(ii): x is not incident with y.

Then x and y are adjacent in  $G^{++-}$  and both are in D. Hence  $N_{G^{++-}}[x] \cap N_{G^{++-}}[y] \neq \emptyset$ . Which is a contradiction. Hence  $usd_{\gamma}(G^{++-}) \neq 1$  for connected graph with  $n \geq 3$ .

**Theorem 9.** For any connected graph G with  $n \ge 6$ ,  $2 \le usd_{\gamma}(G^{++-}) \le \frac{(n+1)(n-4)}{2}$ .

*Proof.* We have  $\gamma(G^{++-})=2$ . Let  $D=\{x,y\}$  be the minimum dominating set of  $G^{++-}$ . Let S be the maximum domination subdivision stable set of  $G^{++-}$ . Case(i)  $x, y \in V(G)$ .

Then x and y are not incident vertices in G and each vertex in  $V(G) \setminus \{x,y\}$  adjacent to x and f or f in f. There are at f and f in f are adjacent to both f and f in G++-. There exists at most  $\frac{(n-2)(n-3)}{2}$  edges in  $< V(G) \setminus \{x,y\} >$ . Then these edges are adjacent to both x and y in  $G^{++-}$ . Therefore  $|S| \le n-2+\frac{(n-2)(n-3)}{2}=\frac{(n-2)(n-1)}{2}$  Hence  $usd_{\gamma}(G^{++-}) \le \frac{(n-2)(n-1)}{2}+1=\frac{(n+1)(n-4)}{2}$ .

Case(ii):  $x, y \in E(G)$ .

Then x and y are not adjacent in G. There are (n-4) vertices which are not incident to both x and y in G. Therefore these n-4 vertices are adjacent to both x and y in  $G^{++-}$ . Also each edge other than x and y should adjacent to x and y or y in y. From these edges, at y at y at y and y should adjacent to y and y in y in y. adjacent to both x and y in  $G^{++-}$ . Hence  $|S| \le n-4+4=n$ . Therefore  $usd_{\gamma}(G^{++-}) \le n+1$ Case(iii):  $x \in V(G)$  and  $y \in E(G)$ .

Subcase(i): x is incident with y.

Let y = xz. Then x is adjacent to at most n-2 vertices other than z in G. Then both x and y are adjacent to atmost n-2 vertices in  $G^{++-}$ . Also z is adjacent to atmost n-2 vertices except x in G. Then there exists at most n-2 edges whose end vertex is z in G. Therefore these n-2 edges are adjacent to both x and y in  $G^{++-}$ . Hence  $|S| \le n-2+n-2=2(n-2)$ . Thus  $usd_{\gamma}(G^{++-}) \le 2(n-2) + 1.$ 

Subcase(ii): x is not incident with y.

Then x and y are adjacent in  $G^{++-}$ . Let y=ab. Then x is adjacent to a and b in  $G^{++-}$ . Also x is adjacent to at most n-3 vertices except a and b in G. Therefore both x and y are adjacent to at most n-3 vertices in  $G^{++-}$ . There are at most n-3 edges (except y and ax) whose end vertex is a and there are at most n-3 edges (except y and bx) whose end vertex is b in G. That is these 2(n-3) edges are adjacent to both x and y in  $G^{++-}$ . Hence  $|S| \leq 2(n-3)$ . Thus  $usd_{\gamma}(G^{++-}) \leq 2(n-3) + 1.$ 

Case(iv):  $x \in E(G)$  and  $y \in V(G)$ .

This case is similar to case (iii). Hence  $usd_{\gamma}(G^{++-}) \leq 2(n-3)+1$ . From all the above three cases,  $usd_{\gamma}(G^{++-}) \leq \frac{(n+1)(n-4)}{2}$ . By Theorem 8,  $usd_{\gamma}(G^{++-}) > 1$ . Thus  $2 \leq usd_{\gamma}(G^{++-}) \leq usd_{\gamma}(G^{++$ 

## $sd_{\gamma}$ -critical graphs

In this section we characterize  $sd_{\gamma}$ -critical graphs and discuss some of its properties. Also we derive some important results on disconnected graphs.

**Theorem 10.** If  $G \cong K_1 \cup H$ , where  $H = K_{1,r_1} \cup K_{1,r_2} \cup ... \cup K_{1,r_t}$ , then  $usd_{\gamma}(G^{++-}) = 1$ .

*Proof.* Let us consider a graph G and  $G \cong K_1 \cup H$ , where  $H = K_{1,r_1} \cup K_{1,r_2} \cup \ldots \cup K_{1,r_t}$ . Let  $x \in V(K_1)$ . Since x is an isolated vertex of G, x is adjacent to all the edges of G in  $G^{++-}$ . Since each component is a star, for each component there exists exactly one vertex  $y_i$  (say) which is adjacent to all other vertices of that component. Therefore  $\gamma(G^{++-}) = t+1$  and no two vertices in the dominating set has common adjacent vertices of  $G^{++-}$ . Hence there exists no domination subdivision stable set. Thus  $usd_{\gamma}(G^{++-}) = 1$ .

**Theorem 11.** Let H be any graph. If  $G \cong K_2 \cup H$  then  $usd_{\gamma}(G^{++-}) = 1$ .

Proof. Let  $v_1, v_2 \in V(K_2)$  and  $e_1 \in E(K_2)$ . Then  $e_1$  is adjacent to all the vertices of H in  $G^{++-}$ . Also  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are adjacent to all the edges of H in  $G^{++-}$ . Thus a minimum dominating set D of  $G^{++-}$  is either  $\{v_1, e_1\}$  or  $\{v_2, e_1\}$ . For both the pairs  $\{v_1, e_1\}$  and  $\{v_2, e_1\}$ , there is no common adjacent vertices in  $G^{++-}$ . Therefore there is no domination subdivision stable set. Hence  $usd_{\gamma}(G^{++-}) = 1$ .

**Theorem 12.** For any graph G, if  $G \cong K_{1,r} \cup K_{1,s}$ , then  $usd_{\gamma}(G^{++-}) = 1$ .

Proof. Let us consider a graph G and  $G \cong K_{1,r} \cup K_{1,s}$ . Let  $K_{1,r}$  and  $K_{1,s}$  be star graphs on r+1 and s+1 vertices respectively. Let  $v, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_r \in V(K_{1,r}), u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_s) \in V(K_{1,s}),$   $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_r \in E(K_{1,r})$  and  $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_s \in E(K_{1,s})$ . Then  $\gamma(G^{++-}) = 2$ , because the minimum dominating set D of  $G^{++-}$  is  $D = \{u, v\}$ . Here the vertex u is adjacent to  $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_s$  and  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_r$ . Similarly the vertex v is adjacent to  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_r$  and  $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_s$ . Hence there is no common adjacent vertex of u and v in  $G^{++-}$ . Thus domination subdivision stable set does not exist. Hence  $usd_{\gamma}(G^{++-}) = 1$ .

**Theorem 13.** Any graph G is  $sd_{\gamma}$ -critical if and only if G is any one of the following:

- 1.  $G \cong K_2 \cup H$ , where H is any graph.
- 2.  $G \cong K_{1,r} \cup K_{1,s}$ .
- 3.  $G \cong K_1 \cup K_{1,r_1} \cup K_{1,r_2} \cup \ldots \cup K_{1,r_t}$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $G^{++-}$  is  $sd_{\gamma}$ -critical graph. Then  $usd_{\gamma}(G^{++-})=1$ . Suppose G is not isomorphic to the graphs given in (i) , (ii) or (iii). Then we consider the following cases.

Case(i) : G is connected.

By Theorem 9,  $usd_{\gamma}(G^{++-}) \geq 2$ , which contradicts our assumption.

Case(ii): G has exactly two components.

Let  $G \cong G_1 \cup G_2$ . Since  $G \ncong K_2 \cup H$ ,  $G \ncong K_{1,r} \cup K_{1,s}$  and  $G \ncong K_1 \cup K_{1,r}$ , the following subcases are discussed.

Subcase(i) : G has  $K_1$ .

Let  $G \cong K_1$  and  $v \in V(G_1)$ . Then  $G_2 \ncong K_{1,r}, r \geq 2$ . Therefore there exists two non-adjacent edges  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  in  $G_2$ . Since v is an isolated vertex in G, v belongs to all the dominating sets of  $G^{++-}$ . Also v is adjacent to all the edges of G and adjacent to none of the vertices of  $G_2$ . If  $u \in V(G_2)$  belongs to any dominating set of  $G^{++-}$ , then there exists an edge of  $G_2$  that is adjacent to both u and v in  $G^{++-}$ . Hence  $usd_{\gamma}(G^{++-}) \neq 1$ . If  $e \in V(G_2)$  belongs to any dominating set of  $G^{++-}$ , then v and e are adjacent in  $G^{++-}$ . Hence  $usd_{\gamma}(G^{++-}) \neq 1$ .

Subcase(ii): G does not contain  $K_2$ .

Since  $G \ncong K_2 \cup H$ , each component of G has at least three vertices. Since  $G \ncong K_{1,r} \cup K_{1,s}$ , each component of G contains at least two non-adjacent edges. Let  $D = \{x,y\}$  be a minimum dominating set of  $G^{++-}$ . If  $x,y \in V(G)$ , then  $x \in V_1(G)$  and  $y \in V_2(G)$ . Since

 $G \ncong K_{1,r} \cup K_{1,s}, N_{G^{++-}}[x] \cap N_{G^{++-}}[y] \neq \emptyset$ . If  $x \in V(G)$  and  $y \in E(G)$ , then both belong to same component. Since G has at least two non-adjacent edges  $N_{G^{++-}}[x] \cap N_{G^{++-}}[y] \neq \emptyset$ . Also there is no possibility that D contains two edges of G.

Case(iii): G has more than two components.

If  $e \in V(G_i)$ , there is an edge in  $G_i$  which is adjacent to both u and v in  $G^{++-}$ . Therefore  $N_{G^{++-}}[u] \cap N_{G^{++-}}[v] \neq \emptyset$ .

Subcase(i): G contains only one  $K_1$ .

Then  $G_i \ncong K_{1,r}, r \ge 2$ . Let  $v \in V(K_1)$ . Then v is in any minimum dominating set D of  $G^{++-}$ . If  $u \in V(G_i)$ ,  $G_i \ncong K_1$ , there is an edge in  $G_i$  which is adjacent to both u and v in  $G^{++-}$ . Therefore  $N_{G^{++-}}[u] \cap N_{G^{++-}}[v] \ne \emptyset$ .

Subcase(ii): G contains more than one  $K_1$ .

Let  $G_1 \cong K_1$  and  $G_2 \cong K_2$  and let  $v \in V(G_1)$ ,  $u \in V(G_2)$ . Since u and v are isolated vertices, u and v belong to any minimum dominating set of  $G^{++-}$ . Both are adjacent to all the edges of G, hence  $usd_{\gamma}(G^{++-}) \neq 1$ .

Subcase(iii): G has no  $K_1$ .

Then every component has at least two edges since  $G \ncong K_2 \cup H$ . Let  $D = \{x,y\}$  be the minimum dominating set of  $G^{++-}$ . Then  $x \in V(G_i)$ ,  $y \in E(G_i)$  and x is incident with y. Therefore,  $N_{G^{++-}}[x] \cap N_{G^{++-}}[y] \ne \emptyset$ . Hence, from all the above cases we get  $usd_{\gamma}(G^{++-}) \ne 1$ . Therefore G is isomorphic to the graphs given in (i), (ii) or (iii). Conversely assume that G is any one of the above given graphs. Then by Theorems 11, 12 and 10 the graph G is  $sd_{\gamma}$ -critical.

## 6 Concluding remarks

In this paper, we have determined the lower bound and upper bound for  $usd_{\gamma}(G^{++-})$  on connected graph G and the extremal graphs for lower bound is characterized. This study will be extended by characterizing the extremal graphs for upper bound.

### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the referee for careful reading.

#### References

- [1] K. J. Ancy, Spectrum and Energy of the transformation graph  $K_n^{++-}$ , International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology, (5) **10** (2018).
- [2] M. K. Angel Jebitha, T. Berjin Magizha and S. Sujitha, *The domination uniform subdivision number of G*<sup>---</sup>, Advances and Applications in Mathematical Sciences, (21) **12** (2022), 6679-6688.
- [3] M. K. Angel Jebitha and J. Paulraj Joseph, *The Domination Subdivision Number of Transformation Graphs*, International Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Applications, **3** (2013), 283 294.

- [4] M. K. Angel Jebitha, *Domination Uniform Subdivision Number of Graphs*, International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology, (27) 1 (2015), 1-6.
- [5] B. Basavanagoud and P. V. Patil, Edge Decomposition of the Transformation graph  $G^{xyz}$  when xyz = + + -, J. Comp. and Math. Sci., (1) 5 (2010), 606 616.
- [6] S. B. Chandrakala, K. Manjula and B. Sooryanarayana, The Transformation graph Gxyz when xyz = + +, International Journal of Mathematical Sciences And Engineering Applications (IJMSEA), (3) 1 (2009), 249–259.
- [7] S. B. Chandrakala, K. Manjula and B. Sooryanarayana, Clique covering of the transformation graph G<sup>++-</sup>, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, (120) 6 (2018), 1779-1793.
- [8] G. Chartrand and P. Zhang, *Introduction to Graph Theory*, Tata McGraw-Hill Edition, (2006).
- [9] G. R. Roshini, S. B. Chandrakala and B. Sooryanarayana, Some degree based topological indices of transformation graphs, Bulletin of the International Mathematical virtual institute, (10) 11 (2019), 225-237.
- [10] L. Zhen and B. Wu, Hamiltonicity of transformation graph  $G^{+--}$ , Ars Combinatoria, (2013).
- [11] D. Vijayalakshmi and K. Thilagavathi, A Note on b-chromatic Number of the Transformation Graph G + +- and Corona Product of Graphs, International Journal of Applied Mathematical Research, (1) 4 (2012), 715-725.
- [12] L. Y. B. Wu, The Transformation graph  $G^{++-}$ , Australasian journal of Combinatorics, 44 (2009), 37–42.
- [13] B. Wu and J. Meng, Basic Properties of Total Transformation Graph, J. Math. Study, (34) **2** (2001), 109 116.
- [14] B. Wu, L. Zhang and Z. Zhang, The transformation graph  $G^{xyz}$  when xyz = -++, Discrete Math., (2005), 263–270.